Filing # 78273023 E-Filed 09/21/2018 05:02:31 PM

Highway 46 Holdings, LLC. vs. Michael and Jacqueline Myers APPEAL

```
1
                             IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, NINTH
                             JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR
 2
                             ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA
 3
                             CASE NO.:
                                          08-CA-1466
    HIGHWAY 46 HOLDINGS, LLC,
 5
                    Plaintiff,
 6
    vs.
 7
    MICHAEL B. MYERS,
    JACQUELINE M. MYERS,
    SOUTHEAST COMMUNICATIONS,
    COMPANY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA
    DONALD J. HACHENBERGER,
10
    GLENDA A. HACHENBERGER,
11
                    Defendants.
12
13
                         Volume VIII
14
                        JURY TRIAL
    PROCEEDINGS:
                         HONORABLE DONALD A. MYERS
15
    BEFORE:
16
    DATE:
                         MARCH 22, 2017
17
                         8:58 A.M. - 4:50 P.M.
    TIME:
18
    PLACE:
                         ORANGE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
                         425 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE
19
                         ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32801
20
    STENOGRAPHICALLY
    REPORTED BY:
                         SHOSHANA KRAMER, RPR, CRR
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	APPEARANCES:
2	OF: J. MARC JONES, P.A.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	DAMON A. CHASE, ESQUIRE OF: CHASE FREEMAN
8	1525 INTERNATIONAL PARKWAY SUITE 4021
9	407-333-7337
10	
11	HACHENBERGER
12	GUS BENITEZ, ESQUIRE OF: BENITEZ LAW GROUP, P.L.
13	ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32803
14	GUS@ARBENITEZ.COM
	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS
16	MARIANE L. DORRIS, ESQUIRE
17	R. SCOTT SHUKER, ESQUIRE OF: LATHAM, SHUKER, EDEN & BEAUDINE, LLP 111 NORTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE SUITE 1400
18	
19	ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32801 407-481-5800
20	MDORRIS@LSEBLAW.COM RSHUKER@LSEBLAW.COM
21	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF GLENDA HACHENBERGER ALSO PRESENT:
23	DONALD J. HACHENBERGER
24	GLENDA JOY STONE MICHAEL MYERS
25	JACQUELINE MYERS
23	



1	
2	TESTIMONY OF DONALD HACHENBERGER
3	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHASE1496 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BENITEZ1507 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SHUKER1560
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHASE
5	TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL MYERS
6	(REBUTTAL) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BENITEZ1587
7	(REBUTTAL) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BENTIEZ1307
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



```
1
                   PROCEEDINGS
 2
                (CONTINUED FROM VOLUME VII.)
 3
                     *****
 4
          THE COURT: Good morning.
 5
          We're on the record.
 6
          Are we receiving testimony today?
 7
          MR. CHASE:
                     Yes, sir.
                     Who will be beginning?
 8
          THE COURT:
 9
          MR. CHASE:
                     Nick Carlin.
10
          THE COURT: Can you bring Mr. Carlin in the
11
     courtroom please so we can get him in efficiently.
12
          MR. BENITEZ: And, your Honor, if I may, before
13
     the witness was brought in --
14
          THE COURT: Yes, sir.
15
          MR. BENITEZ: -- I was reviewing the Court
16
     ruling and with respect to the counts that will
17
     remain in this case, and it appears that on
18
     paragraph 265A, I have the claim that you allow us
19
     to pursue of removing Mike Myers as manager or
20
     member.
2.1
          THE COURT: Yes, sir.
22
          MR. BENITEZ: And also Section B by wrongfully
23
     terminating Mike Myers' salaried position.
2.4
          It appears to me that based on the Court's
25
     ruling with respect to the letter agreement and the
```



- 1 operating agreement, I believe the Court's ruling at
- 2 this point in time is that they are enforceable; is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 THE COURT: That's correct.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: So in that case, those would be a
- 6 basis to -- or that will give them, Donald
- 7 Hachenberger, it appears to me, the authority --
- 8 THE COURT: He had the authority. We discussed
- 9 this yesterday. There's no question he had the
- 10 authority in the terms of the letter agreement. The
- 11 issue is, did he perform that duty consistent with
- 12 his obligations under the statute?
- 13 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 14 THE COURT: In other words, he can't do it in
- 15 bad faith just because he has the authority to do
- 16 it. That's my understanding of the statute.
- 17 So that's why those claims were made.
- MR. BENITEZ: Can I have a second with my
- 19 client, then?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 MR. CHASE: Your Honor, I think the
- 22 consideration is whether --
- THE COURT: Your mike is not on.
- MR. CHASE: Sorry. I think the consideration
- 25 is whether or not to drop those first three. So the



- 1 Court understands why this discussion is taking
- 2 place.
- 3 THE COURT: I mean, I think they're potentially
- 4 viable claims. The question is whether you wish to
- 5 drop them, that's up to you.
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Microphone.
- 8 And, Mr. Benitez, I've noticed this throughout
- 9 the trial, I haven't said anything, but you have
- 10 your microphone on your right lapel, but you're
- 11 constantly speaking to the left because that's where
- 12 the jury is and that's where I am. It might help if
- 13 you moved the microphone to the other side. I don't
- 14 know, but it just might.
- MR. BENITEZ: Does that sound better?
- 16 THE COURT: No.
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: Not really.
- 18 THE COURT: Come on up a little higher.
- MR. BENITEZ: Does that sound better, no.
- 20 THE COURT: I don't know.
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: I'll try to go right, Judge.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. I don't notice any personal
- 23 difference.
- MR. BENITEZ: How is that? Is that --
- 25 THE COURT: Just a little.



1 I'll speak louder. MR. BENITEZ: 2 How is that? 3 THE COURT: That'll help. Thank you. 4 MR. BENITEZ: Your Honor, my -- we were going to go ahead and drop the claims for the wrongful 5 removal and the wrongful termination and not being 6 7 allowed back into the business premises, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So the claims number, 9 paragraph 265A, B, and C, of the amended 10 counterclaims you're withdrawing. 11 MR. BENITEZ: And my understanding is based on 12 that withdrawal, Nick Carlin will not be taking the 13 stand during the jury trial. 14 MR. CHASE: That's correct, sir. He still 15 would be required or needed to testify with regard 16 to the replevin, but that would be nonjury. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. CHASE: But everything that he was getting 19 ready to testify is no longer relevant. 20 All right. THE COURT: 2.1 MR. CHASE: May I. 22 Judge. If I may. Judge, I MR. BENITEZ: 23 apologize, but this is kind of an important decision 2.4 with the client and I want to make sure that he's 25 fine with it, and if you don't mind giving me



- 1 five minutes.
- 2 THE COURT: I don't mind. Take a couple of
- 3 minutes.
- 4 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor, we will do that.
- The other comment that I had, Judge, and I
- 7 do -- I acknowledge that you have previously ruled
- 8 with respect to D. And D, it said by converting
- 9 personal property and I think we got stuck, or one
- 10 of the inferences was conversion of the personal
- 11 property.
- 12 But -- and I believe that the Court initial --
- 13 I'm not sure, initially indicated that that would be
- 14 allowed, but not the U.S. mail because that had been
- 15 dropped. And then the Court changed and said that
- 16 that's not allowed.
- I would like the Court --
- 18 THE COURT: That's not how that happened.
- MR. BENITEZ: I'm sorry. But, anyways, I would
- 20 like the Court to reconsider with respect to D,
- 21 allowing us to present that to the jury. And that
- is the personal property that's been taken from the
- 23 Myers, whether they had authority to take or not
- 24 take it, and keep it.
- I think that's pertinent even though the



1 conversion count failed because of the lack of damages. I think in this case, it shouldn't fail 2 3 because that's a duty that he had, a duty of care 4 that was breached. 5 THE COURT: That will be denied. 6 MR. BENITEZ: Okay. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. CHASE: May I release Mr. Carlin? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 And then I want to talk about the rest of our 11 day and the future here. 12 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 13 (Mr. Chase exiting.) 14 (Mr. Chase reentering.) 15 THE COURT: All right. How long -- how much 16 longer do you anticipate with Mr. Hachenberger on 17 the stand? 18 Not long, sir. I would say I'd MR. CHASE: 19 probably give him, through mine, certainly less than 20 an hour. We're going to go through -- now it's just 2.1 the three claims that are left, and have him explain 22 his side of that. 23 THE COURT: And then cross, with respect to 2.4 that, an hour? 25 MR. BENITEZ: I would think so, your Honor.



1 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any additional 2 witnesses to call? 3 MR. CHASE: No, sir. 4 THE COURT: And then you have any witnesses in 5 your case in rebuttal? 6 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor, I will. 7 THE COURT: Okay. How long would you anticipate that -- who would those witnesses be? 8 9 MR. BENITEZ: Mike Myers would be taking the 10 stand, at the very least. 11 THE COURT: Okay. But focus -- now we're 12 focusing down obviously much more narrowly so it 13 would be somewhat limited, I would imagine. 14 MR. BENITEZ: Limited to the plaintiffs' case. 15 THE COURT: Turn your microphone on, thank you. 16 MR. BENITEZ: It would be limited to what's 17 been developed by Mr. Chase. Well --18 MR. CHASE: 19 THE COURT: In the defense, so you've already 20 put on a case. 2.1 MR. BENITEZ: Right. 22 This is just now the defense of THE COURT: 23 that and this is limited only to rebuttal evidence 2.4 to that, so these are things that you did not and 25 could not put on in your case in chief.



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 2 THE COURT: But only in rebuttal. So less than
- 3 half an hour.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: I'm not sure, Judge. I'd be
- 5 guessing, Judge, but I would assume, between half an
- 6 hour and an hour would be probably kind of the
- 7 maximum.
- 8 MR. CHASE: I think it's appropriate that I
- 9 need to have notice on what exactly he's going to
- 10 rebut before the rebuttal, before -- you can't just
- 11 say rebuttal witness and get up and ask him
- 12 questions. What specifically is he going to rebut?
- 13 THE COURT: We'll hear the client's testimony
- 14 and then we'll address the scope of the rebuttal,
- 15 but not just yet.
- You have nothing further, correct, Mr. Shuker?
- 17 MR. SHUKER: Correct.
- 18 THE COURT: What can we do to get Mr. Shuker
- 19 and Mrs. Hachenberger on their way?
- 20 Can I simply tell the jury that together -- not
- 21 yet, but at the conclusion of the case, before
- 22 closings, that together with the jury, I've been
- 23 hearing evidence related to other claims that are
- 24 not for the jury's consideration.
- 25 That the Court has been able to hear that



- 1 evidence and that it is not necessary at this time
- 2 for them to remain or to participate in the jury
- 3 closings.
- 4 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. No objections.
- 5 THE COURT: Objections to that?
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: I apologize, your Honor. What
- 7 did you say?
- 8 THE COURT: I'm suggesting telling the jury
- 9 that along with the jury, I've been hearing the
- 10 evidence to decide certain claims that I must
- 11 decide. I've now heard that evidence and it's not
- 12 necessary for Mrs. Hachenberger to remain in the
- 13 courtroom or for the Court to hear closing argument
- 14 from them. And so I've released them from the
- 15 courtroom.
- Is that appropriate to let the jury know?
- 17 You may speak with your client about it and
- 18 there's multiple considerations. One is, there's
- 19 just no -- I mean they're playing no role here at
- 20 all. I'll keep them here through the evidence. I
- 21 think that's appropriate. But at the end of the
- 22 evidence, prior to closings.
- It's all a game for them to be here. We're
- 24 misleading the jury in the sense that they really
- 25 don't have any interest in the issues here any



- 1 longer, so I'm trying to figure out a neutral
- 2 message to be able to give to the jurors. That's
- 3 one consideration.
- The second is, if I accept what Mr. Shuker has
- 5 said as true, that there's a proposal for
- 6 settlement, your clients are potentially paying for
- 7 them to sit here, and that doesn't make any sense.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Your Honor, I don't -- I was just
- 9 thinking about the way you were expressing it to the
- 10 jury. I wasn't thinking about whether you should do
- 11 it or not do it. If they decide not to be here,
- 12 they don't have to be here.
- THE COURT: Well, they don't have to be here,
- 14 but you've asked them to stay because you didn't
- 15 want your clients to be prejudiced. And I
- 16 understand that.
- But at the close of evidence, it certainly
- 18 doesn't make sense any --
- 19 Please turn it either off or vibrate.
- 20 At the close of evidence, just doesn't make any
- 21 sense for them to be here any longer.
- MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 23 THE COURT: Particularly when your clients are
- 24 potentially paying for them to be here.
- So I'd like you to give some thought to that so



- 1 that we can appropriately instruct the jury and not
- 2 have to have them come back for closings.
- 3 If we stick on this schedule, what I'm hearing
- 4 is that we will likely be done with evidence this
- 5 morning. I think I will release the jury for the
- 6 afternoon. We would do our charge conference.
- 7 And we would come back tomorrow morning for
- 8 closings as opposed to Friday. It doesn't make
- 9 sense to take off half a day today and a full day
- 10 tomorrow.
- 11 So that's where I think we're headed at this
- 12 point given the narrowing of the testimony.
- 13 MR. CHASE: Agreed, sir.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. And it depends,
- obviously, on the case in rebuttal and what evidence
- 16 it is that you all intend to present in rebuttal.
- 17 Rebuttal is going to be very narrowly focused.
- 18 Are you all set?
- 19 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Hachenberger, can you take the
- 21 stand, please.
- Let's return the jury, please.
- 23 (Jury entering.)
- 24 THE COURT: Good morning.
- Thank you.



- 1 You may be seated.
- 2 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Hope you
- 3 enjoyed the treats from my judicial assistant this
- 4 morning. Yeah, she's very thoughtful. I appreciate
- 5 her very much for taking care of you all.
- We are ready to resume the testimony this
- 7 morning. Mr. Hachenberger is on the stand. He's
- 8 under oath.
- 9 And you may proceed.
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. CHASE:
- 12 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hachenberger.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- Q. As it stands now, there are three claims
- 15 against you. One for mismanaging the business. One for
- 16 changing the name and concept. And one for incurring
- 17 unnecessary expenses.
- 18 Let's start with changing the name and concept.
- 19 How did that come about to where -- I guess the name was
- 20 previously going to be Gassey Jack's; is that correct,
- 21 sir?
- 22 A. That is correct. That was Mike's presentation.
- Q. And was that always Mr. Myers' wish to make it
- 24 be Gassey Jack's?
- 25 A. That's the way it started, yes.



- 1 Q. Did Mr. Myers consider other names and
- 2 concepts?
- 3 A. Absolutely.
- 4 Q. What other names and concepts did Mr. Myers
- 5 consider?
- 6 A. Mr. Myers had previously considered Shorty's,
- 7 had previously considered Bubbalou's. During our
- 8 discussions, he -- we both considered -- and it's a part
- 9 of our contract, that we're --
- 10 Q. Without telling me the extra stuff, what other
- 11 names did Mr. Myers consider?
- 12 A. Famous Dave's.
- 13 Q. When did you decide to make a change from
- 14 Gassey Jack's?
- 15 A. It was being discussed and it was decided, as a
- 16 part of the team that I had assembled, including QMG,
- 17 that the name Gassey Jack's just didn't work for a
- 18 restaurant.
- 19 Q. Did you consult with the team about changing
- 20 the name?
- 21 A. Absolutely. They were a part of all of that
- 22 decision process.
- Q. Ultimately, did you change the name?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What did you change the name to?



- 1 A. We changed the name to Route 46 Entertainment
- 2 District, and then there were individual names for each
- 3 of the entities that operated within that framework.
- 4 Q. Is that -- what is your understanding is the
- 5 concept, is the concept of having individual names for
- 6 the different entities in there?
- 7 A. Would you restate that?
- 8 Q. That is a terrible question.
- 9 The allegation against you is changing the name
- 10 and concept. What is -- what do you believe is being
- 11 referred to by concept?
- 12 A. I believe the concept that Mike wanted was,
- 13 quote, Gassey Jack's. That everything was one entity,
- 14 and he stated repeatedly everything was open all the
- 15 time, you had one place, which meant you had to --
- 16 Q. I understand.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. And so the change of concept then would be to
- 19 have separate little areas; is that true?
- 20 A. The destination is Route 46 Entertainment
- 21 District, which is the overall area. But someone coming
- 22 there for a barbecue sandwich would go to the smokehouse
- 23 at Route 46.
- Someone coming there for a private event, a
- 25 wedding or something, would never go to the smokehouse,



- 1 maybe. But they would go to Monroe's for the wedding,
- 2 or whatever.
- And then someone who is accustomed to the bands
- 4 and the partying atmosphere within the garage bar might
- 5 only know the garage bar at Route 46.
- 6 Q. Ultimately, the decision was yours to make
- 7 those changes; is that correct?
- 8 A. Absolutely, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Was your decision to change the name and
- 10 concept grossly negligent?
- 11 A. Not at all, not in the least.
- 12 Q. Just listen to my question, just --
- MR. BENITEZ: Object to the form and -- excuse
- me. Object to conclusion.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 Q. The way you went about making that decision --
- 17 THE COURT: Counsel, please.
- 18 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 19 the hearing of the jury.)
- THE COURT: Didn't you ask these precise
- 21 questions of Mr. Myers?
- MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor. Mr. Chase did.
- 23 Mr. Chase did.
- I didn't object, obviously, because of the
- answer, but at this time, I object.



- 1 The reason why it's not a MR. CHASE: 2 conclusion of law is because it's a jury instruction 3 for them to determine what it is, whether it was --4 so it can't be a conclusion of law because the jury 5 gets to decide whether or not the action was grossly 6 negligent. 7 The issue is whether it calls for a THE COURT: 8 legal conclusion. And in an ordinary trial context, 9 we would not ask them was the defendant negligent. 10 We would ask, did they do something a reasonable 11 person would fail to do. 12 I'm going to sustain the objection as phrased 13 and just -- you're going to need to rephrase it. 14 MR. CHASE: Okay. 15 (Bench conference concluded.) 16 I'm sorry. Ladies and gentlemen, THE COURT: 17 the objection is sustained. BY MR. CHASE: 18 19 Was there anything about that decision-making Q. process with your team, that you believe was something 20 21 out of the ordinary from a business decision? 22 Α. No, not at all.
 - Q. Do you believe that it was something that a
 - 24 reasonable business person would do?
 - 25 A. Definitely, yes.



- 1 Q. Did you take time to consider all of the
- 2 options before you made that decision?
- 3 A. Very definitely, yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Did you consider multiple business options
- 5 before you made that decision?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Did you know was there anything unlawful about
- 8 that decision?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did you believe that you were making that
- 11 decision in the best interest of the company?
- 12 A. Positively, yes.
- 13 Q. The next allegation against you is that you
- 14 incurred unnecessary and unauthorized expenses.
- Does the letter agreement and operating
- 16 agreement authorize you to make decisions for the
- 17 company?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Does it authorize you to lend money to the
- 20 company?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is there anything in the letter agreement and
- 23 operating agreement that prohibits you from making
- 24 decisions with regard to what to spend money on for the
- 25 company?



- 1 A. Absolutely nothing.
- Q. Is there any expense that you paid for the
- 3 company that you believe was unnecessary?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Is there any expense that you paid for the
- 6 company that you believe was unauthorized?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Were you the only one who you had to have
- 9 authority to spend money for the company?
- 10 A. It was my money, yes.
- 11 Q. And Ms. Hachenberger's?
- 12 A. I'm sorry. That's correct.
- Q. Did you have any personal interest in spending
- 14 millions of dollars to correct the things that you
- 15 testified about yesterday?
- 16 A. Would you restate that for me?
- 17 Q. Did you have any personal interest in spending
- 18 millions of dollars to correct the things that you
- 19 testified about yesterday?
- 20 A. It was very personal to me that I was going to
- 21 be spending that much money, yes.
- 22 Q. But did you have -- did you personally gain
- 23 from those expenditures?
- 24 A. No, sir --
- Q. -- or was that for the company?



- 1 A. Nope.
- Q. Were there other things that you could spend
- 3 \$5 million on?
- 4 A. Lots, yes.
- 5 Q. The money that you as -- after construction,
- 6 you have -- I believe you testified that you have loaned
- 7 a little over -- or that you have loaned 5 --
- 8 approximately \$5 million to the company to keep it
- 9 going, correct?
- 10 A. For operations, yes.
- 11 Q. Was the payment of legal fees over the last
- 12 nine years a necessary expense?
- 13 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Was the payment of the rent for the storage
- 15 facilities a necessary expense?
- 16 A. Definitely, yes.
- 17 Q. Can you think of a single thing that you had to
- 18 contribute your money to pay that was unnecessary?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. Were there any frivolous expenditures?
- 21 A. Not to the best of my knowledge, no.
- 22 Q. The decisions you made with regard to incurring
- 23 expenses for the company, were those something that you
- 24 believed to be something that a reasonable business
- 25 person would do?



- 1 A. Definitely, yes.
- 2 Q. If you didn't spend those things, would that
- 3 company exist today?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Did you incur those expenses to keep the
- 6 company going?
- 7 A. Definitely, yes.
- 8 Q. Do you know if incurring any expenses would've
- 9 been a violation of law?
- 10 A. Not to the best of my knowledge, no.
- 11 Q. Did you believe that you were incurring all
- 12 those expenses for the best interest of the company?
- 13 A. Positively, yes.
- Q. Let's go on to the allegation that you
- 15 mismanaged the business.
- When you took over control of the business, did
- 17 you hire managers to manage the business?
- 18 A. Definitely, yes.
- 19 Q. Did you hire general managers to manage the
- 20 business?
- 21 A. There was already a general manager in place,
- 22 yes.
- Q. When you took over the business, was that the
- 24 general manager that Mr. Myers had retained?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 O. Was that Brad Nicks?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. How long did you keep Brad Nicks as a general
- 4 manager?
- 5 A. I'm guessing, at least two more years, I think,
- 6 in that range.
- 7 Q. Did you believe at the time that he continued
- 8 to manage the business, that he was an experienced
- 9 manager?
- 10 A. Definitely, yes.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: Objection, leading.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Q. And, ultimately, you have had other general
- 14 manager -- or did you have occasion to have other
- 15 general managers run the company?
- 16 A. Yes, I have.
- 17 Q. Were those general managers experienced in the
- 18 restaurant business?
- 19 A. Positively, yes.
- 20 Q. At all times since June 26, 2007, have you been
- 21 the managing member of the LLC, the Highway 46 Holdings,
- 22 LLC?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And all of the decisions that you made with
- 25 regard to your responsibilities as managing member, do



- 1 you believe that those were done the same way a
- 2 reasonably prudent business person would do?
- 3 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 4 Q. Was there anything in any of those decisions
- 5 that you made as a managing member of Highway 46
- 6 Holdings, LLC that you believe was a violation of law?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Was there anything with any of the decisions
- 9 that you made as a managing member of Highway 46
- 10 Holdings, LLC that you believe was careless?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Was there anything -- any decisions that you
- 13 made with regard to Highway 46 being a managing member
- 14 of Highway 46 Holdings, LLC, that you didn't contemplate
- 15 before making the decision of whether or not it was a
- 16 good business decision?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. With regard to major decisions that you made as
- 19 a managing member of Highway 46 Holdings, LLC at all
- 20 times since your involvement with Highway 46 Holdings,
- 21 LLC, did you consult with professionals?
- 22 A. All the time, yes. I still do.
- MR. CHASE: May I have a moment, Judge?
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 BY MR. CHASE:



- 1 Q. Mr. Hachenberger, in all of your decisions that
- 2 you've made as managing member of Highway 46 Holdings,
- 3 LLC, which involved consulting with professionals, did
- 4 you rely on the advice that you received from those
- 5 professionals?
- 6 A. Very definitely, yeah.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: Objection, asked and answered.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 A. Definitely, yes.
- 10 MR. CHASE: Thank you, sir.
- 11 Tender the witness, Judge.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 13 Cross examination.
- 14 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 16 Q. In 2005, you were not the sole manager of
- 17 Highway 46, correct?
- 18 A. I don't think that's accurate.
- 19 Q. Okay. Were you the sole manager of Highway 46
- 20 in 2005?
- 21 A. Yes, I believe I was.
- Q. So Mike Myers was not a comanager with you in
- 23 2005, when you initially formed Highway 46?
- A. I don't believe so, no. I'm not certain of
- 25 that.



- 1 Q. And in 2006, both Mike Myers and you were
- 2 comanagers, correct?
- 3 A. I'm not certain that's accurate.
- Q. Okay. What is your testimony -- what's your
- 5 answer, yes or no, was Mike Myers a comanager with you
- 6 of Highway 46 in 2006?
- 7 A. I don't think that's accurate, no.
- 8 Q. And in 2007, until about October or November of
- 9 2007, isn't a fact that Mike Myers and you were
- 10 comanagers of Highway 46?
- 11 A. I don't agree with that, no.
- MR. BENITEZ: If I may, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: You may.
- 14 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 15 Q. In 2005, Mike Myers was doing -- was operating
- 16 Highway 46, correct?
- 17 A. Mike Myers was the president of Highway 46,
- 18 yes.
- 19 Q. And he was doing the day-to-day activities at
- 20 Highway 46, correct?
- 21 A. A lot of them, yes.
- Q. And you were not, correct?
- 23 A. That's not true. I was doing some.
- Q. What were you doing in 2005?
- 25 A. We, Mike and I, would probably have meetings



- 1 two to three times a week if I was in town. And we
- 2 would talk about items. He would show me things that
- 3 were going on. Some decisions were made that I had some
- 4 input, some that I made suggestions that he wouldn't
- 5 adhere to.
- But we had regular meetings to keep me informed
- 7 and we were involved in all sorts of operating decisions
- 8 at that point in time.
- 9 Q. Okay. So your testimony to the jury would be
- 10 that you were intimate -- or significantly involved in
- 11 Highway 46 in 2005, correct?
- 12 A. I just described my involvement.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. Intimate was never a part of that. We had two
- 15 to three meetings a week where we discussed items. He
- 16 was the operating guy, as the president, would usually
- 17 be in a corporate or in an entity like that, and it was
- 18 his job to do the bulk of the items.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so, then, on a regular basis, you
- 20 were communicating with Mike Myers and making decisions
- 21 for Highway 46; is that correct?
- 22 A. Part of that is correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. What part is not correct about that
- 24 statement?
- 25 A. The part about us making decisions and then him



- 1 doing it.
- Q. Okay. So was he in charge of making the
- 3 decisions?
- 4 A. We made decisions together when we could, and
- 5 otherwise he did what he wanted.
- 6 Q. Okay. So he did whatever he wanted in 2005,
- 7 with respect to Highway 46?
- 8 A. More often than I would have liked, yes.
- 9 Q. And how about 2006? Same thing for 2006?
- 10 A. That's accurate, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And how about for the majority of -- or
- 12 half of 2007, up to June 27, 2007, did he do basically
- 13 whatever he wanted to do?
- 14 A. Very much so, yes.
- Q. Okay. So up to June of 27, 2007, Mike Myers
- 16 was taking the lead and making the decisions for
- 17 Highway 46. Would that be an accurate statement?
- 18 A. That wouldn't be the way I would characterize
- 19 it, no.
- Q. But you -- during that period of time, you
- 21 disagreed with Mike Myers from time to time, correct?
- 22 A. Very often, yes.
- Q. Okay. And whenever there was a disagreement,
- 24 then Mike Myers would end up doing either what was
- 25 agreed on or what he wanted to do, correct?



- 1 A. One or the other, usually, yeah.
- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. Or sometimes nothing.
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 And at no time during that time, did you pull
- 6 out the letter agreement or the operating agreement and
- 7 say, I'm in charge, did you?
- 8 A. I -- it would be impossible for me to say that
- 9 I pulled it out, but it was referenced very often.
- 10 Q. Okay. But you never exercised your rights
- 11 under that letter agreement up to June 27, 2007,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Not true at all.
- 14 Q. And you exercised your right under that letter
- 15 agreement for the first time on June 26, 2007, when you
- 16 had a meeting with Brad Nicks and the other employees --
- 17 A. Definitely that's --
- 18 Q. -- after telling --
- 19 A. Excuse me.
- 20 Q. May I finish?
- 21 THE COURT: Let him finish, please.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 23 Q. -- after telling them that you were not going
- 24 to pursue the project earlier that morning?
- MR. CHASE: Objection, compound question, date,



- 1 meeting and what he told him.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 3 Q. On June 26, 2007, you brought out the letter
- 4 agreement and showed it to Brad Nicks, correct?
- 5 A. I believe I did on that date, yes.
- 6 Q. And you explained to Brad Nicks that you were
- 7 in charge pursuant to that agreement, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. You had not done that before with Brad Nicks,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. I'm not certain that that's an accurate
- 12 statement.
- 13 Q. And you went through that letter agreement
- 14 almost paragraph by paragraph with Brad Nicks, correct,
- 15 on June 26, 2007?
- 16 A. I believe that's accurate, yes.
- 17 Q. And there were other people in that room at
- 18 that time, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. During this -- well, in -- the permits for
- 21 building the projects were submitted to Seminole County
- 22 for approval, correct?
- 23 A. Obviously, or the project would've been shut
- 24 down. They were submitted.
- MR. BENITEZ: Well, your Honor, not responsive.



- If he can be asked to answer yes or no.
- THE COURT: He's answered the question,
- 3 counselor.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: Okay.
- 5 THE COURT: Move on, please.
- 6 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 7 Q. Did you know that they had been approved by
- 8 Seminole County?
- 9 A. I was usually informed of all of those types of
- 10 things, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you looked at the plans before they
- 12 were submitted to the county, or not?
- 13 A. I generally perused them and did know the
- 14 general concept, yes.
- 15 Q. So up to June 27, 2007, you had perused all the
- 16 plans and specifications that were submitted to the
- 17 county, Seminole County, correct?
- 18 A. In general, yes.
- 19 Q. And you approved those plans, correct?
- 20 A. I did not have an approval process in place to
- 21 do that. The county -- the county's the one that
- 22 approved them.
- Q. Did you object to anything in those plans when
- 24 you perused them?
- 25 A. Yes. There were several things that I



- 1 discussed with Mike that I did not agree with.
- 2 Q. Okay. And did then Mike make a decision
- 3 whether or not to take your suggestions on those
- 4 objections?
- 5 A. Pretty much, yes.
- 6 Q. But you knew that the plans were eventually
- 7 submitted to the county, and you started construction,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. I knew it, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Okay. And you know that when you start
- 11 construction, you have to have building permits,
- 12 correct, with the county?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that they need to be approved and comply
- 15 with the law, whatever that may be?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And they have to be ADA accessible, the
- 18 facility, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And they have to have a correct number of
- 21 bathrooms, correct?
- 22 A. There are county regulations on all of those
- 23 things, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So you -- you're not suggesting that the
- 25 county missed a possible violation of the county



- 1 ordinance or building code when they approved the
- 2 building plans that Mike Myers submitted to the county,
- 3 are you?
- 4 A. I am not.
- 5 Q. Okay. So when you talk about all your changes
- 6 in the building, like the bathrooms, those were changes
- 7 made after the building permits were approved, correct?
- 8 A. Would you restate that question for me?
- 9 Q. The comments that you made about having to add
- 10 bathrooms in the band section of the plans, those
- 11 bath -- those changes to the bathrooms were made after
- 12 the building plans were approved, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, because the plans were not accurate.
- Q. Okay. But the -- what was there at the
- 15 building -- on the building site on June 27th, 2007, was
- 16 in compliance with the building plans, correct, at that
- 17 time?
- 18 A. Would you restate that for me?
- 19 Q. On June 27, 2007, were whatever was built on
- 20 the land was that in conformance with the building
- 21 plans?
- 22 A. I think that's accurate, yes.
- Q. So it was only after that that you went ahead
- 24 and submitted new plans for bathrooms, correct?
- 25 A. That's accurate, yes.



- 1 Q. And you did that because you had made the
- 2 decision you were going to rearrange the bathrooms,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Did you make that decision based on the fact
- 6 that you were going to add bathrooms?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Why did you make that decision?
- 9 A. I made that decision because the plans that
- 10 were submitted were intentionally lower occupancy than
- 11 what was going to happen. And there were numbers stated
- 12 that were lower than what we were going to end up having
- 13 in attendance.
- I believe the occupancy in the garage bar is
- 15 listed at 90. It's a terrible day or night when we
- 16 don't have 150 to 400 people there. But Mike wanted the
- 17 numbers understated so he could mislead the county and
- 18 not state accurate numbers.
- And when we discovered that, the team and I,
- 20 including QMG, looked at the reality of it and said
- 21 whether the county lets us get away with that or not, it
- 22 would be stupid to try to put this together and make
- 23 everybody stand in line at a restroom.
- We've all seen the lines at these restrooms
- 25 when there aren't enough facilities. Let's fix it



- 1 before we open instead of waiting until after that
- 2 happened.
- 3 That was my thought process.
- 4 Q. That was your -- and your thought processes
- 5 along those lines occurred when?
- 6 A. The thought processes had been formulating
- 7 probably starting about the first of the year in 2007.
- 8 Q. Okay. So and you had a discussion with Mike
- 9 Myers during January of 2000 -- excuse me, you said
- 10 2011?
- 11 A. '7.
- 12 Q. '7. In January of 2007, you had discussions
- 13 with Mike about that, correct?
- 14 A. That was part of the reason we reached out for
- 15 experts.
- 16 Q. But is your answer yes or no, did you have
- 17 conversations with Mike Myers during January of 2007,
- 18 regarding the bathrooms?
- 19 A. I do not know the answer to that.
- Q. Did you have conversations with QMG in January
- 21 of 2011, regarding the bathrooms?
- 22 A. I don't believe we had hired QMG at that point,
- 23 no.
- Q. When you hired QMG, did you have discussions
- 25 with them about the bathroom?



- 1 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Okay. So you already knew that the bathrooms
- 3 were an issue before you hired QMG, correct?
- 4 A. I did not know that, no.
- 5 Q. And when did you hire QMG?
- 6 A. I'm sorry, I don't know the exact date of that,
- 7 but I think it was in the spring sometime of '07, but
- 8 I'm not certain.
- 9 Q. Could it possibly be December of 2006?
- 10 A. It could be, yeah.
- 11 Q. Or January of 2007?
- 12 A. It could have been. I'm sorry, I don't know
- 13 the answer.
- Q. But you definitely talked to Mike Myers about
- 15 the bathrooms during that period of time, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Okay. And then you guys decided to move
- 18 forward the way the plans were, correct?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. Did you -- did you take any action -- did you
- 21 bring out the letter agreement or the operating
- 22 agreement and it says, Mike, we got to do it my way, we
- 23 got to expand the bathrooms?
- A. Never said that to Mike. The words you just
- 25 used, anyway.



- 1 Q. With respect to the garage bar, those changes
- 2 that were made in the garage bar, those were made after
- 3 Mike Myers left, correct? Or you fired him? Removed
- 4 Mike Myers on June 27th, 2007?
- 5 A. I'm sorry, I don't know the exact dates,
- 6 whether it started before he left or after. But it was
- 7 right in that same timeframe.
- 8 Q. But did you have to make -- did you have to
- 9 submit new building plans at that point?
- 10 A. You bet. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you had to undo everything that happened
- 12 down in the garage bar, correct?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you un -- did you remove all the millwork
- 15 from the garage bar?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And that was expensive -- expensive millwork,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Very definite, yes.
- Q. And you were present when it was initially
- 21 installed, correct?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Were you -- did you visit the site on or about
- 24 the date that it was initially installed?
- 25 A. No, sir.



- 1 Q. Did you -- do you know how long it took to
- 2 install?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you know when it was installed?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. Did you see it after it was installed?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. When did you first see the millwork in the
- 9 garage bar?
- 10 A. I had been on a business trip and had come back
- 11 to town, and the job was completed at that point.
- 12 Q. And you knew -- but prior to your trip, you
- 13 knew that that was going to be done, correct?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. So you never saw it in the plans?
- 16 A. I might have, but it didn't register the
- 17 result, the final result that was going to be, so,
- 18 unreasonable.
- 19 Q. So -- but you had continuous meetings with Mike
- 20 Myers on a weekly basis, correct?
- 21 A. You --
- 22 Q. During 2007?
- 23 A. Usually, yes.
- 24 Q. And also in 2006?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.



- 1 Q. And 2005, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. So you did know what was going on at Highway 46
- 4 during that period of time, correct?
- 5 A. I knew what I thought was going on on a very
- 6 regular basis, if I was in town, yes.
- 7 Q. And you are a person that takes charge. Would
- 8 that be accurate?
- 9 A. I'm not sure of your definition of that in this
- 10 instance.
- 11 Q. You get your way, do you not, most of the time?
- 12 A. I wish I did. No.
- Q. Well, your business practice is to come into a
- 14 business venture like this and take control, correct?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. And your practice is to go ahead and give you
- 17 absolute control over the project, correct?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. You don't demand absolute control over the
- 20 project?
- 21 A. I create an environment for the person who is
- 22 going to be doing the bulk of the effort to have a lot
- 23 of latitude, unless I determine that they abuse those
- 24 powers.
- 25 Q. Okay.



- 1 A. And then I stop the direction with whatever
- 2 action is appropriate. Just like I did in this case.
- 3 Q. So when you had Mr. Donaghy make the offer to
- 4 Mike Myers and Jackie Myers, you made it clear in those
- 5 documents that you were going to be in absolute control,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. I made it clear in those documents that I had
- 8 the right to be in absolute control, but when they were
- 9 created, I had hoped that Mike would be able to function
- 10 in the capacity he was hired for and not require that I
- 11 come in and terminate his employment.
- 12 O. And --
- 13 A. But it didn't turn out that way.
- Q. And my question is: Those documents that you
- 15 had Kevin Donaghy prepare gives you absolute control,
- 16 correct? Yes or no.
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. So according to those documents, in your mind,
- 19 you have the authority to do anything you want at
- 20 Highway 46, correct?
- 21 A. Absolutely not.
- 22 Q. Even if those documents say that you have
- 23 absolute control, you don't have absolute control,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. You are absolutely correct. Nobody has



- 1 absolute control because there are things that are
- 2 beyond the law that should not be performed there.
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 So and it's -- also, if something that is
- 5 grossly negligent to do, running the business, that
- 6 would not be within your authority to do, correct?
- 7 A. Would you restate that question.
- 8 Q. Yeah.
- 9 Something that's grossly negligent would not be
- 10 something that you would be allowed to do even under
- 11 those contracts that you had Jackie and Mike Myers sign?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And you're not above the law either, so you
- 14 cannot do something that's illegal just because the
- 15 letter agreement says you can do whatever you want?
- 16 A. That's what I just said. Yes. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. And you still have to use due care in your
- 18 management of the business, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Regardless of what those agreements say,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And in this case, when you exercised your right
- 24 to go ahead and change the concept, you had to do that
- 25 with due care, correct?



- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. You couldn't just do it just because you wanted
- 3 to do it, correct?
- 4 A. I might have had the right to do that, but
- 5 that's not the way this decision was made.
- 6 Q. But so you believe you have the right, without
- 7 using due care, to go ahead and change a concept of a
- 8 business venture that began almost two years prior and
- 9 was under construction?
- 10 A. I believe the contract gives me absolute
- 11 control over changing the conceptual concept in that was
- 12 signed, I believe that's true, yes.
- Q. So you don't have to use due care or
- 14 consideration for Mike Myers or Jackie Myers when you
- 15 make that decision; is that your position?
- 16 A. I never said that, no.
- 17 Q. Okay. You would agree with me that you still
- 18 have to use due care in making that decision?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And if you have already spent a significant
- 21 amount of money developing that venture with that
- 22 concept, Jack -- Gassey Jack's, would you not have to
- 23 look at the cost of changing that concept before you
- 24 could decide whether, in fact, it was reasonable or not
- 25 reasonable to do?



- 1 A. Probably, yes.
- Q. One of the considerations when you run a
- 3 business is to run at a profit, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, definitely.
- 5 Q. Okay. So when you're looking to change the
- 6 concept, you need to know where you're at in building
- 7 the business, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. So in June 27, 2007, you were in a position
- 10 where the smokehouse was going to open within four
- 11 weeks, correct?
- 12 A. Not true.
- 13 Q. You had already spent according to -- according
- 14 to your numbers that you gave the jury yesterday, you
- 15 had already spent in construction alone, according to
- 16 your figures, \$8,434,500, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. That's in -- by July 26, 2007, is the figure,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, the figures that you arrived at, that you
- 22 testified to yesterday, you don't have a recollection --
- 23 a personal recollection of the money spent, do you?
- A. No. I remember it very vividly, yes.
- 25 Q. So you remember all the moneys that were spent,



- 1 correct?
- 2 A. I'm the one who writes the checks. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. The figures that you took yesterday were
- 4 taken -- did you get them from some source?
- 5 A. I have people -- I have a bookkeeper, I have a
- 6 CPA.
- 7 Q. Did they give you the figures?
- 8 A. I asked them to compile the numbers. We sat
- 9 down together and looked at them, and that's what was
- 10 written on those pieces of paper.
- 11 Q. So you -- the figures that you testified to
- 12 yesterday were not based on your own personal knowledge?
- 13 A. That's not true.
- Q. Well, did you actually total up the numbers
- 15 or --
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 Q. You did?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Did -- I thought you just said that the CPA or
- 20 somebody else gave you the figures?
- 21 A. No, sir. Those are your words, not mine.
- Q. Okay. What did you do in preparing to testify
- 23 with respect to the amount of money spent as of July 26,
- 24 2007?
- 25 A. Got the bookkeeper, whose name is Mary Sue



- 1 Scott. I got the CPA, whose name is Bill Myer.
- 2 The three of us -- I told them what I was looking for.
- 3 The three of us got together, we put the numbers
- 4 together that were accurate, and they were then recorded
- 5 on that sheet of paper.
- 6 Q. Okay. So you got your information from Mary
- 7 Sue Scott, who's your daughter -- your former
- 8 daughter-in-law, correct?
- 9 A. Mary Sue Scott was married to my son at one
- 10 point and is the mother of five -- three -- three of my
- 11 grandchildren, that's correct.
- 12 O. And then the CPA is who?
- 13 A. Bill Myer.
- 14 Q. You did not go back and get the -- go back and
- 15 look at the source of the information, correct?
- 16 A. Not correct.
- 17 Q. You did go back and look at the source?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And how did you do that?
- 20 A. I have a checkbook that I write checks in that
- 21 has a ledger, and it has copies, and that was part of
- 22 the materials that I brought to the meeting with me, so
- 23 I could verify that the numbers that they produced off
- 24 the books of the company matched the checks that I had
- 25 written.



- So, yes, that was the process. I was very
- 2 involved in that.
- 3 Q. So the numbers that you totaled up is all the
- 4 checks that you had written up to July 26, 2007,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That is one of the sources for all that
- 7 information, yes.
- Q. As soon as you got rid -- or as soon as you
- 9 terminated Mike Myers, you started your vision of the
- 10 project immediately, correct?
- 11 A. First -- I terminated Mike Myers' employment.
- 12 And then I gathered my team and we analyzed all the
- 13 changes we thought were going to be required. And then
- 14 we started on that path. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And when did you start on that path?
- 16 A. Immediately.
- 17 Q. That's -- now, your figure of 8,434,500, as of
- 18 July 26, 2007, that included the figure spent on that
- 19 date of \$385,000, correct.
- 20 A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
- 21 Q. Did that figure, that 7 -- the figure on
- 22 8,434,500, did that figure include a payment you made
- 23 into the business for construction costs of \$385,000?
- A. I'm assuming that if that's what's on the piece
- 25 of paper in front of you, that that's the number, yes.



- 1 Q. Okay. And then on July 3rd, you made a payment
- 2 of \$735,000?
- 3 A. If that's the number that's on the piece of
- 4 paper, yes. I believe I remember that number.
- 5 Q. And your testimony is that all these entries
- 6 from February 10, 2006, to -- or whenever, until
- 7 7/26/2007, are all construction costs?
- 8 A. I would have to look at the paper to quote
- 9 those numbers, but that sounds accurate, yes.
- 10 Q. So based on your numbers, you had already spent
- 11 8,434,500 as of July 26th, 2007, correct?
- 12 A. If that's what's on that paper and that's what
- 13 I testified to yesterday, that is correct, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And I believe you also testified yesterday that
- 15 you had spent \$1,849,546.80 in operating expenses.
- Do you remember that figure?
- 17 A. I don't remember the exact numbers, but that
- 18 sounds accurate.
- 19 There is an -- there's an operating loan
- 20 account, in addition to the construction account. Yes,
- 21 in fact, there's several of them.
- Q. Okay. But that's operation expenses, correct?
- 23 A. I think that's the heading on that paper.
- 24 Operations, yes.
- 25 Q. Do you know when those expenses were incurred?



- 1 A. Which expenses?
- 2 Q. The operating expenses of \$1,849,546.80?
- 3 A. I believe those numbers are recorded on the
- 4 piece of paper that you're holding in your hand.
- 5 Q. Okay. Well --
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: May I approach the witness, your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: You may.
- 9 Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as
- 10 Plaintiffs' Exhibit M for identification.
- And just tell me what that million, eight
- 12 hundred thousand is?
- 13 A. This million, eight hundred thousand?
- 14 Q. Yes, sir.
- 15 A. That would be -- that would be the operating
- 16 line of credit payable to Don and Glenda Hachenberger
- 17 from December 30th -- the first entry is 5/3 of '05,
- 18 what would that be, June 3rd of '05, (sic) through 7/10
- 19 of '07.
- Q. What operating expenses were there during that
- 21 period of time?
- 22 A. There would have been payroll for the staff.
- 23 There would have been utilities. There would have been
- 24 a list as long as my arm of items that would add up to
- 25 being paid out of the category of operating expenses.



- 1 But labor for the management team, Brad Nicks was in
- 2 there, Nick Carlin was in there, a long list of other
- 3 people.
- 4 There would have been the utilities. There
- 5 would have been expense accounts. There would have
- 6 been -- there would have been -- I mean, I can't think
- 7 of -- there's hundreds -- there are dozens, at least, of
- 8 items that would make that up.
- 9 Q. And then you spent additional costs of
- 10 \$5,777,642.90?
- 11 A. I need a better reference for what you're
- 12 referring to.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- MR. BENITEZ: I'm sorry, Judge, may I approach
- 15 the witness again.
- THE COURT: You may.
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: I'm giving the witness a
- 18 Plaintiffs' Exhibit for identification. And may I
- 19 point to the exhibit, Judge.
- THE COURT: You may.
- 21 A. The number that you're pointing to is 5
- 22 million --
- 23 Q. No.
- A. I'm sorry.
- MR. BENITEZ: May I ask him a question. I



- didn't mean to point and have him read it. I was
- 2 just --
- 3 THE COURT: It's the number you just read to
- 4 him.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. I did.
- 6 THE COURT: Is there a problem with him
- 7 repeating it?
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: Just to make sure you're on the
- same page.
- MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 12 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 13 Q. Go ahead.
- 14 A. The line item that you pointed to was the
- 15 additional cost of \$5,777,642.90.
- 16 Q. And what was that for?
- 17 A. That was the total of the additional
- 18 construction costs that were added after Mike Myers'
- 19 employment was terminated.
- 20 Q. There's a figure of \$5,275,023.10. What was
- 21 that amount for?
- 22 A. This is meant to represent the difference
- 23 between the \$23,036,712.80 that Glenda and I put in to
- 24 date, minus the \$17,761,689.70 that I believe was spent
- 25 prior to this litigation beginning.



- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. So since the litigation started, there have
- 3 been \$5,275,023.10 spent since the litigation began in
- 4 '08.
- 5 Q. And what was -- what did that expense consist
- 6 of besides attorneys' fees?
- 7 A. It would have also included operating costs.
- 8 It would be labor, utilities. All the same sorts of
- 9 things. Because it would have included all categories
- 10 of expense, including the operating expenses, warehouse
- 11 expense. Lots of things like that.
- 12 Q. So the expenses of this litigation you are
- 13 charging to Highway 46, correct?
- 14 A. Any costs that the corporation is defending
- 15 charges that someone made against us, I believe, is a
- 16 legitimate business expense and, therefore, should be
- 17 paid for by the entity that's being sued, and that is
- 18 Highway 46 Holdings.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you sued on behalf of Highway 46
- 20 Holdings in this case, correct?
- 21 A. Highway 46 Holdings sued the Myers to enforce
- 22 the provisions of the contract that they entered into,
- 23 yes.
- Q. It's my -- yeah, the answer is yes, correct?
- 25 A. Would you restate the guestion?



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: I'll withdraw it, Judge. He's
- 2 answered the question.
- 3 Q. So, you started this lawsuit, correct?
- 4 A. Highway 46 Holdings started the lawsuit when
- 5 the Myers did not perform under the terms of the
- 6 agreement. And that seems to be the most legitimate
- 7 approach, is to file a lawsuit.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, I object. Nonresponsive.
- 9 A. And ask that the contract be enforced.
- 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry, you were talking at the
- same time, so I couldn't hear you.
- MR. BENITEZ: Nonresponsive.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Go ahead.
- 15 Q. So that \$5,275,023.10 that has been spent by
- 16 Highway 46, according to you, includes all the conduct
- 17 or all the actions or omissions that have been relayed
- 18 to the jury during this trial regarding your involvement
- 19 with Mike Myers or Jackie Myers, correct?
- 20 A. Would you restate that question?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 That figure, \$5,275,023.10 that you are
- 23 charging to Highway 46, that has to do with all expenses
- 24 other than the construction expense, the operating
- 25 expense of a million 8 that we talked about before, the



- 1 equity of \$1.3 million to pay off the mortgage on the
- 2 property, and the \$400,000 in cash, that was a part of
- 3 the paperwork on July 7, 2005, correct?
- 4 A. I lost the question in all those number. I'm
- 5 sorry.
- 6 Q. Your attitude is that you're going to do
- 7 whatever you want to do regardless of cost. Is that a
- 8 fair statement?
- 9 A. I do not believe that's true, sir, no.
- 10 Q. And in this case, when you incur a cost on
- 11 behalf of Highway 46, it is a cost that will be shared
- 12 in one sense or another with Jackie Myers and Mike
- 13 Myers, correct?
- 14 A. I operate under the terms of a letter agreement
- 15 and an operating agreement, that I have authority to
- 16 issue loans that I've accounted for in the paper that
- 17 you have in front of you.
- 18 Q. And, in other words, all the money spent by you
- 19 is a loan to Highway 46 Holdings?
- 20 A. I spent money every day for lots of other
- 21 things besides the numbers that are on those sheets --
- 22 Q. But my question --
- 23 A. -- that I do not charge to Highway 46 Holdings.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. So your statement is inaccurate.



- 1 Q. Okay. Tell me why you would spend money for
- 2 Highway 46 Holdings and not charge it to Highway 46
- 3 Holdings?
- 4 A. I didn't say that.
- 5 Q. Give me an example of that occurring?
- 6 A. I didn't say that.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. I don't have an example.
- 9 Q. So every -- all the money that you've spent
- 10 regarding Highway 46 Holdings is documented in the
- 11 testimony that you provided with respect to what you
- 12 paid as of today, correct?
- 13 A. Would you restate that question for me?
- 14 Q. Yeah.
- The testimony that you gave the jury yesterday
- 16 and today regarding all your expenses on behalf of
- 17 Highway 46, is all the money that you spent on
- 18 Highway 46?
- 19 A. I'm struggling with the definition of the
- 20 statements that you are making, and I feel like you're
- 21 trying to put words in my mouth that I don't agree with.
- I would respectfully request you reask the
- 23 question so I can understand it a little more clearly,
- 24 please.
- 25 Q. All the money that you spent related to



- 1 Highway 46, is that included in the amount of money that
- 2 you relayed to the jury today and yesterday?
- 3 A. To the best of my knowledge, it's all contained
- 4 in those numbers that I quoted, yes, sir, that's on
- 5 paper that's in front of you.
- 6 Q. Okay. So you haven't spent any other money
- 7 that's not accounted for, as far as you know, sitting
- 8 here today?
- 9 A. I have spent a lot of money that's not
- 10 accounted for that I did not charge to Highway 46.
- 11 Q. Okay. But you've got a letter -- you've got a
- 12 credit -- a loan -- a credit line agreement with
- 13 Highway 46, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 15 Q. And if you spent any money on behalf of
- 16 Highway 46, you get it reimbursed in the form of a loan
- 17 with interest, correct?
- 18 A. Well, yes, that's accurate, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. So why would you not account for the
- 20 money that you allege to have spent on behalf of
- 21 Highway 46?
- THE COURT: Counsel, approach, please.
- 23 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 24 the hearing of the jury.)
- MR. CHASE: You didn't ask a guestion, you said



1 all the money you spent is that accounted here. No. 2 What he's saying, I spend money all the time. That 3 is the question, all the money that you spent on Highway 46 is accounted there. 4 5 MR. BENITEZ: T did. 6 THE COURT: No, you didn't. And that's the That you're continually asking a question 7 problem. 8 that is not accurate. He's answered the question 9 multiple times: That every piece of money you spent 10 on 46 is accounted for in the numbers that he's 11 testified to. What is not accounted for there, is 12 other money that he spends unrelated to Highway 46. 13 That's all. And -- but your question isn't accurate and 14 15 that's creating a problem. 16 Am I getting feedback or something here? 17 He's being clear. It's your questions, 18 Mr. Benitez, that are creating an issue. 19 MR. BENITEZ: Okay. 20 THE COURT: I think we can move on. 21 (Bench conference concluded.) 22 BY MR. BENITEZ: 23 All the money that you spent on behalf of 0. 24 Highway 46, or related to Highway 46, you've accounted 25 for in your testimony before the jury; is that true?

- 1 A. That was not my testimony.
- Q. In running the business, you have operated the
- 3 business, correct?
- 4 A. I have been involved in the operation of the
- 5 business, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. When did you first operate the business,
- 7 do you remember? Give the jury an idea, either a month
- 8 and a year or a season or --
- 9 A. Beginning in June, the end of June in 2007, I
- 10 terminated the employment of Mike Myers, who had been
- 11 both operating the business. And that's when I believe
- 12 I took over the, quote, operations of the business.
- 13 Q. Okay. But my question is -- and I -- it's
- 14 probably my question this time. Let me ask it again.
- Did you do business as Highway 46 prior to Mike
- 16 Myers leaving your employment?
- 17 A. Did I do business?
- 18 Q. Highway 46.
- 19 A. Highway 46 was formed, I believe, in the spring
- 20 of '05, and it started operating as soon as it was
- 21 formed. After the bank accounts were set up and the
- 22 moneys were deposited, things started operating in the
- 23 spring of 2005.
- Q. Were you doing business in 2005 as Highway 46?
- 25 A. Would you define doing business, please.



- 1 Q. Yes. Like setting up an event, catering an
- 2 event, providing food or services to any third party for
- 3 a profit or for -- of charge?
- 4 A. I believe that didn't begin until probably
- 5 later. Might have been in '07, but it could have been a
- 6 little bit before that. Because you need permits and
- 7 you need restaurant licensing and things of that nature
- 8 that I don't believe we had at that point.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you remember the Harley Davidson
- 10 affair or event in 2007?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Okay. Was that a catering event?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 O. What kind of an event was it?
- 15 A. We -- we set up a bar. We had a -- we took a
- 16 trailer, we set up a bar. We may have cooked hotdogs or
- 17 hamburgers or something. But I think it was mostly
- 18 involved with -- with the liquor aspect of it, as I
- 19 recall.
- 20 Q. Okay. Those are the kind of events I'm talking
- 21 about.
- 22 So did you have any other -- any other events
- 23 up to the time that you terminated Mike Myers?
- 24 A. We had an event that the Re/Max -- Re/Max of
- 25 Florida contracted with our company to prepare a meal



- 1 for brokers/owners in Lakeland, Florida.
- 2 Q. Anything else prior to June 27, 2007?
- 3 A. Those are the only two things that come to
- 4 mind.
- 5 Q. After June 27, 2007, what was your first event
- 6 that you held?
- 7 A. The first thing that I can recall is we opened
- 8 the smokehouse in February of '08. And I don't recall
- 9 any other events.
- 10 Q. Okay. Has the smokehouse been open
- 11 continuously from February 2008, to the present date?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- Q. Can you tell the jury when it was closed and
- 14 when it was opened again?
- 15 A. It was closed -- the whole facility, Route 46
- 16 Entertainment District, closed for operation in July of
- 17 2012, and was closed for four years.
- 18 Q. So it did not operate at all for four years --
- 19 for those four years?
- 20 A. Route --
- 21 MR. CHASE: Objection.
- Judge, may we approach.
- THE COURT: Approach, please.
- 24 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 25 the hearing of the jury.)



1 I'm sorry, I got -- Mr. Shuker. MR. CHASE: 2 The Court issued a directed MR. SHUKER: 3 verdict on that issue yesterday. The closing of the business, that's out. 4 5 MR. CHASE: That's outside the scope. So my 6 objection would be outside the scope. And I would 7 ask for a corrected instruction. MR. BENITEZ: Closing of the business. 8 9 MR. SHUKER: The testimony we just heard --10 MR. BENITEZ: No, this goes to the management 11 of the business. It's not necessarily to the 12 closing. We need to know when it's operating. 13 didn't --14 MR. CHASE: I could see that. 15 THE COURT: Yeah, I think it's fair game. 16 MR. CHASE: I could see that. 17 So, Judge, and that was what I was concerned about when I talked about -- and I'm not -- I'm 18 19 sorry. It seems like I'm ruling after -- I forgot 20 to make an argument. Yesterday, when I argued for 2.1 directed verdict of mismanagement, all of the 22 allegations of mismanagement were those other things 23 that were thrown out. So how could he then come in 2.4 and say that as a general rule. 25 So if I have mismanagement as a collection of



1 all these individual things, all the individual 2 things are gone, then what do you have left. 3 MR. BENITEZ: It's other issues --4 THE COURT: That's fine. 5 MR. CHASE: All right. (Bench conference concluded.) 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 You may proceed. 9 MR. BENITEZ: Thank you, your Honor. 10 May the court reporter read back the question. THE COURT: Yes, sir. Unless the witness --11 12 Madam Court Reporter, can you read the question 13 back. 14 (The court reporter read back the requested 15 portion.) 16 It closed in July of 2012, and it reopened in September of 2016. That's approximately four years, 17 18 yes. 19 During those four years, the smokehouse was not Q. 20 opened, the saloon was not opened, the band area was not 21 open, or Monroe, correct? 22 Α. That's accurate, yes. 23 Now, has all the facilities been open between Q. September 2016, and today's date? 24 25 No, sir. Α.



- 1 Q. Okay. Tell me when there was another break
- 2 between September 2016, and today's date?
- 3 A. September 5th, we opened the smokehouse. And
- 4 it is operating continuously since we opened it.
- I believe in early December, we opened the
- 6 garage bar, and it has operated on Thursday, Friday, and
- 7 Saturday nights, since its opening.
- 8 The saloon has not reopened as a stand-alone
- 9 entity.
- Monroe's has not reopened as a stand-alone
- 11 entity.
- But those spaces are used as often as possible
- 13 for private events, weddings, business meetings, any
- 14 type of thing that can generate revenue for the
- 15 business.
- Q. So it's available, but it's not a restaurant?
- 17 Would that be accurate?
- 18 A. I would probably not use those terms, no.
- 19 Q. Okay. It is not being used for people to sit
- 20 down and order food out of a menu?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And then, between June 2007, and July of
- 23 2000 and -- excuse me. Let rephrase.
- 24 You said you indicated the smokehouse opened in
- 25 February of 2008?



- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When did the rest -- and did that stay open
- 3 continuously that time to September 20, 2016?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. When did that close down and reopen again?
- 6 A. The whole venue closed, as I just stated, on --
- 7 in July of 2012.
- 8 Q. Okay. Okay. So the smokehouse then was
- 9 continuously open between February 2008, to July 2012?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And was the -- when was the band area opened?
- 12 A. I believe we opened it initially in sometime in
- 13 the spring of '09, and I'm going to think it was April
- 14 or May, or something in that regard.
- And it operated until July of 2012, and then it
- 16 reopened in early December of 2016, and has continued to
- 17 operate on Thursday, Friday and Saturday, to present.
- 18 Q. Okay. How about the garage bar?
- 19 A. I thought you just asked about the garage bar.
- 20 That was the answer I just gave you.
- 21 Q. That was the band. Okay.
- 22 A. The garage bar and the band area are all
- 23 combined. That's one entity called the garage bar.
- 24 O. I see.
- 25 So the garage bar and the band would've



- 1 followed, would've opened in September of '09, until --
- 2 A. No.
- 3 O. Excuse me?
- 4 A. I'm so sorry, I should have let you finish.
- 5 Q. Okay. I thought you indicated that it was
- 6 September of '09, that they opened, the garage bar?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. No.
- 9 When did it open?
- 10 A. Garage bar opened, I believe, in the spring of
- 11 '09, and it might have been April or May or something in
- 12 that regard.
- 13 Q. I apologize.
- 14 A. No problem.
- 15 Q. And so, the dates that you just gave the jury,
- 16 which -- and I'm just going to give them to you again
- 17 just for you to correct them to make sure.
- 18 From spring of '09, to September 20th, of '12,
- 19 the garage bar and the band area was open?
- 20 A. Yes. Parts of it.
- 21 Q. And then --
- 22 A. Parts of the week it was open, yes.
- Q. And then it reopened in December of 2016?
- A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. And then, what about the saloon, when



1 was it opened and when was it closed and when was it

2 reopened?

- 3 A. The saloon and Monroe's kind of operate
- 4 together. They were opened in, I believe, January of
- 5 '09.
- 6 MR. CHASE: Your Honor, may we approach.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, please.
- 8 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 9 the hearing of the jury.)
- 10 MR. CHASE: All of this is beyond the scope.
- 11 And he could have -- yes, maybe it goes to
- mismanagement. But he should have brought it up in
- 13 his case of chief.
- I never said anything about any of this closing
- or anything like that. That never came up in scope.
- 16 It's clearly beyond the scope.
- 17 While it may be relevant for his management, he
- had to bring it up and he didn't. And I would
- ask -- I would object to it, and I would ask the
- jury to disregard it.
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: And I think it's relevant --
- well, I don't think he's arguing relevancy.
- THE COURT: He's arguing scope.
- 24 MR. BENITEZ: But I think it's appropriate
- 25 cross examination.



- 1 THE COURT: Is it in the scope of the direct
- 2 examination?
- 3 MR. BENITEZ: Let me think. Yes, it is.
- As a matter of fact, that's where I came from,
- 5 is that sheet that he submitted with respect to all
- 6 the operating expenses and so on. There has to be a
- 7 background when they were opened and not opened.
- 8 And I'm getting into those issues.
- 9 MR. CHASE: That was a good argument,
- 10 counselor.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: Well, I'm not --
- 12 MR. CHASE: It was good.
- MR. BENITEZ: I'm not wasting time for the heck
- of it, Judge, I'm trying to do things to move the
- 15 case along.
- 16 THE COURT: Well -- I understand, but I need
- 17 you to listen to his answers the first time and
- 18 let's not repeat the same testimony over and over.
- 19 Because that's what you're doing. Because you
- 20 didn't hear the answers the first time, we're having
- 21 to all listen to it again.
- MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, Judge, and the problem is
- 23 when I wrote spring, I thought it came out in my
- 24 handwriting as July, or whatever I said. That's the
- 25 mistake. I just want to make sure.



1 It's not just one mistake. THE COURT: It's 2 the entire thing we're now going through on the 3 second time. So let's get it down and then let's 4 move on. 5 Do you not know this --MR. BENITEZ: What's that? 6 7 THE COURT: When the bar -- when all this stuff 8 was opened and closed through discovery. 9 MR. BENITEZ: I'm trying to make sure that the 10 jury gets it. 11 THE COURT: Do you not know this from 12 discovery? MR. BENITEZ: I have -- no, I don't know the 13 14 specific dates, Judge. 15 THE COURT: You don't know when this operation 16 was opened and closed from discovery in an 17 eight-year-old case? 18 MR. BENITEZ: All the opens and closes, no, 19 your Honor, I don't. 20 THE COURT: Then why is it relevant? 2.1 I mean, why does it matter if it wasn't worth 22 discovery, why is it worth it now? 23 Get it down the first time and move on. MR. BENITEZ: I will. 2.4 25 (Discussion held off the record.)



```
1
                         Folks, it's 10:30, we're going to
             THE COURT:
 2
        make take a midmorning recess.
 3
             During this recess, all of the same cautions
        still apply. Please don't talk to each other about
 4
 5
        the case. No independent research. Don't post
 6
        anything on social media.
 7
             Fifteen minutes. Okay. Thank you.
 8
             THE DEPUTY: Jurors, follow me.
 9
             (Jury exiting.)
10
             THE COURT: Fifteen-minute recess.
11
             (A recess was taken.)
12
             THE COURT: All right. We're all set?
13
             MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
14
             THE COURT: Return the jury, please.
15
             (Jury entering.)
16
             THE DEPUTY: Jury entering.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18
             You may be seated.
19
                  Benitez, you may resume.
             Mr.
20
             MR. BENITEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
2.1
    BY MR. BENITEZ:
22
             Mr. Hachenberger, we were at the saloon and
        Q.
23
    Monroe opening on -- I believe you indicated, and this
24
    is just for reference -- January in 2009; is that
25
    correct?
```



- 1 A. That's what I believe, yes.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell us when it closed and
- 3 reopened, if it did?
- 4 A. It ceased operations in -- excuse me, in July
- 5 of 2012, just like the other venues at Route 46
- 6 Entertainment District.
- 7 Q. Has either of those reopened?
- 8 A. Not as a stand-alone entity.
- 9 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 10 A. They're used for -- as often as we can, for
- 11 private events, where we would have a business meeting
- 12 that might include using a saloon as the bar, a wedding,
- 13 or things of that nature, that whole area is utilized
- 14 for those functions.
- 15 Q. Do you anticipate opening the saloon or the
- 16 Monroe as stand-alone facilities any time soon?
- 17 A. I don't know exactly when that will happen. We
- 18 would like to, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. But -- now, you made money during the
- 20 operation of all these business -- these venues, we'll
- 21 call them, while they were open, correct?
- 22 A. I made money?
- Q. No. I apologize.
- 24 Highway 46 made money, correct?
- 25 A. Highway 46 was in the business of selling



- 1 products for profit. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how much money they made?
- 3 A. I do not know exact numbers, no.
- 4 Q. Have you -- and you never told Mike Myers or
- 5 Jackie Myers the amount of money they made, correct?
- 6 A. I don't think that's accurate. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did you tell Mike Myers how much money
- 8 you made, made on the saloon and the Monroe facility,
- 9 between January of '09, and July of 2012?
- 10 A. I think I previously stated that there were tax
- 11 returns filed for some of those years, and I think
- 12 that's where the indication of the income and expenses
- 13 and everything would've been outlined.
- Q. Okay. So other than the tax returns, you
- 15 didn't give them a specific register or ledger for the
- 16 saloon and the Monroe venues and what they made during
- 17 January of 2009, and July of 2012?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. The tax returns, you would agree with me, is on
- 20 behalf of Highway 46, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. So the tax returns would not indicate what was
- 23 made at the saloon, as opposed to the Monroe, as opposed
- 24 to the garage bar, correct?
- 25 A. That's totally wrong.



- 1 Q. Okay. Explain it to the jury.
- 2 A. Highway 46 Holdings, LLC owns the property and
- 3 the buildings and the equipment that the business is
- 4 operated from.
- 5 Route 46 Entertainment District is a
- 6 wholly-owned subsidiary, which does the day-to-day
- 7 operation. It has -- it employs, people. It buys the
- 8 food. It sells the food. It does things of that
- 9 nature. So the operations are under a different LLC,
- 10 but it's wholly owned by Highway 46 Holdings.
- 11 So whatever the results of those sales would be
- 12 are reported in the same tax return as Highway 46
- 13 Holdings because it's a wholly-owned subsidiary.
- 14 Q. Okay. So the tax return itself would not
- 15 indicate the amount of money made on the Monroe
- 16 restaurant while it was operating, correct?
- 17 MR. CHASE: Objection, foundation.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 Go ahead.
- 20 A. I'm not sure to what degree those numbers are
- 21 broken down so I can't answer that question.
- Q. Other than the tax returns, have you provided
- 23 any other documents to Mike Myers or Jackie Myers since
- 24 June 27, 2007, regarding any of the income from either
- 25 Route 46 Entertainment District or Highway 46 Holdings?



- 1 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.
- 2 Q. You would agree with me that they are entitled
- 3 to information about the business, correct, as members?
- 4 MR. CHASE: Objection, foundation.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 6 Lay a foundation.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 8 Q. Do you have an obligation as a manager of
- 9 Highway 46 and as a manager of Route 46 Entertainment
- 10 District, to provide financial information to the
- 11 members of those entities?
- MR. CHASE: Objection, legal conclusion.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 A. Probably, yes.
- 15 Q. Have you done that?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You did not consult with the Myers prior to
- 18 creating the entity Route 46 Entertainment District,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Route 46 Entertainment District was established
- 21 after Mike Myers' employment ended.
- 22 Q. So my question is: Have you pro -- did you
- 23 consult with Mike Myers or Jackie Myers prior to
- 24 organizing the limited liability company by the name of
- 25 Route 46 Entertainment District?



- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. If Route 46 Entertainment District is the
- 3 wholly-owned subsidiary of Highway 46 Holdings, would
- 4 you agree with me that Jackie Myers and Mike Myers
- 5 should've been informed of the creation of that entity?
- 6 MR. CHASE: Objection, foundation.
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 Q. So Route 46 Entertainment would put on events
- 9 and obtain income; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, you also indicated that you had
- 12 included in your figures to the jury lawsuits and -- or
- 13 attorneys' fees. Do you remember that?
- 14 A. I do. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you have other -- you did have other
- 16 lawsuits other than this lawsuit as Highway 46 Holdings,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. I don't recall any off the top of my head. No,
- 19 I don't think so.
- THE COURT: Approach, please.
- 21 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 22 the hearing of the jury.)
- 23 THE COURT: Where's this headed?
- MR. BENITEZ: Two lawsuits that he's got. One
- 25 against OMG. There was a lawsuit against OMG that



1 apparently is part of these moneys that he's talking 2 about. 3 The other one was a lawsuit by APSAC (sic), which is the watchdog for like the radio, when you 4 5 have a radio or a television in a bar, you have to 6 pay money for the royalties and so on. Those are the two lawsuits I know specifically 7 about. I don't know if there's others. 8 9 THE COURT: And what's the relevance of this? 10 MR. BENITEZ: Goes to the sheet that he's 11 presented with respect to attorneys' fees. 12 THE COURT: Right. But why does it matter? Is 13 it an allegation of mismanagement to bring 14 litigation for claims? 15 MR. BENITEZ: If there is an allegation --16 THE COURT: Is there an allegation of 17 mismanagement for bringing these claims? 18 MR. BENITEZ: No. 19 THE COURT: Then why is it relevant? 20 MR. BENITEZ: Because he brought it up with 2.1 respect to attorneys' fees and I don't want the jury thinking this is the only lawsuit where the 22 attorneys' fees have been incurred. 23 2.4 It's prejudicial. MR. CHASE:

THE COURT:

25

I don't think it's relevant and I'm

- 1 struggling with -- we're not going to break those
- amounts out for the jury. They're not awarding
- 3 damages.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: They ought to know that it's not
- 5 just this one lawsuit.
- 6 THE COURT: It doesn't matter. What difference
- 7 does it make? They're not awarding any damages
- 8 associated with -- so what difference does it make?
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: Other than informing the jury the
- testimony is clear, I don't see anything else.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. But we're not going there.
- 12 (Bench conference concluded.)
- 13 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- Q. Now, where the property sits, there's a vacant
- 15 lot right next to it, to the west, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And that is owned by you, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And that was clear by Mike Myers and others
- 20 working for Highway 46, correct?
- 21 MR. CHASE: Objection, foundation, speculation.
- What was the verb?
- THE COURT: That was clear?
- MR. BENITEZ: Clear, like --
- MR. CHASE: I thought he said fear.



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: No. Clear.
- 2 THE COURT: Could you rephrase the question.
- 3 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 Q. When you brought that property -- I'll just
- 5 make it clearer since the Court's comments.
- 6 When you bought that property right west of the
- 7 facilities, Highway 46, was that after you already were
- 8 in business with Mike Myers and Jackie Myers?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And it was a -- it was a number of acres. How
- 11 many acres were there?
- 12 A. Approximately five.
- 13 MR. CHASE: Objection, relevance.
- 14 THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard on
- 15 relevance?
- MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay, approach.
- 18 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 19 the hearing of the jury.)
- MR. BENITEZ: The relevancy would be that I was
- 21 going to ask him, when clearing that property and
- 22 prepping that property, those were charges made to
- Highway 46.
- THE COURT: Did he buy it personally?
- MR. BENITEZ: Yes. It's not Highway 46.



- 1 THE COURT: It's not Highway 46. Let's make
- 2 that clear, and then I'm fine with that.
- 3 MR. BENITEZ: You're fine.
- 4 THE COURT: Yes. Just make it clear that it's
- 5 not Highway 46, it's him personally.
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: Okay.
- 7 THE COURT: Sure.
- 8 (Bench conference concluded.)
- 9 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 10 Q. Mr. Hachenberger, the property that I'm
- 11 referring to that's west of Highway 46, and the business
- 12 that we inspected on Friday, that is owned individually
- 13 by you, correct?
- 14 A. That is owned by a LLC that I am the only
- 15 member in.
- 16 Q. Okay. And when that property was clear -- and
- 17 let me just make sure -- clear it up.
- 18 It has -- it is not owned by Highway 46
- 19 Holdings, LLC, correct?
- 20 A. No. It is owned by an LLC that I am the only
- 21 member of.
- 22 Q. And when that property was worked on, the
- 23 charges that were incurred were put on Highway 46's
- 24 balance sheet, or books?
- 25 A. I'm not sure that I could say that's accurate.



- Q. Can you say whether that's inaccurate?
- 2 A. I couldn't say either way. It's possible.
- 3 Q. With respect to any changes in Highway 46 or
- 4 Route 46 Entertainment District after June 27, 2007, did
- 5 you ever consult with Jackie or Mike Myers?
- 6 MR. CHASE: Objection, asked and answered.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: I'm opening it up, your Honor, to
- 8 encompass --
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 A. No.
- MR. BENITEZ: May I have a second with my
- 12 client, your Honor?
- 13 THE COURT: You may.
- 14 MR. BENITEZ: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- Anything, Mr. Shuker?
- 17 MR. SHUKER: Yes, your Honor, briefly.
- 18 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. SHUKER:
- Q. I had to see if it was morning or afternoon.
- 21 Good morning, Mr. Hachenberger.
- 22 A. Good morning.
- MR. SHUKER: Your Honor, there's a tag here.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez, does that relate to an
- exhibit that you had?



- 2 Mr. Benitez.
- 3 MR. BENITEZ: Oh. I apologize, your Honor.
- 4 Yes. Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Does that relate to an exhibit?
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: Yes.
- 7 THE COURT: Did that come off of an exhibit?
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, it did. It's the one I had
- 9 on the podium.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. If you could hand it to the
- 11 clerk, let her resecure that.
- MR. SHUKER: May I inquire?
- 13 THE COURT: You may.
- 14 BY MR. SHUKER:
- 15 Q. Mr. Benitez asked you about some of the
- 16 operations and post the termination of Mike Myers and
- 17 what you did or didn't do, and I want to drill down on
- 18 that a little.
- Subsequent to Mr. Myers' firing, you've never
- 20 taken a salary from Highway 46, have you?
- 21 A. No, sir, not a penny.
- Q. Okay. And on the roughly \$25 million in loans,
- 23 you've had no repayment of interest, correct?
- 24 A. No repayments of any kind.
- Q. No repayments of principal, correct?



- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And so, the only thing you've done since you
- 3 terminated Mr. Myers, for the reasons you've stated, is
- 4 to lend almost 25 million to keep this property
- 5 maintained and in good order; is that correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. And to the extent that you loaned funds to
- 8 maintain this property, it benefits all the owners,
- 9 including the Myers, correct?
- 10 A. Definitely. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And since you terminated Mr. Myers, you haven't
- 12 taken any acts to impair that, your own loan, have you?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- Q. And since you terminated Mr. Myers, you haven't
- 15 taken any acts to impair their equity value, have you?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. And to the extent the property is well
- 18 maintained and looks good and is not run down, their
- 19 equity is enhanced?
- 20 A. I believe that's true.
- 21 Q. So the actions you've done by loaning your own
- 22 money on what might right now be a dubious loan, has
- 23 actually benefitted their ownership?
- 24 A. Very definitely.
- MR. SHUKER: No further questions.



- 1 THE COURT: Redirect.
- 2 MR. CHASE: May it please the Court.
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. CHASE:
- 5 Q. Going in reverse order --
- THE COURT: Microphone, please.
- 7 MR. CHASE: Sorry, Judge.
- 8 Q. Going in reverse order.
- 9 Why did you buy the property that's next to the
- 10 46 property?
- 11 A. The Route 46 Entertainment District as a
- 12 complex has lots of different uses, and at times like
- 13 New Year's Eve, can have in excess of a thousand people
- 14 there. I think there's roughly 110 or 120 designated
- 15 parking spaces on the original five acres.
- 16 Q. So could the additional property be used as
- 17 overflow parking?
- 18 A. It is used all the time for overflow parking.
- 19 Q. It is used for overflow parking?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Which benefits Highway 46 Holdings, LLC?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 And they have car shows that are held entirely
- 24 out there.
- 25 Q. You were asked about consulting with the Myers.



```
1
             What is -- can you describe to the jury your
 2
    ability to have a conversation with Michael Myers?
 3
             MR. BENITEZ: Object.
 4
             And request a side bar.
 5
             THE COURT: Approach, please.
             (A conference was held at the bench outside of
 6
 7
        the hearing of the jury.)
 8
             MR. BENITEZ: I don't know where he's going
 9
        with this, but it's dangerous. He's now asking the
10
        witness to explain why he's limited in talking to --
11
             MR. CHASE: No.
12
             MR. BENITEZ: -- the Myers.
13
             THE COURT: Why? We've asked repeatedly about
14
        whether he's consulted with the Myers.
15
             MR. BENITEZ: Oh, okay. The reason for the
16
        side bar is how far are we going to go into the
17
        injunctions?
18
             MR. CHASE:
                         Oh, I'm not -- I'm not going into
19
        the injunctions.
20
             MR. BENITEZ: Oh, you aren't?
2.1
             MR. CHASE: No, sir.
22
                         You might want to redirect him a
             THE COURT:
23
        little bit so we don't go into that.
2.4
             MR. CHASE:
                         Okay.
25
             (Bench conference concluded.)
```



- 1 BY MR. CHASE:
- Q. Mr. Hachenberger, listen closely to my question
- 3 so that we don't invite anything that I'm not asking
- 4 for.
- 5 Let me ask it a different way: Do you feel
- 6 that you have the ability to carry on a conversation
- 7 with Michael Myers?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. How long have you felt that way?
- Just give me a date without any specific
- 11 instance.
- 12 A. In the spring of 2007.
- Q. Okay. You were asked about you believed that
- 14 you had an obligation to disclose certain records of
- 15 Highway 46.
- 16 Do you understand that obligation to be that if
- 17 they requested that of you, that you would have to
- 18 disclose it?
- 19 MR. BENITEZ: Object to the form, leading.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 A. That would be my understanding, yes.
- 22 Q. You were asked about did they ever request
- 23 information and you refused to disclose it?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- Q. You were asked about income of Highway 46



- 1 Holdings, LLC.
- 2 Has Highway 46 LLC over any period of time ever
- 3 made a profit?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. You were asked about that there was a time that
- 6 it was closed for a little while.
- 7 Do you recall that?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. Why did you close it?
- 10 A. Three basic reasons: Glenda and I were in the
- 11 midst of our divorce at that point in time.
- 12 O. Yes, sir?
- 13 A. And it was difficult. Expensive. And
- 14 everything else. This litigation was ongoing. And was
- 15 difficult. And expensive.
- 16 Q. How was your health?
- 17 A. That was the third item. Is my health. That I
- 18 was -- I've gained 150 pounds in the last five years,
- 19 mostly due to stress.
- 20 Q. There was testimony when we first started this
- 21 about that you've been having to borrow money to fund --
- 22 do you recall that testimony?
- 23 A. I do.
- Q. About the time that you closed, did you have
- 25 the money in your bank account to keep paying, say, for



1 the warehouse, rent? 2 MR. BENITEZ: Object. It's beyond the cross examinations. 3 4 MR. CHASE: It's about the closing, sir. 5 THE COURT: It's about the closing? No, not the closing -- not -- I'm 6 MR. CHASE: 7 sorry. 8 THE COURT: Approach. Approach. 9 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 10 (A conference was held at the bench outside of 11 the hearing of the jury.) 12 The closing of the business. It's 13 not why did he close the business. 14 MR. BENITEZ: What did you ask? 15 MR. CHASE: About the money. Did you have the 16 money to keep paying those things? 17 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 18 Mr. Benitez, be careful when you walk up here, 19 your shoulder is slipping under this. 20 (Bench conference concluded.) BY MR. CHASE: 2.1 22 Did you have the money to pay all the things, Q. 23 like rent, for the warehouse? 2.4 No, sir. Α. 25 At the time that you closed it, at the time of Q.



- 1 the closing --
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. -- did you have to prioritize what things you
- 4 could fund the money for?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Was one of those things -- I think the
- 7 testimony was you've always paid the rent on the
- 8 warehouse for the memorabilia?
- 9 A. That's a fact, yes.
- 10 Q. If you didn't pay for that, what would happen?
- 11 A. There would be an eviction or a sale, or we'd
- 12 lose the items that were involved in that facility.
- 13 Q. When you closed the district, did you still pay
- 14 money to maintain it?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Did you pay money to secure it?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you have security personnel who would stay
- 19 out there to make sure the things were okay?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And then you said that you reopened it after a
- 22 while?
- 23 A. We reopened the smokehouse in December of 2016.
- Q. Was that after you started being able to borrow
- 25 money to get it going again?



- 1 A. That's correct. And I had the right personnel.
- 2 Q. You had -- I think you testified -- you
- 3 answered about the reimbursement of loans on
- 4 Mr. Shuker's direct. You were asked about due care and
- 5 things that were grossly negligent.
- 6 Do you recall that?
- 7 A. T.do.
- 8 Q. Currently, the claims that are -- the claims
- 9 that you now have against you from Mr. Myers and
- 10 Mrs. Myers, is your breach of the duty of care, correct,
- 11 sir?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And that talks about those three things that we
- 14 discussed earlier, the mismanaging the business?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. The changing the name and concept?
- Yes, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And then incurring unnecessary and unauthorized
- 20 expenses?
- 21 Yes, sir?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. And all the decisions that you've made with
- 24 managing the business, were any of those decisions
- 25 grossly negligent?



- 1 A. Not at all, no, sir.
- Q. Did you consult with professionals in making
- 3 those decisions?
- 4 A. I did, yes.
- 5 Q. And did you rely on those professionals'
- 6 opinions?
- 7 A. Absolutely.
- 8 Q. With regard to changing the name and the
- 9 concept from Gassey Jack's to Route 46 Entertainment
- 10 District, was that decision grossly negligent?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- MR. BENITEZ: Objection. I believe this
- exceeds the -- this is asked and answered, Judge.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 Q. Did you consult with professionals about
- 16 changing the name?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And did you rely on their advice?
- 19 A. I did.
- Q. As far as the expenses that you incurred in
- 21 maintaining the asset, keeping up the asset, any
- 22 expenses incurred, when you would loan money to keep
- 23 this business going and to maintain it, was that
- 24 decision to loan the money grossly negligent?
- 25 A. I didn't think it was, no, sir.



1 Did you consult with professionals about what Q. 2 you should do with regard to that? 3 Yes, sir. Α. Did you rely on their opinions? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes, sir. Nothing further, Judge. 6 MR. CHASE: 7 Thank you. THE COURT: Any questions from our jury? 8 9 If you'll write that down, please, fold it, 10 hand it to the deputy. 11 Counsel, approach, please. 12 (A conference was held at the bench outside of 13 the hearing of the jury.) It's a good question. I'm having a 14 MR. CHASE: 15 hard time focusing. I'm sorry, I don't have my 16 glasses. 17 MR. SHUKER: Did the Entertainment District 18 cause any costs other than just the -- and the 19 answer is no. 20 MR. CHASE: That's correct. 2.1 MR. SHUKER: Yes, that's a good question. 22 MR. CHASE: No objection. 23 Any objection? THE COURT: MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor. 2.4 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.



1 (Bench conference concluded.) 2 THE COURT: Mr. Hachenberger, I'm going to 3 direct a question to you. And respond to the jury, 4 please. Yes, sir. 5 THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Was there any financial impact, 6 7 aside from any potential filing fees to organize with the state, that resulted from the formation of 8 9 Route 46 Entertainment District, that would not 10 exist if operated under Highway 46 Holdings, LLC? 11 THE WITNESS: Could you read that one more 12 time. 13 THE COURT: Was there any financial impact, 14 aside from any potential filing fees to organize 15 with the state, that resulted from the formation of 16 Route 46 Entertainment District, that would not 17 exist if operated under Highway 46 Holdings, LLC? 18 THE WITNESS: No, there wasn't. Not anv 19 significant thing that I recall, no. 20 THE COURT: Follow up, Mr. Chase? 2.1 No, sir. MR. CHASE: 22 THE COURT: Follow up, Mr. Shuker? 23 MR. SHUKER: No, your Honor. 2.4 Mr. Benitez? THE COURT: 25 MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor.



1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 We have an additional question. 3 THE COURT: Approach, please. 4 (A conference was held at the bench outside of 5 the hearing of the jury.) MR. CHASE: It's a long one. 6 7 MR. BENITEZ: I would obviously have an 8 objection to. 9 THE COURT: Which one? 10 MR. BENITEZ: To the first one. 11 THE COURT: Any objection to the others? 12 MR. CHASE: No objection. 13 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 14 don't think that's appropriate. 15 And there's a second question over here in 16 regards on this that relates to the same question, I 17 think. 18 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, I don't have any problem 19 with the CPA. 20 THE COURT: The CPA. 2.1 No, sir. MR. CHASE: 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 (Bench conference concluded.) 2.4 Members of the jury, I instructed THE COURT: 25 you at the time of giving you this process for



- 1 asking questions, that your questions are subject to
- 2 the same rules of evidence as the lawyers' are.
- In this case, I cannot ask a couple of these
- 4 questions because of the rules of evidence. You're
- 5 not to infer anything from that, other than
- 6 procedurally they're just not proper questions.
- 7 There is a question -- two questions here that
- 8 I can ask.
- 9 Sir, what is a CPA and what does a CPA do?
- 10 THE WITNESS: CPA is called a certified public
- 11 accountant. They are licensed and regulated by a
- 12 society that they kind of have to do everything just
- 13 right or they get slapped around.
- 14 THE COURT: Any follow up, Mr. Chase?
- MR. CHASE: I would say that there was a second
- 16 part of it. I think you answered the first part,
- 17 but the second part is, what do they do.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Typically there are
- 19 accountants that do the day-to-day things. A
- 20 certified public accountant would come in after them
- 21 and analyze what they did, and just make sure it was
- 22 done accurately so that they could submit it with
- 23 their name on it.
- It's kind of a checks and balances that is part
- 25 of the accounting kind of hierarchy, if you will.



1 No, sir, nothing further. MR. CHASE: 2 MR. SHUKER: No, sir. THE COURT: Mr. Benitez. 3 4 MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 6 Any further questions? 7 Thank you, sir, you may step down. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Chase, call your next witness. 10 MR. CHASE: Sir, we rest. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 Folks, we need to take just a brief recess so I 13 can discuss with counsel our status and we will 14 resume, I'm sure, in about five minutes or so. 15 All the same cautions. 16 THE DEPUTY: Jurors, follow me. 17 (Jury exiting.) 18 THE COURT: Thanks. 19 You may step down, sir. 20 Do we have rebuttal, Mr. Benitez? 2.1 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor, I do. 22 THE COURT: What are the topics that you intend 23 to elicit testimony concerning? 2.4 MR. BENITEZ: It would be Mr. Hachenberger's 25 testimony. Also --



1 No, sir, that's not sufficient. THE COURT: 2 That's not sufficient. You're going to need to 3 identify specifically the topics that you intend to offer rebuttal testimony directed toward him. 4 5 MR. BENITEZ: Okay. I would rebut -- I would 6 submit Mike Myers' testimony with respect to the 7 moneys that have been spent. Judge, could I have a second -- I didn't know I 8 9 was going to get it. Could I have a second to 10 figure it out? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 Go ahead. 13 (Discussion held off the record.) 14 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez. 15 The areas of inquiry --MR. BENITEZ: 16 THE COURT: Microphone. 17 MR. BENITEZ: The areas of inquiries would be 18 the building permit. The bathrooms. Meetings with 19 Donald Hachenberger. What he did with the property 20 next door. How was the work next door charged. 2.1 THE COURT: How does your client now know that? 22 MR. BENITEZ: Excuse me? 23 How does your client know that? THE COURT: 2.4 It was charge against my --THE WITNESS: 25 THE COURT: How does your client know that?



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: Because he was doing the
- 2 operations. This is during the time prior to June
- 3 of 2007.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: It wouldn't have happened --
- 6 afterwards he doesn't know anything.
- 7 THE COURT: Right.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Well, he knows something, but not
- 9 that.
- 10 THE COURT: Firsthand knowledge.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: Right. As to other matters, but
- 12 not that.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. What else?
- MR. BENITEZ: He will testify with respect to
- 15 how he got along with the people working on the
- 16 project, again, up to June 27th.
- 17 THE COURT: No, sir, that's not rebuttal.
- 18 Next.
- 19 MR. BENITEZ: Conversations between, I think --
- 20 I think Donald Hachenberger had testified that he
- 21 couldn't have conversation with Mike Myers. I would
- 22 probably ask him about that.
- 23 THE COURT: Wasn't that covered extensively in
- 24 direct and on the case in chief?
- MR. BENITEZ: I'm not sure I can say



- 1 extensively, Judge, but I wanted to -- it's been
- 2 brought up now by his testimony.
- 3 THE COURT: That's part of your case in chief.
- 4 So, next.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: So I'm not allowed to get into
- 6 that area?
- 7 THE COURT: That's not rebuttal.
- 8 This isn't a tit for tat. That's not the
- 9 purpose of rebuttal.
- 10 MR. BENITEZ: Exhibit account, and I may ask
- 11 questions with respect to this.
- 12 THE COURT: What's your client's personal
- 13 knowledge of that?
- MR. BENITEZ: He just saw it, I believe
- 15 yesterday, for the first time ever.
- 16 THE COURT: Right. And it's not in evidence.
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: Okay.
- 18 THE COURT: So what is your client's personal
- 19 knowledge about it?
- MR. BENITEZ: He would only have personal
- 21 knowledge of what was spent or not spent during the
- 22 time that he was in the facility.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BENITEZ: But -- excuse me.
- THE COURT: Why is this evidence rebuttal?



1 If the -- if the basis is for him MR. BENITEZ: 2 to have personal knowledge of this exhibit, he has 3 no rebuttal to this exhibit other than having viewed 4 it for the first time yesterday, or heard about it 5 for the first time yesterday. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Benitez, you've been --6 7 you've pressed all of the witnesses very hard to 8 respond to your questions. I'm going to press you to respond to mine. 9 10 Why is this rebuttal? His testimony about 11 expenses on the property. Why is that rebuttal? 12 MR. BENITEZ: Because my client --13 THE COURT: You have a -- let me -- let's 14 approach it this way. You have a claim for 15 mismanagement and spending unauthorized funds, 16 right? 17 MR. BENITEZ: Right. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And your client has 19 testified about those things in your case in chief 20 because he bears the burden of proof on those 2.1 things. So why is this rebuttal? 22 MR. BENITEZ: Because the funds after 23 June 27th, '07, were not authorized by Mike Myers. 2.4 THE COURT: So how can Mike Myers testify other 25 than to say I had no input as to expenses after that

- 1 day?
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: I believe that would be probably
- 3 the extent of his testimony.
- 4 THE COURT: He may testify to that in rebuttal,
- 5 although I think you covered it extensively. But
- 6 I'll allow to you ask that one question.
- 7 But he has no personal knowledge of the
- 8 expenses that are on that sheet. It would -- he
- 9 can't comment on them except to say I didn't know
- 10 anything about them or I didn't authorize them.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: May I ask him that question?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes, yes.
- MR. BENITEZ: Okay. Yeah.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BENITEZ: With respect to Route 46 Enter --
- I'll get used to it about five minutes after
- 17 trial finishes.
- 18 THE COURT: After we're done.
- MR. BENITEZ: Judge, with respect to Route 46
- 20 Entertainment, I think there was testimony with
- 21 respect to conversations that Donald Hachenberger
- 22 may have had with Mike Myers regarding that.
- 23 Mike Myers will be testifying that there was no
- 24 such conversations.
- THE COURT: Okay. That's one question. I'll



1 allow that. 2 Go ahead. 3 Sir, you heard testimony that there was 4 conversation between you and Mr. Hachenberger about 5 Highway 46 Entertainment. Is that true? Yes or no. 6 7 The question is done. That's rebuttal. What else is there? Related to that sheet? 8 9 MR. BENITEZ: Did you approve the organization 10 of or the --11 THE COURT: If there was no conversation about 12 it, he certainly didn't approve it. 13 MR. BENITEZ: But can I ask that in front of 14 the jury so the jury understands that? 15 THE COURT: If you think that's necessary, I'll 16 allow you to ask that second question. 17 Judge, okay. MR. BENITEZ: 18 THE COURT: Next area. 19 MR. BENITEZ: Have you received any moneys from 20 Route 46 Entertainment District? 2.1 I did not ask him about Route 46 22 Entertainment --23 THE COURT: We've had conversations about 2.4 Route 46 during the course of this case. You had an 25 opportunity in your case in chief to ask these



- 1 questions.
- 2 I'm being liberal for giving you the
- 3 opportunity during rebuttal that you could have and
- 4 should have covered during your case in chief if you
- 5 believe they are relevant.
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: With respect to this -- --
- 7 THE COURT: Let me ask this question.
- What does that go to? What -- about claim?
- 9 About whether he's received -- we all know the
- 10 answer is no. But what does it go to, what claim?
- MR. BENITEZ: To the mismanagement of the
- 12 business and to --
- 13 THE COURT: How is it mismanagement? He's not
- 14 entitled to any money under the terms of the
- 15 operating agreement. So how is it mismanagement not
- 16 to pay him money?
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: Well, I'm not -- I thought the
- 18 letter agreement and the operating agreement say
- 19 that he's entitled to certain moneys.
- THE COURT: No, sir. The letter agreement and
- 21 the operating agreement say he's not entitled to any
- 22 money until after repayment of the debt.
- 23 MR. BENITEZ: Okay. Well, that's accurate,
- 24 but -- okay. We're saying the same thing, Judge,
- 25 because he eventually will be entitled to some money



- 1 after. If there's a distribution, he will be
- 2 entitled to --
- 3 THE COURT: There are no distributions from
- 4 that company to him. There would be distributions
- 5 to Highway 46 because it's a wholly-owned
- 6 subsidiary.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: I'm also asking him to testify
- 8 with respect to whether he considers it gross
- 9 negligence not to be given information about the
- 10 company, not to be informed about the --
- 11 THE COURT: No. Isn't that the basis of your
- 12 claims?
- 13 MR. BENITEZ: It is.
- 14 THE COURT: Then that should have been covered
- in your case in chief. It's not rebuttal.
- 16 Next.
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: Well, I'm just reacting to him
- 18 having testified to the gross negligence.
- 19 THE COURT: No, sir. You opened the door for
- 20 that on your cross examination, why those questions
- 21 came in. But that's your case in chief. That's not
- 22 rebuttal.
- 23 MR. BENITEZ: I think that's about it, Judge.
- 24 THE COURT: So I will allow you to cover -- any
- 25 objection to the building permit or bathrooms issues



- 1 that have been testified to by Mr. Hachenberger?
- 2 MR. CHASE: Judge, can I just get a few things
- 3 on the record as part of -- I think I need to and I
- 4 think it'll help the Court.
- 5 Rebuttal testimony is, the Court has discretion
- 6 to do it, it is normally proper subject, but it has
- 7 to be evidenced that it's not -- it's -- evidence
- 8 that is merely cumulative of evidence introduced
- 9 during the case in chief may be excluded.
- 10 There is -- the case is cited -- I'm reading
- 11 out of Earhart's (phonetic). The case is cited as
- 12 Rose V. Madden 629 So.2d 234: The term rebuttal
- denotes evidence introduced by plaintiff to meet new
- 14 facts brought out in his opponent's case in chief.
- 15 The citing --
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Chase, I'm way ahead of you.
- 17 Okay.
- 18 MR. CHASE: Okay. It's -- the Court has -- you
- 19 definitely don't get reversed for allowing rebuttal
- 20 testimony. It's only for refusing. But it -- I'm
- 21 going to be probably making a lot of objections, as
- 22 its cumulative, because it sounds like everything I
- 23 just heard is all cumulative.
- 24 THE COURT: I don't agree with that. I think
- 25 there are specific things that your client brought



- 1 up in his testimony that we've heard for the first
- 2 time.
- For example, this business about, you know,
- 4 intentionally lowering the numbers or estimates for
- 5 folks on site, on the building plan submissions.
- 6 That's never come in. And this is the first
- 7 time. And that's a part of the defense to
- 8 mismanagement claims. I think that's fair game.
- 9 As to the meetings with Donald Hachenberger,
- 10 we're not going to rehash all of that testimony.
- 11 We're just not going to do it. I'm going to allow
- 12 you to ask one or two questions to summarize their
- ability to communicate, but that's it.
- 14 As to the property next door, that issue was --
- 15 first came up during the course of
- 16 Mr. Hachenberger's examination. I'll allow you to
- 17 get into that.
- And then the expenses for the work next door
- 19 that was apparently incurred during the time
- 20 Mr. Myers was there, is fair game.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. Thank you, sir.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. Let's return the jury,
- 24 please.
- 25 THE DEPUTY: Jury's entering.



1 (Jury entering.) 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 You may be seated. Members of the jury, I need to ask -- I think 4 5 from Mrs. Hachenberger, any additional evidence or testimony in response to the counterclaims? 6 7 MR. SHUKER: No, your Honor. Okay. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: 9 You've addressed them. 10 MR. SHUKER: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 All right. Now, both parties then responding 13 to the counterclaims that have been brought have 14 finished the presentation of their evidence and 15 rested. 16 We are now in the case of rebuttal by 17 Mr. and Mrs. Myers with respect to the counterclaims 18 that have been brought. 19 So, Mr. Benitez, call your first witness, 20 please. 2.1 MR. BENITEZ: I would call Mike Myers to the 22 stand. 23 THE COURT: Microphones. Microphone, please. 2.4 25 MR. BENITEZ: Sorry.



- 1 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 2 Mr. Myers, can you come and take the stand,
- 3 please.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, sir.
- 5 THE COURT: Mr. Myers, you were previously
- 6 sworn, still under oath, sir.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 9 Mr. Benitez.
- 10 REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 12 Q. You heard the testimony of Donald Hachenberger
- 13 just a second ago, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And there was talk about some building plans
- 16 having been submitted?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall that?
- With respect to the bathroom in particular, and
- 20 referring your attention to the bathrooms, was the
- 21 bathrooms -- was there any discussion between you and
- 22 Donald Hachenberger regarding the bathrooms prior to
- 23 your dismissal on June 27th, 2007?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- Q. Were the plans approved by Seminole County?



- 1 A. Yes, they were.
- 2 Q. Did you underestimate the capacity in any area
- 3 of your plans?
- 4 A. Absolutely not.
- 5 Q. Did you intentionally mean to misadvise the
- 6 county in any respect with respect to the capacity of
- 7 the area being considered on the plans?
- 8 A. No. That has to have a strong review with the
- 9 Department of Regulation.
- 10 Q. Okay. But you haven't -- that hasn't -- that
- 11 didn't happen, correct?
- 12 A. Before they review --
- MR. CHASE: Objection, nonresponsive.
- 14 THE COURT: Sir, respond to the question as
- asked, please.
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, I apologize. I think it
- was my fault in the question.
- 19 BY MR. BENITEZ:
- 20 Q. Did anybody from Seminole County raise an issue
- 21 with the capacity of the garage bar and the stage?
- MR. CHASE: Objection, cumulative.
- 23 A. No, sir.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Go ahead.



- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. The property next door that Mr. Donald
- 3 Hachenberger has testified he purchased through another
- 4 one of his companies, did you ever work on that
- 5 property?
- 6 A. I was the operator. I cleared it, cut the
- 7 trees down, did all the grading, all the rolling, all
- 8 the compacting, all the finished gradations, grassy
- 9 area.
- 10 Q. Did other people from Highway 46 work on that
- 11 property?
- 12 A. My staff helped me in some occasion. Sometimes
- 13 they were not available. Most of that work was done on
- 14 weekends and Saturdays and Sundays.
- 15 Q. And was that moneys charged to Highway 46
- 16 Holdings?
- 17 A. Most of it went to my personal reimbursements.
- 18 The fuel for the bulldozers and the tractors and so
- 19 forth was just charged and went through it as a
- 20 submittal for me to be reimbursed.
- 21 Q. To Highway 46?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, with respect to Plaintiffs' Exhibit M for
- 24 identification --
- MR. BENITEZ: And if I may approach the witness



- 1 and show him the document.
- 2 THE COURT: Approach, please.
- 3 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
- 4 the hearing of the jury.)
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: I thought you indicated I could
- 6 ask him one or two questions on that, why he hasn't
- 7 seen it before.
- 8 THE COURT: It's not coming in evidence. Jury
- 9 hasn't seen it before.
- 10 MR. BENITEZ: No, but it's been referred to, so
- 11 I'd like the jury to know that he hasn't seen it
- 12 before.
- MR. CHASE: Objection, relevance.
- MR. BENITEZ: I thought you allowed it --
- THE COURT: One second. Why is that relevant?
- I didn't allow you to do that. But why is it
- 17 relevant?
- I had marked down expenses associated with the
- 19 property, which he's now testified to. What else is
- 20 it about that?
- 21 Mr. Hachenberger, first of all, has testified
- 22 that was prepared just recently, he sat down with
- 23 it.
- Is there any question he hasn't seen it?
- MR. BENITEZ: That's true, your Honor.



THE COURT: And the jury is not going to see 1 2 it, so. 3 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, could I get one of my notes for a second real quick just to solve 4 5 another --6 THE COURT: Sure. 7 MR. BENITEZ: The other area that you gave me 8 was meeting with Donald Hachenberger. 9 THE COURT: You can ask a couple summary 10 questions because he's spoken at length about it. 11 MR. BENITEZ: That's why I'm real cautious, I 12 want to find out exactly I can ask him with respect 13 to that. 14 THE COURT: What do you think is relevant at 15 this point for rebuttal? 16 MR. BENITEZ: That --17 MR. CHASE: I think we're --18 That they had continuous meetings MR. BENITEZ: 19 throughout the time that he was there. 20 That's already been testified to. THE COURT: 2.1 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, that's why I wanted to 22 pause and talk to you before I --23 I wrote it down. 2.4 THE COURT: You raised a question,

25

Mr. Hachenberger testified that their communication

- 1 broke down in the spring of '07. That was his
- 2 testimony.
- Now, if you want to confirm that fact or
- 4 disconfirm it, not confirm it, whatever, you may do
- 5 so. But that's the extent of what the issue is at
- 6 this point.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: That's it, right. I don't even
- 8 know where to go with that, so I'm not going
- 9 anywhere.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right.
- 11 MR. CHASE: I just don't think it's rebuttal.
- MR. BENITEZ: Right. And I don't want to step
- 13 on your toe, Judge.
- 14 THE COURT: You're not stepping on my toes.
- 15 I'm extremely patient, today. I'm extremely
- 16 patient.
- 17 (Bench conference concluded.)
- MR. BENITEZ: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 Cross?
- 21 MR. CHASE: No, sir.
- 22 THE COURT: Cross.
- MR. SHUKER: No, sir.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 Any questions from our jury with respect to



- 1 those issues? 2 Thank you, sir. You may step down. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, I need to ask you to do 5 that -- can have I have a quick side bar real quick. 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 (A conference was held at the bench outside of
 - (A conterence was need at the bench outside of
 - 8 the hearing of the jury.)
 - 9 MR. BENITEZ: Jackie Myers has indicated to me
- 10 that -- I didn't bring it up to the Court before.
- 11 Jackie Myers has indicated to me that she tried to
- 12 contact Donald Hachenberger a number of times but he
- 13 wouldn't return her call.
- And that would be pertinent with respect to the
- 15 communication. Because it's come across like they
- 16 were not available to me and I couldn't talk to them
- 17 and that kind of stuff.
- So I would want to put Jackie on the stand just
- 19 to say that.
- THE COURT: To say what?
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: That she tried to communicate
- 22 with Donald Hachenberger.
- 23 THE COURT: During what time period?
- MR. BENITEZ: That was after June 27, 2007.
- THE COURT: Relative to what?



1 To these issues. MR. BENITEZ: 2 MR. CHASE: He didn't testify anything about 3 communicating with Jackie Myers, he said Michael 4 Myers. 5 THE COURT: Right. MR. BENITEZ: Okay. Well, that's why I'm 6 bringing it up because I've been asked to put her on 7 8 the stand to say that. 9 THE COURT: I don't think that's rebuttal. Ι 10 would preclude that. 11 (Bench conference concluded.) 12 THE COURT: Call your next witness in rebuttal. 13 MR. BENITEZ: I don't have any other witness, 14 your Honor. 15 I will rest. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 17 Any case in rebuttal? 18 MR. SHUKER: No, your Honor. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 20 I'm sorry, we're going to have to have one more 2.1 bench conference and this one's all on me. 22 you. 23 Approach. 2.4 (A conference was held at the bench outside of 25 the hearing of the jury.)



- 1 THE COURT: I'm going to let them go, but we
- 2 tell them to be back here at -- well, let me ask.
- 3 How long for closing?
- 4 MR. CHASE: No more than an hour.
- 5 THE COURT: Essentially the two claims, and
- 6 your claim.
- 7 I'll give you an hour, I'm not concerned about
- 8 an hour.
- 9 MR. CHASE: No, I could do it.
- 10 MR. BENITEZ: I think it's drastically shorter
- 11 than I initially envisioned.
- 12 MR. CHASE: Except the three claims encompass
- 13 so much and it's -- I can see -- I can see my first
- 14 closing or -- because I get the sandwich, as I
- 15 understand it.
- MR. BENITEZ: Well -- yeah.
- 17 You go first, I go second. And then -- well,
- 18 he goes second.
- MR. CHASE: And then -- he's gone, he won't be
- 20 here.
- MR. BENITEZ: You me and then.
- THE COURT: Well, let me ask you. Do you want
- 23 him here to close?
- MR. BENITEZ: I don't care. No.
- MR. CHASE: You want to argue against two



- 1 folks?
- MR. BENITEZ: No. That's what I mean, I don't
- 3 need him there.
- 4 MR. CHASE: I can see my first time being 15 or
- 5 20 minutes. The next time -- the next time -- is
- 6 that a question?
- 7 THE COURT: No. This is what I intend to tell
- 8 the jury. But I want to get your thoughts on it
- 9 before we do that.
- 10 MR. CHASE: My first time being 15 or
- 11 20 minutes. The second time it just depends on what
- 12 he says in his closing for my rebuttal.
- 13 THE COURT: It's rebuttal so it's going to be
- 14 focused on whatever he says, and I'm going to hold
- 15 you to it.
- MR. BENITEZ: In this case, it's kind of open
- 17 because he's going to be reacting to me, too. So
- 18 the way I understand it, Judge, he's going to go
- 19 first, I'm going to go second, and he's going to go
- 20 third. And I'm going to go fourth.
- MR. CHASE: No.
- 22 THE COURT: That's correct. He gets rebuttal
- on his counterclaims. Only on the counterclaims.
- 24 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 25 THE COURT: And only rebuttal.



- So, again, it just continues to narrow down.
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 3 THE COURT: Here's the issue. We can talk
- 4 about mechanism here in a minute. Okay. Timing is
- 5 my question.
- 6 We're probably going to end up with a set of
- 7 instructions that could be read in no longer than
- 8 half an hour.
- 9 If we bring them back here at 9, I take a half
- 10 hour to read. Mine is 9:30. You each take an hour,
- 11 that's 11:30, with a break in the middle, close to
- 12 noon.
- Does that sound like an appropriate timeline?
- 14 MR. BENITEZ: Yes.
- 15 MR. CHASE: Sure.
- 16 THE COURT: Then that's what I am going to do.
- Now, here's what I had proposed to say with
- 18 respect to your client.
- 19 Members of the jury, the Court has been
- 20 listening to and considering the evidence and
- 21 testimony along with you. There are certain claims
- 22 in this case that the Court is responsible for
- 23 deciding.
- The Court has determined that it is not
- 25 necessary for Mrs. Hachenberger or her counsel to



- 1 continue to attend or participate in the trial, and
- 2 I've excused them from appearing from this point
- 3 forward.
- 4 MR. CHASE: No objection.
- 5 MR. SHUKER: That's fine.
- 6 THE COURT: I'm open to suggestions.
- 7 This is just my first draft.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: I'm having a problem, Judge, with
- 9 the responsibility of the handling certain claims
- 10 and then determined that it is not necessary for
- 11 Mrs. Hachenberger to be here.
- 12 Kind of implies almost like she's fine, which
- 13 she is, I mean, but I'm wondering if there's a
- 14 better way of saying it without using the word
- 15 determined.
- 16 THE COURT: I can say it is not necessary for
- 17 her to, or her counsel to, attend. I don't have to
- 18 say the Court has determined.
- 19 MR. BENITEZ: Can we do the Court has indicated
- 20 she not need attend and she's decided not to attend,
- 21 it's her decision not to attend.
- MR. SHUKER: Okay, I'll close.
- 23 MR. CHASE: Truth is tough sometimes.
- 24 THE COURT: I don't think you can do a closing,
- 25 you don't have any claims in this issue any longer.



- 1 Sorry. Respectfully.
- 2 MR. SHUKER: Okay. You're trying to make it
- 3 like we're just deciding to leave because we don't
- 4 care, that's an inference.
- 5 THE COURT: That's not an accurate
- 6 representation.
- 7 MR. SHUKER: And that puts his case --
- 8 MR. CHASE: It does.
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, I don't have a problem
- 10 with giving them any instruction. I'm just telling
- 11 you --
- 12 THE COURT: Then tell me what you want to tell
- 13 them.
- 14 MR. CHASE: The Court has determined. Why
- don't we go with the truth. Isn't that what verdict
- 16 means?
- MR. BENITEZ: No. Why don't we go with the
- 18 truth they don't want to be here and we're saying
- 19 it's okay.
- 20 THE COURT: No. They have no legal claims
- 21 against them any longer. They have no purpose for
- 22 them to be here.
- Would you like me to tell me that? That the
- 24 Court has entered judgment in Ms. Hachenberger's
- 25 favor?



1 I'm trying to be neutral. 2 MR. BENITEZ: That's what I'm --3 THE COURT: So, if your problem is it with the 4 Court has determined, I can strike that and say it 5 is not necessary for Mrs. Hachenberger or her counsel --6 7 MR. BENITEZ: That would be a lot better. MR. SHUKER: That's fine. 8 9 MR. CHASE: That's fine. 10 MR. BENITEZ: And can we -- can we just do 11 that, that the Court has -- that it's not necessary 12 for Ms. Hachenberger or counsel to be present, 13 instead of all this. 14 THE COURT: Got to tell them something about 15 why. 16 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah. 17 What's the prejudice to your client THE COURT: for wanting to know what's it about? 18 19 MR. BENITEZ: Because it makes this -- it's 20 almost like they're -- their mentality should be 2.1 they're the trier of facts. And what's happened 22 here, and what's been submitted as evidence is what 23 they're dealing with. 2.4 I don't want to give them a suggest that 25 there's something else going on or that you're going



- 1 to second-guess them in a decision possibly. Or
- 2 that you're making a decision may be inconsistent
- 3 with their decision.
- I just want to make -- Judge, I don't have a
- 5 problem with that, with you advising them that they
- 6 no longer have to be here. I just want to make it
- 7 neutral so that they can not imply that you're going
- 8 to make a decision that may affect whatever. Or
- 9 that you've already made a decision that has
- 10 affected it to imply that they're not here.
- 11 So if we can make it a little bit less --
- 12 THE COURT: Tell me what to say. I don't --
- 13 I'm not going to simply tell them the Court --
- 14 you've told me not to say that, that it is no longer
- 15 necessary for Mrs. Hachenberger or her counsel to be
- 16 here.
- They are entitled to know, because you argued
- in your opening statement claims against
- 19 Mrs. Hachenberger, the Court has decided those
- 20 adversely.
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- THE COURT: Are they not entitled to know that?
- 23 I could say -- how about this. Here you go.
- MR. BENITEZ: Thank you.
- 25 You can, can I --



- 1 THE COURT: I could say. As to the claims that
- 2 remain, and I've excused them, I would say, instead,
- 3 members of the jury, Mrs. Hachenberger and her
- 4 counsel will not be appearing further. It's not
- 5 necessary for them to continue to attend or
- 6 participate in the trial as to the claims that were
- 7 made. And I've excused them from appearing from
- 8 this point forward. And not do the first part.
- 9 Just say that the claims that remain for your
- 10 decision.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: I would -- well, does the Court
- 12 feel comfortable reading the second paragraph alone?
- 13 THE COURT: Again, I -- that's what I'm
- 14 proposing, is I'm not going to read the first part.
- 15 MR. BENITEZ: If you read the original
- 16 paragraph about these things --
- 17 THE COURT: So just read that the Court has
- determined it's not necessary for Ms. Hachenberger
- or her counsel to continue to attend or participate
- in trial, and I've excused them from appearing
- 21 further.
- 22 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 23 MR. CHASE: Judge, he brought you around in
- 24 circles. What his original complaint was, we all
- 25 said no, that's not good, (inaudible) they got to be



- 1 told. 2 He's seriously just brought you completely 3 That was the first thing that he said, was around. let's do that, and we all said no. 4 5 MR. BENITEZ: No. 6 THE COURT: He said to take out this and just 7 say this and he didn't want this. 8 MR. CHASE: That's still where he is. He said, 9 can we start here. 10 THE COURT: Now we're saying the Court has 11 determined -- I didn't say that. Yeah, I've 12 determined that they no longer need to appear. 13 MR. CHASE: I don't think it's worth fighting over it. And I think the record's better if he 14 15 agrees to it. It's just not that big of a deal. 16 THE COURT: All right. I won't add the 17 additional language, and I'll read just that 18 portion. 19 MR. CHASE: Yes, your Honor. 20 (Bench conference concluded.)
 - 21 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury,
 - 22 it's shortly before noon, and I have an instruction
 - 23 to read to you, and then further instructions for
 - 24 you.
 - In this case, the Court has determined that it



- 1 is not necessary for Mrs. Hachenberger or her
- 2 counsel to continue to attend or participate in the
- 3 trial. And I will be excusing them from appearing
- 4 from this point forward in the case.
- 5 We have reached the conclusion of the evidence
- 6 and we are now prepared to move into instructions on
- 7 the law and closing arguments. In order, however,
- 8 to be prepared for those things, it does take us
- 9 some time.
- I think the best mechanism for us to accomplish
- 11 that is for us to recess for this afternoon and have
- 12 you come back tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock, at
- 13 which point the Court will instruct you on the law,
- 14 you will receive closing arguments, and then you
- 15 would begin your deliberations in the middle of the
- 16 day, as opposed to at the end of the day, which is
- 17 how we'd likely proceed.
- We are well ahead of the schedule that we had
- 19 anticipated during jury selection.
- 20 And I'm very grateful for your time, for your
- 21 patience, for your flexibility with essentially
- 22 moving this process in order for us, again, to
- 23 continue to make the best presentation to you in the
- 24 most effective and most efficient presentations so
- 25 that everyone can benefit from this time.



- 1 So I am going to release you from this time.
- Now, having said that, you've heard all of the
- 3 evidence at this point, but you have not heard the
- 4 Court's instructions on the law as to how to
- 5 evaluate that evidence. Nor have you heard the
- 6 attorneys' final presentations, which will help you
- 7 to analyze and assist in that process.
- 8 So you're to continue to keep an open mind, not
- 9 to evaluate the evidence, not to begin the
- 10 deliberative process in your own mind, and most
- 11 certainly not together with others as you take this
- 12 time off this afternoon.
- So, please, continue to follow the Court's
- 14 instructions. Don't speak to each other or anybody
- 15 else about the jury case, presuming about the case
- 16 or about your jury service.
- 17 Please don't conduct any independent research
- on the people, places or issues involved.
- Don't post anything on any social media or
- 20 other websites.
- 21 Continue to tell folks the Judge has instructed
- 22 me I cannot speak to you. And that is an obligation
- 23 that you will have a choice to be relieved from, if
- 24 you choose to, once deliberations are done, the
- 25 verdict is rendered, and the Court has released from



- 1 your service. But this is not that time. You are
- 2 still in jury service and bound by those Court
- 3 orders.
- 4 Any questions?
- 5 Okay. If you would, just leave your notebooks
- 6 on the chairs there.
- 7 We'll see you back tomorrow morning at
- 8 9 o'clock ready to go.
- 9 THE DEPUTY: Jurors follow me.
- 10 (Jury exiting.)
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 You may be seated.
- 13 Mr. Shuker, at this time I am going to release
- 14 you all from your participation in trial and request
- 15 that you prepare appropriate judgments based upon
- 16 the Court's directed verdicts in the case.
- 17 Run those by Mr. Benitez, and then submit them
- 18 to the Court. If there's an issue, schedule a time
- 19 at ex-parte to come together, and I'll be happy to
- 20 consider to evaluate the appropriate form at that
- 21 time.
- MR. SHUKER: We will do so, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. SHUKER: I think there's the one
- 25 housekeeping. Now that the evidence is closed.



- 1 There's Counts 5 and 20, rescission and kind of a
- 2 dec. action.
- 3 How do you want to schedule oral argument on
- 4 those?
- 5 I'm happy to come back next week and not bog
- 6 down your process or --
- 7 THE COURT: No. My preference and, candidly,
- 8 what I intended to do, is that once this case is to
- 9 the jury, we're going to resume receipt of evidence
- 10 with respect to those actions. That's what we had
- 11 all talked about and that's what I intend to do.
- If you'd like to then schedule to be back here
- 13 tomorrow afternoon with respect to those counts, I
- 14 would then expect that we would be taking evidence
- and moving forward on those while the jury is
- 16 deliberating.
- 17 MR. CHASE: It's the -- I've got Nick Carlin --
- 18 Nick Carlin took off work today and he has to be
- 19 able to have some time. And I said that we would
- 20 need him back for that, specifically for the
- 21 replevin. He's got a ton of information that you
- 22 need to hear. And it's --
- 23 THE COURT: I have an expectation of counsel
- 24 with respect to the replevin counts based upon the
- 25 Court's ruling at this point, that I am certain that



- 1 you will be able to resolve 90 plus percent of those
- 2 issues.
- 3 There's no questions as to the Court's
- 4 interpretation at this point of the contract
- 5 documents and what the testimony is with respect to
- 6 the scope of materials that are subject to the
- 7 replevin count. There may be some small
- 8 misunderstandings or disagreements about that and
- 9 I'll be happy to hear factual testimony on it if it
- 10 can't be resolved.
- 11 And I -- listen, Mr. Benitez, Mr. Myers, I
- 12 understand you disagree with me. That's your
- 13 absolute right. You have a different interpretation
- 14 of the evidence than I do. But the Court has ruled.
- 15 You are now the appellant in an appeal, if
- 16 that's what you choose to do. Where over 65 percent
- of those cases are decided in favor of the appellee.
- 18 It's an uphill battle.
- 19 So you can choose to continue to fight on those
- 20 issues and perhaps be on appeal relative to them, or
- 21 you can strike bargains and take control of these
- 22 issues yourself. But the Court's interpretation of
- 23 these agreements I don't think is at great issue at
- 24 this point.
- 25 MR. CHASE: No, sir.



- 1 THE COURT: And whether it's necessary for the
- 2 Court to sit and evaluate each item, and whether you
- 3 want the Court to be in that position, or whether
- 4 you want to take control of that position,
- 5 whether -- what makes more sense in the context of
- 6 this, I'm -- I did not anticipate, in all candor,
- 7 that following our conversation off the record
- 8 yesterday, that there would be a settlement this
- 9 morning.
- I get it. I think I understand quite well
- 11 precisely what is going on here.
- MR. BENITEZ: Well, Judge, if I may.
- We made offers that were pending until this
- 14 morning, and we're in a position that my clients are
- 15 not in the mindset that you believe they are.
- 16 They're willing to go ahead.
- I think the Court's suggested along the lines
- 18 of the replevin, that whatever remaining -- whatever
- is being used stay with Highway 46.
- 20 MR. CHASE: Objection. He's talking about
- 21 settlement conversations.
- 22 THE COURT: These are settlement conversations
- 23 and the specifics are not appropriate for the Court
- 24 to hear.
- The issue is this: In every context in which



- 1 settlement is at issue, it is a choice by the
- 2 parties. The choice is whether you leave it up to a
- 3 fact finder to make decisions, or whether you choose
- 4 to take control of the decision-making process.
- 5 Understanding that every settlement -- a good
- 6 settlement, everybody walks away unhappy. At least
- 7 a little bit unhappy. That's the nature of a good
- 8 settlement. You give something you didn't want to
- 9 give. You get something maybe you hadn't expected
- 10 to get.
- 11 This issue of the replevin is a very fact
- 12 intensive determination because it's going to come
- down to what was on site.
- 14 MR. CHASE: And that's Nick Carlin.
- 15 THE COURT: And -- to some degree. It's Mike
- 16 Myers, to some degree. It's Jackie Myers, to some
- 17 degree. It's -- the point is, it's fact intensive.
- 18 Those facts could break for you, they could
- 19 break against you, or they could fall somewhere in
- 20 between. And I'm simply suggesting to you, that it
- 21 is not, in my estimation, necessary for you to go
- 22 through that process as to everything.
- Now, are there a couple of items that you might
- 24 disagree about that have significant value and happy
- 25 to consider those on a case-by-case basis, but does



- 1 it make sense.
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: And, Judge --
- 3 THE COURT: May I have a conversation with you
- 4 all off the record. Would you all be comfortable
- 5 with that?
- 6 MR. CHASE: Of course, Judge.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: Yes.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. Off the record.
- 9 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 10 THE COURT: Let's go back on the record and
- 11 let's address issues.
- MR. SHUKER: In terms of the two counts against
- us, 5 and 20, that are heard by you, I think 5 is
- 14 just legal argument in terms of rescission, and the
- 15 other one is as well.
- But when we get to those, I'm not going to call
- 17 Ms. Hachenberger so can she be excused for the
- 18 balance.
- 19 THE COURT: Is she necessary to anything, from
- 20 your perspective? And, if so, now will be the time
- 21 to address it.
- MR. BENITEZ: When are you anticipating doing
- 23 this, your Honor?
- 24 THE COURT: In a perfect world, we send the
- 25 jury out and call your first witness. I know that's



- 1 not realistically happening. I think I'm going to
- 2 give you closing arguments, and I'm going to give
- 3 you -- we'll take lunch time, anyway.
- 4 How -- I mean, what do you all think about
- 5 Friday morning? You see you got an issue with
- 6 Mr. Carlin getting back.
- 7 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. It just -- that would
- 8 be -- yes, sir. Because I asked him -- he took off
- 9 the whole day to come in today, then wasn't called.
- 10 And I told him that we need this narrow, and then he
- 11 said, I just need some notice.
- I was thinking -- I thought you had said that
- 13 we were going to do this, like it was going to
- 14 even -- maybe even a few weeks from now.
- 15 THE COURT: No, no.
- 16 MR. CHASE: Then I misunderstood.
- 17 I can let him know Friday morning.
- 18 THE COURT: Let me give you this, all terms we
- 19 could start Monday morning.
- MR. BENITEZ: That would be perfect.
- 21 MR. CHASE: Then we've got the time.
- THE COURT: Can we do it in one day?
- 23 MR. CHASE: He's going to come in and testify
- 24 about there was all that memorabilia there. That
- 25 Mike Myers told him that there was all the



- 1 memorabilia on the property, on the 46 property.
- 2 That all that -- that there's a ton of memorabilia.
- 3 That he's the one with Mike Myers moved the stuff
- 4 over to Church Street.
- 5 Mike Myers told him, this is the stuff that I'm
- 6 contributing to the project. Mike Myers described
- 7 the deal to him, described we have to inventory so
- 8 that we know the value, all of the things.
- 9 I mean, Nick Carlin's got a ton of testimony
- 10 and it's all -- he was our star witness.
- 11 THE COURT: Isn't -- if you all can't resolve
- 12 the replevin claim amongst yourselves, that sounds
- 13 like more than a day of testimony.
- MR. CHASE: No way. It would be --
- 15 MR. BENITEZ: Judge --
- 16 MR. CHASE: For that -- I mean, to get into all
- 17 of the negative things that I mentioned yesterday
- 18 that he could have done, but now are irrelevant
- 19 because of those first, A, B and C being gone.
- 20 THE COURT: Right.
- 21 MR. CHASE: I'm just sticking with that. I
- 22 think it's going to be -- maybe my part would be
- 23 within an hour.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. To identify specific items.
- MR. CHASE: No. It wouldn't be identifying



1 specific items. He would be able to testify as 2 to -- there's nobody I think ever's going to be able to walk in here. Nor is the Court ever going to 3 4 give his time to go, that one, that one, that one. I think Mr. Myers could. 5 THE COURT: Okay. There's 6800 items. 6 MR. CHASE: 7 THE COURT: Listen. I'm not excited about it, I'm just asking the questions. 8 9 MR. CHASE: Okav. 10 THE COURT: Let me tell you a story. 11 Off the record. (Discussion held off the record.) 12 13 THE COURT: It's 12:30. Let's be back here at 14 2 o'clock and we're going to do the charge 15 conference. 16 MR. CHASE: Can Glenda be released? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 Thank you. MR. SHUKER: 19 THE COURT: What you're telling me is, you 20 didn't intend for her to testify. I haven't heard 2.1 anybody say she needs to testify? 22 MR. SHUKER: Great. And we won't be back for 23 the charge conference. You don't need us.

ORANGELEGAL

THE COURT:

2.4

25

That's fine.

Thank you. We'll see you all back at

1 2 o'clock. 2 MR. CHASE: Thank you. 3 (A recess was taken.) THE DEPUTY: Everyone, please rise. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated. All right. Ready to proceed with the charge 6 7 conference? 8 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 9 As we go through it, may I be seated, sir? 10 THE COURT: You may be. You may be at ease. This is a more informal time than when I have the 11 12 jury. And if you'd like to take your jackets off, 13 you're welcome. I do have to -- Mr. Jones and I do 14 MR. CHASE: 15 need to renew my motions for directed verdict as to 16 letters I, L, and O, of Counts 18 and 19. Those are 17 the -- my understanding -- the only remaining things 18 that are pending before the jury. 19 That would be the mismanagement of the 20 business, changing the name and concept, and 2.1 incurring unnecessary and unauthorized expenses. 22 My arguments -- I would adopt and incorporate 23 my arguments earlier with special attention that 2.4 there are just no damages. There's no damages. 25 I don't understand what the remedy is. It's



- 1 the -- I don't -- I understand that they've made
- 2 this allegation, but there's no way that the jury
- 3 could come back with any damages for any one of
- 4 those three things. It's a -- without having to be
- 5 speculative.
- 6 Plus the contract is unambiguous and gives him
- 7 control to do all the things that he did. So I just
- 8 don't see how that's something that the jury could
- 9 come back with an adverse ruling.
- 10 Respectfully, sir.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, I believe the Court has
- 12 already heard all the arguments previously. There's
- 13 nothing but additional evidence at this time, so I
- 14 would rely on the previous arguments that have been
- 15 made.
- 16 THE COURT: May I ask, Mr. Benitez -- well, I
- 17 don't think I can ask that.
- I would -- same ruling as previously, I will
- 19 deny the motion for directed verdict.
- 20 MR. CHASE: Thank you, sir.
- 21 THE COURT: Whose office prepared the draft set
- 22 of instructions?
- MR. CHASE: Mr. Jones.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Jones, you are
- 25 charged with doing the final set as well.



- APPEAL 1 I can do that. MR. SHUKER: 2 THE COURT: And it's -- it would be especially 3 helpful for you to ask any questions that you have 4 as we go through so I can make sure that you're 5 prepared to do this. 6 MR. SHUKER: Certainly. 7 THE COURT: One of the -- I guess is a starting place what I'd like to do and what I think the rules 8 9 require us to do, obviously, is to give the jury a 10 set of instructions. They're going to have in their 11 hands a copy of the law as it relates to each of the 12 claims that the Court's going to be giving them. 13 So as you prepare these, Court's preferred format would be simply jury instructions. Don't 14 15 even need a case style or anything like that. 16 simply the title, jury instructions. And then we 17 start running text with the jury instructions. Not
 - 19 They should have no titles. They should have
 - 20 no jury instruction numbers. To the extent that

one per page, but just running text.

- 21 there are subtitles necessary, sometimes they are
- 22 for shifting gears, that's appropriate, but no
- 23 titles of the instructions.
- So, for example, if you will look at the
- 25 standard instruction, 401.1, which is an



18

- 1 introduction. It says, 401.1 introduction. Neither
- 2 of those would go to the standards set of
- 3 instructions. It would simply begin: Members of
- 4 the jury, you've now heard and received all the
- 5 evidence in the case.
- No page numbers are necessary. So, again, just
- 7 rolling instructions. No page numbers. That helps
- 8 us if we have to insert a page. We're not concerned
- 9 with pagination any longer.
- 10 Okay. The instructions would begin with 401.1.
- 11 Any objections from the plaintiff?
- MR. CHASE: We need to get there, Judge. Just
- 13 a second.
- MR. BENITEZ: Judge, are you going based on the
- 15 last one that was submitted to you or --
- 16 THE COURT: I'm actually starting with the
- 17 standard jury instructions.
- MR. BENITEZ: Oh, standard.
- 19 THE COURT: I don't see 401.1 in the set that
- 20 was given to me, so --
- 21 MR. SHUKER: I have what was submitted.
- MR. CHASE: The 401.1 is the standard
- 23 beginning. I have no objection, Judge.
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. BENITEZ: If it's -- I don't even know what



- 1 it is, but if it's standard, I don't have any 2 objection.
- 3 THE COURT: Just to refresh your memory, it
- 4 reads as follows: Members of the jury, you've now
- 5 heard and received all the evidence in this case.
- 6 I'm now going to tell you about the rules of law
- 7 that you must use in reaching your verdict.
- 8 There's a parenthetical, which we would not
- 9 give because that deals with the law that we would
- 10 have given to them at the front. We decided not to
- 11 do that. It says, then, when I finish telling you
- 12 about the rules of law, the attorneys will present
- their final arguments and you'll then retire to
- 14 deliberate.
- So it's a standard introduction.
- 16 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, sir.
- 17 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 18 THE COURT: 401.1.
- 19 401.2 is the summary of claims.
- This is one that needs to be fleshed out just a
- 21 little bit by the lawyers.
- 22 You do have 401. You have it as 409.2.
- MR. CHASE: And should be 401.
- 24 THE COURT: But I think if we use the
- 25 Form 401.2, it's all the same. 401.2 and 409.2, the



- 1 form is the same.
- 2 This is the instruction that begins: The
- 3 claims and defenses in this case are as follows:
- 4 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 5 THE COURT: 401.2 is a negligence context.
- 6 401. -- 409.2 that you've cited is negligent
- 7 misrepresentation or fraud. Neither of them
- 8 directly apply, but you want to use that format.
- 9 And then I would suggest to you that it would
- 10 begin: The plaintiff claims that the defendant
- 11 Michael Myers converted the property. And then go
- 12 into the standard instruction for conversion.
- 13 And then, the defendant denies that claim in
- 14 the defense's piece of this. And there are no
- 15 affirmative defenses raised to that; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 MR. CHASE: No, sir. And they didn't deny it.
- 18 THE COURT: You haven't moved for directed
- 19 verdict on it either.
- MR. CHASE: Not going to.
- 21 THE COURT: Do you deny the conversion? Are
- 22 you going to argue it didn't happen?
- MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor, I am.
- 24 As a matter of fact, at this time I would like
- 25 to move for directed verdict on plaintiffs'



- 1 pleadings in this case because there's no evidence
- 2 of the conversion.
- 3 THE COURT: Well, we're past that. But even if
- 4 that motion were before me I, would deny it. I
- 5 think that there's prima facie evidence of the
- 6 elements.
- 7 But it is your intent to deny that claim.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: So defendant denies this claim.
- His pleadings is denied.
- 11 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 12 THE COURT: And so, and these would be names at
- 13 this point. So Highway 46 Holdings claims that the
- 14 defendant Michael Myers converted property or money
- or whatever it is that you contend.
- 16 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 17 Madam Court Reporter, we're going to need this
- 18 right away, the draft.
- 19 THE COURT: And then, consistent with the
- 20 standard, the defendant denies that claim. And then
- 21 we don't have a comparative. There's no issues
- 22 there.
- 23 And there is a -- there's the standard
- 24 instruction.
- 401.24 is not a standard instruction. It's a



- 1 standard instruction, but it's not a standard
- 2 written instruction. The instruction says, the
- 3 general counterclaims, cross-claims and third-party
- 4 claims should follow the same pattern of issues.
- 5 So you'll lay out in 401.2 all of the claims
- 6 and defenses first. That's the threshold place that
- 7 it gets laid out.
- 8 So after the plaintiffs' claim for conversion
- 9 and the denial, then the defendants, Michael and
- 10 Jackie Myers, Jacqueline -- excuse me, I think it is
- 11 in the pleadings -- claim that, and then summarize
- their claim, which is essentially that Highway 46
- 13 Holdings and Donald Hachenberger violated their duty
- 14 of care.
- 15 Are these --
- 16 MR. SHUKER: I think it's just Donald
- 17 Hachenberger.
- 18 MR. CHASE: Just Donald Hachenberger.
- 19 THE COURT: Just Donald Hachenberger.
- 20 MR. CHASE: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: So claims that Donald Hachenberger
- 22 violated his duty of care and violated of good faith
- 23 and fair dealing.
- 24 MR. CHASE: By --
- 25 THE COURT: By some very brief summary.



- 1 MR. CHASE: A, B, C. Yes, sir, the -- yes,
- 2 sir. I'm -- by mismanaging the business. I'm fine
- 3 going by the pleadings, by mismanaging the business,
- 4 by changing the name and concept of the business, by
- 5 incurring unnecessary and unauthorized expenses.
- 6 THE COURT: Right. Which caused them harm.
- 7 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. And then Donald Hachenberger
- 9 denies the claim.
- 10 And are there affirmative defenses here?
- 11 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir, I'm -- yes, sir, I'm
- 12 sorry. I don't know off the top of my head. The
- 13 judgment rule is out.
- One second, Judge. Business judgment rule and
- 15 express terms. Express terms of the contract.
- 16 THE COURT: That's a simple denial.
- 17 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 18 THE COURT: Neither of those are affirmative
- 19 defenses. We've established previously that
- 20 Mr. Benitez for his clients carries the burden and
- 21 that your clients simply denies those allegations.
- Business judgment rule is something you can
- 23 talk about. I'm not saying you can't talk about or
- even use the words business judgment, but it's got
- 25 to be in the context of denying that he was grossly



- 1 negligent, reckless, or criminal in his behavior.
- MR. BENITEZ: And, Judge, that doesn't mean
- 3 that he's allowed to use the phrase business
- 4 judgment ruling.
- 5 THE COURT: There's no rule.
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: Just business judgment, period.
- 7 THE COURT: Right. Used his best business
- 8 judgment. Used business judgment with consultation.
- 9 Whatever the arguments are. You're welcome to do
- 10 that. But we're not going to define for the jury a
- 11 business judgment rule.
- MR. CHASE: There's an instruction, isn't
- 13 there?
- 14 THE COURT: Is there a standard instruction on
- 15 the business judgment rule that applies to LLCs?
- 16 MR. CHASE: I think that what -- what we
- 17 encompassed was the language in the statute. All we
- 18 wanted to use is that language in the statute that
- 19 we discussed earlier.
- THE COURT: And that's all coming in. We're
- 21 going to talk about that in a minute.
- MR. CHASE: Okay. And that's what I'm --
- 23 THE COURT: No, we're just in the summary of
- 24 claims portion of the instruction.
- MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.



1 All right. And next would come the THE COURT: 2 burden of proof. 3 All these claims are greater weight of the 4 evidence, right? 5 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. So 401.3, no objection. 6 THE COURT: 7 MR. CHASE: No objection. No objection, Mr. Ben --8 THE COURT: 9 MR. BENITEZ: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Do we have a definition of gross 11 negligence? 12 MR. BENITEZ: I don't think one has been 13 submitted, your Honor. Should I give the jury one? 14 THE COURT: 15 I mean, ordinarily we would define negligence 16 for them. Are we going to define gross negligence? 17 MR. BENITEZ: And that's why originally I had a 18 jury instruction with respect to negligence, but 19 that went to the negligent misrepresentation. Maybe 20 we can use that. 2.1 MR. CHASE: No. 22 MR. BENITEZ: Or not define it at all. 23 No. It needs to be defined. MR. CHASE: 2.4 I think the jury needs to THE COURT: 25 understand what the standard is for gross



- 1 negligence. And there's plenty of case law --
- 2 MR. CHASE: We'll find --
- 3 THE COURT: -- that talks about what gross
- 4 negligence is, that talks about what recklessness
- 5 is, the terms that you all want to use and are
- 6 consistent with the statute.
- 7 MR. CHASE: Mr. Jones will find that. And,
- 8 your Honor and Mr. Benitez will have it in hand.
- 9 THE COURT: What I wanted -- what I want to
- 10 avoid is coming back here at 9 o'clock tomorrow
- 11 morning ending up with instructions and having to
- 12 change them and having Mr. Jones run around with
- 13 a -- like a chicken with his head cut off producing
- 14 instructions.
- So I would venture to say that the case law is
- 16 clear as to how those terms are defined, and you all
- 17 ought to be able to reach agreement.
- It is 2:15. I expect we're going to be done
- 19 here at this place by 3 o'clock. I'm hopeful that
- 20 maybe you can get some of these issues to a head
- 21 before 5.
- 22 If you are not able to agree on something
- 23 before 5, I want you to call me. I'll be in
- 24 chambers and I'll be happy to address the issue.
- 25 If it's after 5, I'm going to give counsel my



- 1 cell phone number, and I want to try to resolve
- 2 issues ahead of tomorrow. If it's at all possible.
- 3 Okay.
- 4 So we're going to need a definition for gross
- 5 negligence.
- 6 MR. CHASE: As we're sitting here. Judge,
- 7 perhaps maybe we can come to a quick one. I'm sure
- 8 Black's Law Dictionary -- I mean maybe -- maybe
- 9 it'll be okay. It's worth a try.
- I'm sorry, Judge, if I see something, I'll read
- 11 it back.
- 12 THE COURT: So we're still in the definitional
- 13 portion. We'll have a definition of the burden of
- 14 proof. We'll have a definition of gross negligence.
- 15 Excuse me.
- MR. CHASE: I have a proposed Black's Law
- 17 Dictionary. Says: The intentional failure to
- 18 perform a manifest duty and reckless disregard of
- 19 consequences as affecting the life or property of
- 20 another.
- I would have no objection to that definition
- 22 for gross negligence.
- 23 MR. BENITEZ: I would have a problem with that.
- 24 It's mixing -- and I just heard it because he just
- 25 recited it. I don't have anything in front of me.



- 1 But it uses the word intentional, which, again, it's
- 2 different than gross negligence.
- Judge, I think -- I'm trying to get into
- 4 West -- or Lexis to try to get into the jury
- 5 instructions. I thought that there was -- I'm not
- 6 representing to the Court that there is, but there
- 7 may be a gross negligence instruction somewhere in
- 8 there.
- 9 MR. CHASE: The gross -- citing the supreme
- 10 court.
- Judge, this is something that I shouldn't take
- 12 the Court's time. We'll try to work on it, I'm
- 13 going to continue to look for it.
- 14 THE COURT: He'll continue to look.
- I actually served on the standard jury
- 16 instruction committee for civil. I'm not aware of
- 17 an instruction for gross negligence. That does not
- 18 mean that it is not there. It just means that it
- 19 hasn't come up in the two years that I've been
- 20 sitting on the committee as an issue.
- 21 MR. CHASE: I do have this case that says gross
- 22 negligence, on the other hand, is defined as -- it's
- 23 a long case. But the -- the little blurb that you
- 24 get when it comes up, it says, on the other hand,
- 25 gross negligence is defined as. And then it stops.



- 1 So I'm looking for that. It's a really long
- 2 case. And it's a supreme court case. That one's
- 3 pretty good.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: I just looked at the table of
- 5 content for the jury instructions. I didn't see one
- 6 there, your Honor.
- 7 MR. CHASE: Gross negligence, on the other
- 8 hand, is defined as an act or omission that a
- 9 reasonably prudent person would know is likely to
- 10 result in injury to another.
- 11 And that's in -- I hate that -- it's -- it's in
- 12 a supreme court case. It's in the flip notes of it.
- 13 It's in 630 So.2d 537, Eller V Shova, S-H-O-V-A.
- 14 And then, at the very bottom of that opinion where
- 15 it's talking about culpable negligence through case
- 16 law, reckless indifference, of human life.
- Gross negligence, on the other hand, is a
- 18 conscious -- it does say, gross negligence on the
- 19 other hand is defined as an act or omission that a
- 20 reasonably prudent person would know is likely to
- 21 result in injury to another.
- I don't believe that -- I'm reading from a
- 23 supreme court case, but I think gross negligence is
- 24 a little more extreme than that. It's a higher
- 25 burden, or higher standard, whatever you want to



- 1 call it.
- 2 This court has defined -- and here's another
- 3 one, another supreme court case, this court has
- 4 defined gross negligence as -- this court has -- and
- 5 unrelated -- this court has defined gross negligence
- 6 as the want of slight care.
- 7 I don't know what that means.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. And the punitive damages
- 9 statute, there's a definition for gross negligence.
- 10 And I'm reading out of a case that I don't have the
- 11 statute in front of me. I'll get there in just a
- 12 second.
- The case says, gross negligence was defined to
- 14 mean that the defendant's conduct was so reckless or
- wanting in care that it constituted a conscious
- 16 disregard, indifference to the life, safety or
- 17 rights of the persons exposed to such conduct.
- 18 768.72 is the statute.
- MR. CHASE: Judge, that's my understanding of
- 20 gross negligence going back to law school. It's a
- 21 pretty big standard.
- 22 THE COURT: We know it's higher than the
- 23 violation of ordinary duty of care. It's got to be
- 24 something more than that.
- 25 So this statute, I think, is a starting place



- 1 for your conversations. You may look at other law
- 2 and decide that it needs to be revised. But that's
- 3 a starting place, I'll suggest to you.
- 4 The other terms that are utilized in the
- 5 statute are so the duty of care says in the statute,
- 6 says that the duty of care is limited to refraining
- 7 from engaging in grossly negligent or reckless
- 8 conduct. You know, combining those two, intentional
- 9 misconduct, or a knowing violation of the law.
- So I don't think those other terms necessarily
- 11 require definition.
- Do you have a thought about that, Mr. Benitez?
- MR. BENITEZ: My only thought is, if you're
- 14 going to put all the terms in there, you might as
- 15 well give at least a definition. Like, for
- 16 instance, violation of law, which the Court has
- indicated probably doesn't need much explanation.
- 18 And I kind of agree. But at least maybe do --
- 19 something like knowing violation of law is that he
- 20 knew that he was violating the law at the time.
- 21 THE COURT: Is there an allegation he did
- 22 anything criminal in this case?
- 23 MR. CHASE: No, sir. Certainly not pled or
- 24 argued.
- 25 THE COURT: I know there were questions asked



- 1 about it, but I just didn't -- I didn't hear any
- 2 evidence of --
- 3 MR. CHASE: I just asked a question because
- 4 that's what's in the statute. Citing Bailey v.
- 5 St. Louis, 196 So.3d.
- 6 THE COURT: That's the case I pulled that out
- 7 of.
- 8 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 9 THE COURT: That's the reference to the statute
- 10 or the citation.
- 11 MR. CHASE: Gross negligence was defined that
- 12 the defendant's conduct was so reckless or wanton in
- 13 care that it constituted a conscious disregard or
- indifference to life, safety or rights of a person
- 15 exposed to such conduct.
- 16 And it cites that 768.72. I would submit
- 17 that's the proper definition.
- 18 THE COURT: Again, I think that's a great
- 19 starting point for your conversation. I'll let you
- 20 try to work that out. If there's an issue -- any
- 21 alleged violations of law.
- MR. BENITEZ: Violations that would come to
- 23 mind would be related to the other provision of 608,
- 24 which is that you have to act in good faith and
- 25 dealing.



- 1 THE COURT: That's not a violation of the law.
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: It isn't?
- 3 THE COURT: No.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: That isn't the law that they have
- 5 to comply with.
- 6 THE COURT: No. You can't bootstrap it like
- 7 that. No. It's criminal conduct. That's what the
- 8 standard is referring to. A violation of law in
- 9 that context is criminal conduct.
- 10 Is there any allegation of criminal conduct in
- 11 this case?
- MR. BENITEZ: The allegation that comes to mind
- 13 that would fit under that description would be when
- 14 he was taking the door money and pocketing it, which
- 15 is the testimony of Brad Nicks.
- 16 THE COURT: That's not the testimony of Brad
- 17 Nicks. But, respectfully, what Brad Nicks said is
- 18 that he took the money, but didn't give it to him to
- 19 deposit.
- That's the testimony of Brad Nicks.
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: And -- right. And Brad Nicks
- 22 also indicated --
- 23 THE COURT: You want to infer from that that he
- 24 pocketed it?
- 25 MR. BENITEZ: Right.



- 1 THE COURT: No, I'm not. Right. Because
- 2 that's not what you said.
- 3 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 4 THE COURT: That's not the testimony. That's
- 5 the inference from the testimony. And there is a
- 6 distinction between the two.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: And but Brad Nicks also testified
- 8 that he would have been the one that would have
- 9 collected the money and then deposited the money in
- 10 the account. And then he didn't do that.
- 11 THE COURT: So where did the money go?
- 12 MR. BENITEZ: Donald Hachenberger took it.
- 13 THE COURT: You don't know. You infer that he
- 14 took it. Respectfully.
- I understand why you want to infer that. But
- there are a hundred other things that could have
- 17 happened with the money. That could become petty
- 18 cash for the next day. I don't know. Nobody asked
- 19 a witness that question. But that is a possible
- 20 inference.
- 21 I'm just suggesting -- we're here -- sitting
- 22 here and formally talking about jury instructions.
- 23 Take off the advocacy hats for just a minute and
- let's not talk about inferences. Let's talk about
- 25 what the facts are that had been disclosed.



- Okay. I think a definition of gross negligence
- 2 will satisfy that.
- 3 We're not going to define common everyday
- 4 terms. The instructions don't do that.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, would you mind defining
- 6 intentional or reckless?
- 7 MR. CHASE: The intentional is defined in the
- 8 same place -- in that same place.
- 9 Intentional misconduct was defined by our
- 10 legislature to mean that the defendant had actual
- 11 knowledge of the wantonness of the conduct and the
- 12 high probability that injury or damage to the
- 13 claimant would result. And despite that knowledge,
- intentionally pursued that course of conduct,
- 15 resulting in injury or damage.
- Judge, I would -- I would -- I move to have
- 17 both of those -- for those definitions used from
- 18 this case, from the -- that those are the best
- 19 definitions we can get. That's the legislature
- 20 being quoted by the appellate courts saying these
- 21 are the definitions.
- 22 THE COURT: And what portion of that -- I mean,
- 23 intentional misconduct, that's not the words of the
- 24 statute we're dealing with.
- 25 MR. CHASE: I think it is.



1 No, sir. THE COURT: 2 I think it's gross negligence or MR. CHASE: 3 recklessness, intentional misconduct, or violation 4 of law. 5 And so, Judge, I would ask for both of those --I'm sorry, sir. 6 7 THE COURT: You're correct. I apologize. 8 MR. CHASE: No. 9 THE COURT: Thoughts? 10 MR. CHASE: Do you have it up? 11 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, I have it. 12 I think that with a little adjustment -- it's 13 got to be adjusted a little bit. 14 MR. CHASE: Like --15 THE COURT: Okay. I think y' all can make 16 minor adjustments. But I think the intent is -- I 17 mean, those are the two terms that are utilized by 18 the statute. They do both fit the context. 19 I just don't think, for example, that we have 20 evidence of conduct that constituted a conscious 2.1 disregard or indifference to the life of others. 22 MR. CHASE: I totally agree. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So that's a minor 2.4 adjustment --25 MR. CHASE: Okay.



1 -- that might be made to the THE COURT: 2 definition that would more closely align itself with 3 the facts. 4 MR. CHASE: So the property of others. 5 THE COURT: This is clearly a case about the 6 property of others. 7 MR. CHASE: Okay. That -- do we have no objection with that minor adjustment? 8 9 THE COURT: And I think it can be to the 10 property or rights of a person exposed to such 11 conduct. 12 MR. CHASE: No objection. 13 MR. BENITEZ: Agree. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's what 15 it'll say. 16 And then, for intentional misconduct. 17 definition here says, means that the defendant had actual knowledge of the wrongfulness of the conduct 18 19 and the high probability that injury or damage to 20 the claimant could result, and would result. And 2.1 despite that knowledge, intentionally pursued that 22 course of conduct, resulting in injury or damage. 23 Thoughts on that piece? 2.4 Mr. Benitez. 25

MR. BENITEZ: The same comments that with

- 1 respect to gross negligence, with minor adjustments,
- 2 I think --
- 3 THE COURT: Well, what minor adjustments?
- 4 Because this one doesn't talk about life.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: It talks -- okay.
- 6 THE COURT: This one just talks about resulting
- 7 in injury or damage.
- 8 MR. CHASE: No objection.
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: Fine.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. So those will be the two
- 11 definitions we'll use for those terms.
- MR. BENITEZ: And then, Judge, how about
- 13 reckless, or considering that, just blank --
- 14 THE COURT: You know that the -- here's the
- 15 issue. Gross negligence refers to conduct that's so
- 16 reckless or wanting in care, do we want to then
- 17 define reckless.
- 18 MR. BENITEZ: Because a jury can find reckless
- 19 without having found gross negligence.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. You got a definition for
- 21 reckless?
- In your Black's.
- MR. BENITEZ: Thank you.
- MR. CHASE: Reckless, not recking. I'm reading
- 25 from Black's Law Dictionary. Not recking,



- 1 R-E-C-K-I-N-G, careless, heedless, inattentive,
- 2 indifferent to consequences, according to
- 3 circumstances. It may mean desperately heedless,
- 4 wanton or willful. Or it may mean only careless,
- 5 inattentive or negligence.
- For conduct to be reckless, it must be such as
- 7 to immense disregard of or indifference to
- 8 consequences under circumstances involving danger to
- 9 life or safety to others. Although no harm was
- 10 intended.
- 11 And then that cites a case from Kansas. And
- 12 this goes into -- in the context of an event, one,
- in the context of that definition as it appears in
- 14 the statute, it seems to -- the legislature seems to
- 15 kind of lump that with gross negligence. But it
- 16 does say grossly negligent or reckless conduct.
- And then, because you're talking about when you
- 18 juxtaposed grossly negligent or reckless conduct,
- 19 that would lead the reader to believe that it's more
- 20 than just careless. As the -- as Black's Law
- 21 Dictionary describes that.
- Depending, according to the circumstances, it
- 23 would mean, the dis -- the indifference to
- 24 consequences and circumstances involving danger to
- 25 life or safety to others.



- 1 And I will look for a case, Judge. Juxtapose,
- 2 Court defined reckless. These cases are clear that
- 3 reckless conduct is not measured by whether a
- 4 reasonably prudent man -- this is about the reckless
- 5 publication or something -- whether a reasonably
- 6 prudent man would've published or would have
- 7 investigated before publishing.
- 8 There must be sufficient evidence to permit the
- 9 conclusion that the defendant, in fact, entertained
- 10 serious doubts as to the truth of his publication.
- 11 Publishing with such doubt shows reckless disregard
- 12 for truth or falsity that demonstrates actual
- 13 malice.
- 14 THE COURT: Medical malpractice act defines
- reckless disregard, Section 768.13, Sub 2, Sub 3.
- 16 Sub 3. And it defines it as follows. It says: For
- 17 purposes of this paragraph, reckless disregard as it
- 18 applies to a given health care provider rendering
- 19 emergency medical services shall be conduct which a
- 20 health care provider knew or should've known at the
- 21 time such services were rendered would be likely to
- 22 result in injury so as to affect the life or health
- 23 of another.
- MR. CHASE: I would be good with reckless is
- 25 defined as conduct by the defendant, that the



- 1 defendant knew or should've known was likely to
- 2 cause damage to the claimant.
- 3 THE COURT: Thoughts?
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: I'm also looking at the provision
- 5 you're quoting, Judge, and I really don't have any
- 6 problem tweaking that into this case.
- 7 I think that's a little different than what
- 8 Mr. Chase said. But using your statute, the way you
- 9 looked at it, I think tweaking it would be
- 10 appropriate.
- 11 THE COURT: So let's tweak it and let's get it
- 12 done.
- 13 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 14 THE COURT: So the statute reads: Which a
- 15 health care provider show -- reckless disregard
- 16 means: Conduct which -- reckless means -- conduct
- 17 which, defendant knew, counter defendant, but the
- 18 counter defendant knew or should have -- let's just
- 19 put names in. Which Mr. Hachenberger knew or should
- 20 have known at the time of such conduct would be
- 21 likely to result in injury or harm.
- That would be consistent with the prior
- 23 instruction that we did on the intentional
- 24 misconduct. So it kind of tracks that same
- 25 language, but sets forth the standard.



1 MR. CHASE: No objection. 2 No objection. MR. BENITEZ: 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think those are the key definitions. 4 5 If we come back to any others, just let me know. This is the section where those definitions 6 7 would go. 8 MR. CHASE: Thank you, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Now --10 MR. BENITEZ: And, Judge, with respect to 11 definition, since we've got good faith and fair 12 dealing, shouldn't those be included in the 13 definition or should we include them at a later 14 date. 15 THE COURT: No. That's a great point. This is 16 the place where those definitions would come in. 17 MR. BENITEZ: Okav. 18 THE COURT: So do we have good definitions of 19 good faith and fair dealings? 20 MR. CHASE: I thought we did. 2.1 Didn't we have those in there? There was the 22 big long statutory definitions that -- I'm not used 23 to sitting down and talking. 2.4 Where it was under the duty of loyalty and the

right -- it's like --

25

- 1 In the absence of a definition under the
- 2 statutory good faith, fair dealing, then you're --
- 3 the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 4 THE COURT: Let me just make a comment on the
- 5 record. In one of the instructions that you all
- 6 provided, which is the violation of the obligation
- 7 of good faith and fair dealing instruction.
- 8 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 9 THE COURT: There's a quote to a case, Houri,
- 10 H-O-U-R-I, versus Boaziz, B-O-A-Z-I-Z, at 196 so.3d
- 11 383. And there's a reference to that case in the
- 12 footnote to the instruction in which it was said
- 13 that, quote: While the agreements entered by the
- 14 parties could not eliminate a general duty of good
- 15 faith, comma, see Section 608.24, 45, parenthesis,
- 16 SIC, end parenthesis, Florida Statute 2004, comma.
- 17 They could and did otherwise dictate the nature of
- their relationship and the obligations each owed to
- 19 the others.
- This is what I was trying to enunciate. I hope
- 21 that I did it clearly. And why it is that I believe
- 22 that the counts survive for the two statutory
- 23 claims.
- 24 That, notwithstanding that the agreement gives
- 25 sole and exclusive authority to Mr. Hachenberger, it



- 1 is still layered with the duty of good faith and
- 2 fair dealing, and the duty to carry out obligations
- 3 consistent with the agreement.
- 4 That case, I think, supports that proposition.
- 5 All right. Definitions of good faith and fair
- 6 dealing.
- 7 MR. CHASE: While everybody's looking, Black's
- 8 Law Dictionary, good faith is an intangible and
- 9 abstract quality with no technical meaning or
- 10 statutory definition.
- 11 Shocker.
- 12 And it encompasses, among other things, an
- 13 honest belief, the absence of malice, and the
- 14 absence of design to defraud or to seek an
- 15 unconscionable advantage in an individual's personal
- 16 good faith, his concept of his own mind and inner
- 17 spirit. And, therefore, may not conclusively be
- 18 determined by his protestations alone.
- 19 That cites to New York case, honesty of
- 20 intention and freedom from knowledge and
- 21 circumstances which ought to put the holder upon
- 22 inquiry, and honest intention to be abstain from
- 23 taking any unconscientious advantage of another,
- 24 even through technicalities of law, together with
- 25 absence -- it's -- I do like, however, good faith



- 1 encompasses, among other things, a duty of honesty,
- 2 the absence of malice, and the absence of a design
- 3 to defraud or to seek an unconscionable advantage.
- I don't -- that's not a bad definition.
- 5 UCC has a definition, says, Mr. Jones --
- 6 That one wasn't bad.
- 7 UCC, good faith is defined as honesty in fact
- 8 in the conduct or transaction. And concern, could
- 9 mean -- it's also defined as honesty and fact when
- 10 the observance of reasonable commercial standards of
- 11 fair dealing in the trade. That one's not bad.
- 12 Either one, I think, good faith is honesty.
- 13 Honesty and fact in the conduct or transaction
- 14 concerned is one possibility.
- And another one, honesty and fact in the
- 16 observance of reasonable commercial standards of
- 17 fair dealing and the trade. That one I think is
- 18 better suited because it's talking about
- 19 mismanagement of the business and the changing of
- 20 the name.
- 21 THE COURT: What's the statutory section?
- MR. CHASE: Sir, this is UCC. It's
- 23 Section 205, duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- 24 It's citing to -- I can't read that.
- 25 Go ahead. I'm sorry, Judge.



- 1 MR. SHUKER: I've got UCC Section 2-103,
- 2 Subsection 1, Subsection B.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez, did I walk away with
- 4 your pen?
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: You did. But I don't mind.
- THE COURT: No, no. Please come here.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: And I wasn't about to say
- 8 anything.
- 9 THE COURT: No. Please come here.
- 10 MR. BENITEZ: You can have that pen. You can
- 11 have all my other pens in the office.
- 12 THE COURT: I just realized that's not a pen
- 13 that belongs to me.
- MR. BENITEZ: You may use it.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you. I have pens.
- MR. CHASE: May Mr. Hachenberger be excused
- 17 from the room for a minute? He's got some issues.
- 18 THE COURT: Both clients, there's no reason for
- 19 you to be here. We're doing legal argument. You're
- 20 welcome to remain, but you're not required to be
- 21 here.
- This is not a proceeding that either of you
- 23 would be required. This is just like legal
- 24 arguments. It's like a hearing.
- 25 MR. BENITEZ: Parties may leave?



1 THE COURT: You're welcome to. Absolutely. 2 Judge, do you mind me making a MR. BENITEZ: 3 call? 4 One of the things I've been searching for is my 5 password for Lexis, because I wiped it out and I have it memorized. So if I don't get somebody to 6 7 tell me --8 THE COURT: Let's take a couple of minutes. 9 You make that call. I'm going to do a little bit of 10 research while we're looking. 11 (A recess was taken.) 12 THE COURT: Let's go back on the record. I 13 apologize. So the definition I was citing, I think 14 15 Mr. Chase had cited originally, 671, is found in 16 671.230 --201, sir. 17 MR. CHASE: 18 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 671.201, 19 Subsection 20. Good faith, except as otherwise 20 provided in this code, means honesty in fact and the 2.1 observance of reasonable commercial standards of 22 fair dealing. 23 So you're in agreement with that definition? MR. CHASE: Absolutely, sir. 2.4 25 MR. BENITEZ: I am not.



- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: I like the proposal that the
- 3 Court indicated with respect to Speedway Super
- 4 America, LLC, 966 So.2d 1. And I believe, if I'm
- 5 not mistaken, the Court was indicating something
- 6 along the lines of the sentence that says: Where
- 7 the terms of the contract -- because we are dealing
- 8 with a contract in this case -- affords a party
- 9 substantial discretion, which is part of the good
- 10 faith and fair dealing -- to promote that party's
- interest, the duty to act in good faith.
- Nevertheless, it limits the party's ability to
- 13 act capriciously, to contravene the reasonable
- 14 contractual expectations of the other party. I
- think that's more accurate in a contractual setting
- 16 like this, as opposed to --
- 17 THE COURT: What it doesn't do, though, and
- 18 what I think we have to do from that, is to define
- 19 good faith and fair dealing. It just says what you
- 20 can't do.
- 21 MR. CHASE: I object wholeheartedly to that.
- 22 That's not a -- it's not even presented as a
- 23 definition of good faith. It's -- it doesn't apply
- 24 to this. Where the terms of the contract give
- 25 substantial discretion to promote that party's



- 1 self-interest. We don't have a contract that
- 2 promotes a party's -- or provides a party discretion
- 3 to promote his self-interest.
- 4 THE COURT: It does.
- 5 MR. CHASE: I don't see that at all.
- 6 THE COURT: It allows him to loan money to
- 7 himself, to the entity, add interest -- I mean it
- 8 has a number of --
- 9 MR. CHASE: But that's not what's been alleged
- 10 to be an issue. And it's just not a definition.
- 11 That's just -- that's -- if anything, that's a dicta
- 12 in a case. It's not a definition.
- MR. CHASE: Meanwhile, the legislature -- I'm
- 14 sorry, Judge, if you're indicating you would like me
- 15 to hold up.
- 16 THE COURT: I would.
- 17 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir, I'll stop.
- 18 THE COURT: I agree it's not a definition.
- 19 We're not in disagreement about that fact.
- What I think the case does, and it's not on
- 21 point, I agree to that. This is speaking to the
- 22 implied duty of good faith and fair dealing inherent
- 23 in every contract.
- That's different than the duty that you were
- 25 speaking of. Although I think they are comparable.



- 1 I think that the law interprets them similarly.
- What this says is a quote from the case of Cox
- 3 versus CSX Intermodal, Inc., at 732 So.2d 1092, a
- 4 Florida First DCA case, in 1999. And the quote is:
- 5 That the implied covenant of good faith and fair
- 6 dealing is designed to protect the contracting
- 7 parties' reasonable expectations.
- 8 If we look at the definition of good faith, I
- 9 think it's -- I think it actually matches. Because
- 10 the definition of good faith in the statutory
- 11 section that we're talking about says it means
- 12 honesty in fact and the observance of reasonable
- 13 commercial standards.
- And that's what that case is saying, that you
- 15 can't arbitrarily ignore the reasonable expectations
- 16 arising out of that contract. So --
- MR. CHASE: May I be heard?
- 18 THE COURT: Hang on.
- 19 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 20 THE COURT: I don't disagree with you that the
- 21 statute is a good definition. That's where I'm
- 22 coming around to.
- MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 24 THE COURT: And so what I -- the question I
- 25 have for Mr. Benitez is: What's the -- what is the



- 1 concern with that statutory definition? Because I
- 2 think it parallels the case.
- 3 It is -- it's the flip side that I --
- 4 settlement conversations said we have to get to out
- 5 of the case. We'd have to flip that and turn that
- 6 into a definition. I think this is the definition.
- 7 THE COURT: And so, the definition I think for
- 8 purpose of our instruction would read: Good faith
- 9 means honesty in fact and the observance of
- 10 reasonable commercial standards of fair dealing.
- 11 MR. CHASE: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Sometimes you're winning and
- 13 wanting to talk.
- MR. CHASE: The term is, don't sell it if it's
- 15 already sold. Just be quiet.
- MR. BENITEZ: Your Honor, the reason I believe
- 17 that the -- that your initial proposal is more
- 18 accurate than this --
- 19 THE COURT: I didn't have an initial proposal.
- 20 MR. BENITEZ: Well -- anyways, the other
- 21 language that I have cited is more appropriate than
- 22 this seems to be.
- The statute says each manager and managing
- 24 member shall discharge the duties that accompany and
- 25 its member under this chapter under the articles of



- 1 organization and operating agreements. So they're
- 2 referring specifically to a contract.
- 3 THE COURT: Those are the duties under those
- 4 agreements.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: Right. And exercise any rights
- 6 consistent -- and had exercised those rights
- 7 consistent with the obligation of faith and fair
- 8 dealing.
- 9 So I think just telling them that -- and I --
- 10 that it means honesty in fact doesn't fit what I
- 11 just read in the statute.
- 12 THE COURT: It does. It means that he has an
- obligation to be honest to -- in fact, to the
- 14 members.
- 15 MR. BENITEZ: But the statute would've said
- 16 that. Instead it says it's got to deal fairly and
- in good faith with respect to those contracts.
- 18 Here, it's almost like --
- 19 THE COURT: You don't think that he has an
- 20 obligation to be honest?
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: Yes. I do.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BENITEZ: But it goes beyond that is what
- 24 I'm getting at.
- When you're looking at the UCC, it's more of a



- 1 contractual occurrence under the UCC. What I'm
- 2 talking about is under this statute that we're
- 3 pursuing, is the statute is telling us you have to
- 4 exercise good faith and fair dealing with the
- 5 implementation of your obligations or your duties or
- 6 your rights under the operating agreement.
- 7 THE COURT: Right. But all we're doing is
- 8 defining terms. You have to take that definition
- 9 and then apply it to this statute.
- 10 MR. BENITEZ: But I think it would be more
- 11 accurate.
- 12 THE COURT: Here's how we're going to handle
- 13 this. Write a definition that you propose.
- MR. BENITEZ: Your Honor, this is what I would
- 15 propose.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BENITEZ: The charge, and, quote, good
- 18 faith, end of quote, means --
- 19 The term good faith means that where the terms
- 20 of a contract afford a party substantial discretion,
- 21 the duty to act in good faith means that the party
- 22 can -- the party cannot act capriciously to
- 23 contravene the reasonable expectation of the other
- 24 party in the exercise of that discretion.
- 25 Can I read it again.



1 THE COURT: Please. 2 This time I'll try to do it MR. BENITEZ: 3 without --4 THE COURT: And you have -- you have good faith 5 means twice. MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, that's why --6 7 THE COURT: Can we just get to the definition 8 of good faith. Because the rest of that is 9 argument. 10 MR. BENITEZ: Where the term of a contract 11 afforded the party substantial discretion, the duty 12 to act in good faith means that the party cannot act 13 capriciously to contravene the reasonable 14 expectation of the other party in the exercise of 15 that discretion. 16 And that -- what I did is, I kind of used that 17 part of 966 So.2d one case and kind of adjusted it 18 to this case, just to define the term. 19 THE COURT: But doesn't that define the breach? 20 In other words, that doesn't say what the standard 2.1 It says what you can't do. is. 22 MR. BENITEZ: Well, not sure --23 Say that again, Judge. 2.4 THE COURT: Rather than defining what the



25

standard is, doesn't your proposed definition say

- 1 what conduct does not meet good faith?
- In other words, if you act capriciously, you
- 3 are not acting in good faith.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Well, what is good faith.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: That's why we've got to tie it up
- 6 at the end with the exercise and at the start with
- 7 exercising discretion.
- 8 So the good faith is where you have the ability
- 9 to use some discretion, that discretion has to be
- 10 used in good faith and not overriding any reasonable
- 11 expectations of the party.
- 12 THE COURT: So good faith is acting consistent
- with reasonable expectations of the parties?
- 14 MR. BENITEZ: Yes. That's the whole essence of
- 15 good faith and fair dealing and specifically with,
- 16 respectfully, to the implied covenant of good faith
- 17 and fair dealing.
- 18 And this statute specifically refers to
- 19 contracts. So it's not a UCC provision, it's a
- 20 contractual setting.
- 21 So I believe that we need to tie it up. And
- 22 it's -- basically, the way I understand the motion,
- 23 is that when you have discretion and the contract is
- 24 not -- well, when you have discretion under a
- 25 contract, you can't act in bad faith and frustrate



- 1 the reasonable expectations of the parties.
- 2 THE COURT: Right. That's not a definition of
- 3 good faith.
- 4 MR. CHASE: He didn't sue for the implied --
- 5 breach of the implied covenant, good faith and fair
- 6 dealing. That's different.
- 7 THE COURT: Let me propose a suggestion --
- 8 MR. CHASE: Yes. Sir.
- 9 THE COURT: -- that I think implies all of
- 10 this.
- 11 Good faith means honesty in fact and the
- 12 observance of reasonable expectations of business
- 13 standard of fair dealing.
- MR. BENITEZ: Judge, the problem I have with
- 15 that is, the reasonable expectations of the parties
- 16 within the confine of the agreement that from which
- 17 they have their authority, in this case, and the
- 18 statute specifically refers to the operating
- 19 agreement. So it's --
- THE COURT: That's argument. We're defining
- 21 the term good faith. You're going to take good
- 22 faith and apply it to the contracts in your
- 23 argument. We're not defining good faith in the
- 24 context of the agreements. That's your argument.
- I'm going to instruct them on the duty and I'm



- 1 going to read this statute to them. That's how
- 2 we're going to do that.
- 3 And I'm going to read them the section that
- 4 says: Each manager and managing member shall
- 5 discharge the duties of the LLC and its members
- 6 under this chapter or under the articles of
- 7 organization or operating agreement, and exercise
- 8 any rights consistent with the obligation of good
- 9 faith and fair dealing.
- 10 Good faith means honesty in fact and the
- 11 observance of reasonable expectations and business
- 12 standards of fair dealing.
- 13 MR. BENITEZ: Okay. And I disagree with the
- 14 honesty in fact. That's not part of --
- 15 THE COURT: Is that the core of this, is that
- 16 you disagree with honesty and fact?
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: Yes. Because it throws a jury
- 18 off. They are supposed to be analyzing whether, in
- 19 fact, he's abused his discretion.
- 20 THE COURT: I started it with the very first
- 21 question I asked you was: Do you agree that he has
- 22 the duty to be honest.
- Your answer was, yes.
- Now you don't want me to tell the jury that he
- 25 has a duty to be honest.



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: He has a duty to be honest, 2 separate and apart from the good faith and fair
- 3 dealing.
- 4 THE COURT: Where is that found?
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: Excuse me?
- 6 THE COURT: Where is that found in the statute?
- 7 Separate and apart from good faith and fair dealing?
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: In the statute it doesn't say
- 9 that he has to be truthful in fact.
- 10 The fact that you're putting in a jury
- instruction and describing good faith, I can hear
- 12 the arguments from Mr. Chase. Mr. Chase, saying,
- 13 well, isn't it true, isn't it true what exactly he
- 14 did, he said this, this and this. And this is true.
- And almost obliterating any argument I may have
- 16 with respect to good faith and fair dealing.
- 17 Because a jury is going to look at this instruction
- 18 and say, yes, good faith is honesty and fact.
- 19 THE COURT: And? You're not going to
- argue the and?
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, I'm going to argue the and.
- 22 THE COURT: Right. So they're not entitled to
- 23 honesty and fact because you don't like it. Or is
- 24 honesty in fact a part of good faith.
- That's the issue. That's why I began the



- 1 conversation as I did and why I'm frustrated now.
- 2 Because, again, you have shifted positions in the
- 3 middle of an argument, notwithstanding some very
- 4 direct questioning, Mr. Benitez.
- 5 These -- if I operate -- if my duty is to
- 6 operate in good faith with you, I can't imagine that
- 7 there's a person in this room that believes that I
- 8 can lie. It's inherent in the duty of good faith.
- 9 You disagree, Mr. Chase?
- 10 MR. CHASE: No, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. If I lie, how could I be
- 12 operating in good faith?
- 13 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 14 THE COURT: That was not rhetorical.
- MR. BENITEZ: My problem with your definition,
- 16 the way you propose it, is that it doesn't clarify
- 17 the discretion part of the instruction.
- THE COURT: What discretion part?
- We are defining good faith.
- MR. BENITEZ: Let me go back to the statute,
- 21 because I got to change screens and get it.
- THE COURT: The statute or the case?
- MR. BENITEZ: No, the statute, 671.201, where
- 24 you pulled the definition from.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.



- 1 MR. CHASE: Right here.
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: If you use this definition,
- 3 Judge, the first question I would have, if I was a
- 4 juror: What is the commercial standards of fair
- 5 dealing, what does that mean?
- 6 THE COURT: I took out commercial standards and
- 7 fair dealing. That's what I just told you. I
- 8 modified it to be reasonable expectations and
- 9 business standards of fair dealing.
- 10 That's what you've all been talking about
- 11 throughout this case, are the business standards of
- 12 fair dealing.
- 13 MR. BENITEZ: May I have you read again what
- 14 you said, Judge, while looking at the statute.
- 15 THE COURT: Good faith means: Honesty in fact
- 16 and the observance of reasonable expectation and
- 17 business standards of fair dealing.
- Do you disagree with a part of this? What is
- 19 that?
- MR. CHASE: I do, the expectations. I think
- 21 reasonable business standards, there's been so much
- 22 evidence that's been presented to the jury about all
- 23 of the -- what they expected to happen and about
- 24 what they thought -- what was thought the contract
- 25 means and the agreements meant and all that.



- 1 So they have -- and the jury is not going to
- 2 understand why they were presented all that
- 3 testimony about the Myers' expectations and about
- 4 all of that.
- 5 And so, you'd throw in expectations into that,
- 6 then they're going to think that's what they were
- 7 talking about. And that's not -- the reasonable
- 8 business standards, I'm very good with that, and
- 9 that sums it up.
- I appreciate what the Court's trying to do.
- 11 And I'm not trying to complicate it further, but
- 12 that expectation does -- that throws a curve at the
- 13 jury, because it -- just for what the reasons I
- 14 said. It explains to the jury why they heard all
- 15 that testimony about the Myers' expectations of the
- 16 agreement.
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: And my problem with the way
- 18 you've read it, Judge, getting beyond the honesty
- 19 portion of it, is. Again, I think there should be
- 20 some indication that -- and I'm not using the words
- 21 that I would put in the instruction. I'm just using
- these words that are going to come out of my mouth
- 23 as a description of my thoughts to the Court.
- 24 My thoughts are, in this jury instruction, you
- 25 got to let the jury know that the fact that he has



- 1 discretion -- unquestionably, I'm going to stand up
- 2 there and say, he's got the discretion based on this
- 3 agreement.
- 4 But he can not exercise them to frustrate the
- 5 expectations of the parties.
- 6 MR. CHASE: He's reading them.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: So I wanted to be able to
- 8 incorporate that within the meaning of good faith.
- 9 THE COURT: It's not in the meaning of good
- 10 faith.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: Or fair dealing.
- 12 THE COURT: It's not in the meaning of good
- 13 faith and fair dealing. What you're arguing is not
- 14 relevant to the definition of good faith and fair
- 15 dealing.
- You want to argue a standard in the breach, by
- 17 the way, of the definition of good faith, which is
- 18 not appropriate.
- 19 MR. CHASE: Agreed.
- 20 THE COURT: So you draft an instruction. You
- 21 draft an instruction. I will select one in the
- 22 morning. Okay. I think that's all I can do.
- 23 And it needs to have case citation for me. And
- 24 we need to have two sets of instructions prepared to
- 25 go. If you're -- I said earlier my preference was



- 1 running instructions, but because of this issue and
- 2 others that we may confront here in a moment, if you
- 3 want to do one instruction per page, no page
- 4 numbers, then, and agree on a type set.
- 5 MR. CHASE: Times New Roman 14-foot or 14
- 6 point --
- 7 THE COURT: Fine.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Whatever he wants. I'll do.
- 9 THE COURT: Times New Roman, 14 point
- 10 double-spaced.
- MR. CHASE: Yes, your Honor.
- 12 MR. BENITEZ: Times Roman.
- 13 THE COURT: Times New Roman.
- 14 MR. CHASE: Times New Roman, it's --
- THE COURT: That's the font, 14 point,
- 16 double-space.
- 17 And then I'll -- I'll rule. And I'll insert
- 18 one.
- 19 MR. BENITEZ: Judge, will you do -- will you
- 20 basically pick from one or the other. Or will
- 21 you --
- 22 THE COURT: Isn't that what you're -- I mean,
- 23 you all are forcing me into choosing between an
- instruction because, number one, neither of you
- 25 proposed any of this in your proposed instructions



- 1 for this case.
- 2 MR. SHUKER: Right.
- 3 THE COURT: We are now in a charge conference,
- 4 neither of you has a set of instructions for me to
- 5 consider, other than what you gave me at the
- 6 beginning of this case:
- We are going to start closing arguments
- 8 tomorrow morning after I charge this jury. They
- 9 will have under the law a set of the instructions in
- 10 their hand. You leave me no choice if you cannot
- 11 agree on an instruction, to choose one.
- 12 Any other definitions -- that was a good one to
- 13 call to attention.
- Any other definitions, Mr. Benitez, that you
- 15 can think of that we might need?
- MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Our next would be -- our
- 18 next is a statement of the issues.
- The comparable standard instruction is 401.18,
- 20 I believe. So this is the issues on plaintiffs'
- 21 claim. And so it would begin: The issue you must
- 22 decide on Donald Hachenberger's claim against the
- 23 Myers is, and then the description of a conversion
- 24 claim.
- 25 And then 401.21: If the greater weight of the



- 1 evidence does not support plaintiffs' claim, the
- 2 verdict should be for the defendant.
- If, however, the greater weight of the evidence
- 4 supports the claim, then your verdict shall be for
- 5 the plaintiff, in the total amount of his damages.
- 6 It's actually 401.23.
- 7 MR. CHASE: So there's no confusion, we've got
- 8 401.21 is what we submitted, the burden of proof on
- 9 a claim.
- 10 THE COURT: Where are you in the set of
- 11 instructions?
- MR. CHASE: That will be page --
- 13 THE COURT: They're not numbered.
- MR. CHASE: Oh. These are just standard -- I'm
- 15 sorry, I apologize, Judge. I thought he had what we
- 16 submitted to you.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. No, you don't have any of
- 18 this.
- 19 All right. And then we repeat that set of
- 20 instructions for the Myers' claims against
- 21 Mr. Hachenberger, just reversed, right.
- 22 So the issue you must decide on the Myers'
- 23 claim against Donald Hachenberger is whether
- 24 Mr. Hachenberger -- the first issue you must decide
- 25 is whether he violated his --



- 1 MR. CHASE: Duty of care. 2 THE COURT: Duty of care. 3 And then. Second, whether he violated his duty 4 of good faith and fair dealing. And if so, whether 5 that was a cause of a loss, injury or damage to the 6 defendant -- to the Myers. Get rid of plaintiffs and defendants and 7 8 counterclaims, all throughout this. It's just going 9 to be confusing. 10 A recitation of the statute at that point would 11 make sense because that's what sets forth the 12 standards. 13 And then back up to 401.21 and 23. 14 Does that make sense, Mr. Benitez? 15 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: I think what we're down to in 17 reading statute -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- is to read to them 608.4225. 18 19 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 20 The general standards. THE COURT: 2.1 Is there any other portion of the statute, 22 Mr. Benitez, on your claims that you feel should be 23 read to the jury? 2.4 MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor.
- ORANGELEGAL

25

THE COURT: Is all of this statute appropriate

1 to read to the jury? 2 MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Or just portions? MR. BENITEZ: I don't believe so. But if they 4 5 want to -- I don't believe so. THE COURT: For example, there's no issue about 6 7 duty of loyalty, which is sub-A. 8 MR. CHASE: No, sir, I don't think -- I don't 9 think that we need A, 1, 2, or 3, because 1, 2 and 3 10 flow from that. 11 So, if we do 608.4225, then skip down one. 12 THE COURT: Can I -- let me just propose this. 13 The introductory paragraph, for example of one, 14 says, subject to these statutes, each manager and managing member shall owe duty of loyalty and a duty 15 16 of care to the limited liability company. 17 Is there an issue of striking through and not 18 including duty of loyalty as a part of that 19 instruction? 20 MR. CHASE: No, sir. 2.1 THE COURT: As a part of the statute. 22 MR. CHASE: No, sir. THE COURT: 23 Mr. Benitez. 2.4 It is not a specific allegation MR. BENITEZ: 25 that I have made throughout these processes. It's



- 1 kind of a side issue. They have wanted to include
- 2 that since day one.
- I don't have any problems in including it and I
- 4 would ask the Court to just include it based on the
- 5 fact that we're reading from the statute. And
- 6 that's one of the duties that applies.
- 7 But, no, I don't have any specific allegations
- 8 right now with respect to a violation of a loyalty
- 9 directly. So, that's my position.
- 10 Whatever the Court decides to do is fine.
- 11 THE COURT: Look, I don't care.
- MR. CHASE: I don't think that -- they're
- 13 already hearing enough. I would object to the
- 14 reading of irrelevant statutes to it.
- 15 608.4225, sub 1, sub A is completely
- 16 irrelevant. Sub 1, sub B is what becomes relevant.
- 17 I believe B and C are relevant. B, C and E are
- 18 relevant. And then sub 5 is relevant.
- 19 THE COURT: B, C and what?
- MR. CHASE: B, C and E, as in Edward.
- 21 THE COURT: Is D not relevant?
- MR. CHASE: Yes, D is relevant.
- 23 It's just not something -- I was looking at
- 24 what I underlined for motions in relief.
- 25 B, C, D, E, F is not relevant. Sub 2 is



1 relevant. Sub 3 is relevant. Sub 4 is confusing. 2 And sub 5 is relevant. 3 I would omit sub 4. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I'm going to ask 5 then, Mr. Benitez, and -- is there any provision of subsection A that you believe is relevant to the 6 7 issues in this suit? 8 MR. BENITEZ: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Court's going to strike A 1, 2, 3. 10 We agree B is relevant, correct? 11 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 12 Yes, your Honor. MR. BENITEZ: 13 THE COURT: C is relevant. 14 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 15 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: D is relevant. 17 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 18 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: E is relevant. 20 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 2.1 Yes, your Honor. MR. BENITEZ: 22 THE COURT: F is relevant. 23 MR. CHASE: Yes. 2.4 Ts it? THE COURT: 25 MR. CHASE: Oh. I'm sorry. That's the one



- 1 that we said no, sir. That's the winding up of the
- 2 business. It's not relevant.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: I don't believe it's relevant.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Strike F. In 2, 2 A.
- 6 MR. CHASE: Yes.
- 7 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: Oh. I'm sorry. Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 2 B.
- 11 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir, relevant.
- MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: C.
- MR. CHASE: Yes, relevant.
- 15 THE COURT: How's that relevant?
- MR. CHASE: A committee of managers, members or
- 17 managing members, of which the effective manager or
- 18 managing member is not a participant. The manager
- or managing member reasonably believes the committee
- 20 merits confidence.
- He talked over and over about his team and that
- 22 he would rely on the team.
- 23 THE COURT: But they're not managers and
- they're not members.
- MR. CHASE: Okay. Let that one go.



1 THE COURT: Do you agree, Mr. Benitez? 2 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Three. Yes, sir, it's irrelevant. 4 MR. CHASE: I'm sorry? 5 THE COURT: 6 MR. CHASE: Yes, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez. 8 MR. BENITEZ: I think part of it is not 9 relevant, but social, economic. 10 I don't have any objections to it, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Four. 12 MR. BENITEZ: Four. 13 MR. CHASE: Four is not relevant. It's 14 confusing. 15 MR. BENITEZ: No. I think 4 is very relevant, 16 your Honor. 17 MR. CHASE: A member, manager or managing 18 member --19 THE COURT: Let me just read quietly, please. 20 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 2.1 That's relevant. THE COURT: 22 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: Subsection 5. 2.4 MR. CHASE: Relevant. 25 MR. BENITEZ: No objection.

Okay. So what the instructions 1 THE COURT: 2 should say is: The relevant portions of 3 Section 608.4225 read as follows. And then include 4 those subsections that we have deemed relevant, 5 excluding those subsections that are irrelevant, and 6 in paragraph one: Each manager and managing member 7 shall owe a duty of care to the limited liability 8 company. 9 So we're going to take out duty of loyalty. 10 It's just not an issue in the case: 11 Okay. 12 Other than that, I think we're left with 13 standard instructions. 14 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Beginning with 601.1, which is: 16 deciding this case, it's your duty as jurors to 17 decide the issues and only those issues. 18 601.2, about witnesses. We have no experts so 19 there should be no instruction on the expert portion 20 of that. 2.1 I think 601.4 applies here, which is the 22 multiple claims, numerous parties --23 MR. SHUKER: We had that previously. 2.4 THE COURT: -- instruction. 25 So lets include that. That's a standard

- 1 instruction. That just says they should consider
- 2 and decide, and will consider and decide several
- 3 distinct claims.
- 4 And although they have been tried together,
- 5 each is separate from the others. And each party is
- 6 entitled to have you separately consider each claim
- 7 as it affects that party.
- 8 Does that make sense?
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: And then 700, closing instructions.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Now, I want to go back and look at
- 13 the preliminary set that you all said to see if
- 14 there's anything else that we've missed that needs
- 15 to be included.
- MR. CHASE: We need an instruction, Judge, that
- 17 the contract's enforceable.
- 18 And the operating agreement letter are
- 19 enforceable.
- 20 There is --
- 21 All of the violations and duty of care and
- 22 violations of the good faith, it goes -- it says
- 23 operating agreement. And right now there's an issue
- 24 about whether or not that's enforceable.
- MR. CHASE: And they made it an issue.



- 1 THE COURT: Is there a proposed instruction in
- 2 what you've given to me previously that addresses
- 3 that issue?
- 4 MR. CHASE: No, sir, we didn't -- didn't know
- 5 what we would be faced with at this stage of the
- 6 game.
- 7 I would go back to the Judge's instruction --
- 8 proposed instruction with regard to the release of
- 9 Glenda Hachenberger. That there are things for the
- 10 Court to decide and things for the jury to decide.
- 11 And the jury -- and the Judge has determined that
- 12 the letter agreement and operating agreement are
- 13 enforceable contracts.
- MR. BENITEZ: And, just for the record, while
- 15 the Court's reviewing it, I disagree and I object to
- 16 that.
- 17 That would be like making a determination to
- 18 the jury -- and I think the arguments are going to
- 19 be made and the lawyers are going to be restricted
- 20 based on what the Court has done, and what it will
- 21 be anticipated what the Court will do in subsequent
- 22 proceedings.
- And that's not to mean that we're going to sit
- 24 up there and make a misstatement of fact. But I
- 25 think for the Court to articulate that to the jury



- 1 would be very highly prejudicial at this time. And
- 2 then we have to -- I don't think it's necessary.
- 3 I think we could avoid it by the Court
- 4 instructing the attorneys that the arguments will
- 5 not be directed at the enforceability of the
- 6 contract.
- 7 MR. CHASE: I have to argue the enforceability
- 8 of the contract. And I shouldn't have to argue it.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. This issue is addressed in
- 10 the standard instructions. And it's in
- instruction 401.13, which are preemptive charges.
- 12 This would fall in the natural flow of those
- instructions by that number.
- 14 And I think a note on use for 401.13 A is
- 15 instructive. This particular instruction relates to
- 16 the duty to use reasonable care. That's not
- 17 applicable to our case. I get that.
- 18 This instruction reads that the Court has
- 19 determined and now instructs that the circumstances
- 20 at the time and place of this incident involved in
- 21 this case were such that the defendant had a duty to
- 22 use reasonable care for the claimant's safety.
- The note on use reads: This preemptive
- 24 instruction is not for use routinely, but only when
- 25 the reasonable care standard was contested before



- 1 the jury, as by an instruction 401.14 issue now to
- 2 be withdrawn as a matter of law.
- 3 In that event, this instruction properly
- 4 emphasizes reasonable care as embodied in the
- 5 instruction.
- 6 I think that's the circumstance we have. There
- 7 was a challenge that was being made based on fraud
- 8 in the inducement and enforceability of an oral
- 9 agreement to hold documents in escrow.
- 10 The Court has -- as a consequence to that,
- 11 there was an argument to the jury, through the
- 12 evidence and through opening statements, that these
- 13 agreements were not enforceable.
- 14 They need to understand -- and I'm not going to
- 15 tell them what the specifics of that were, but they
- 16 need to understand that the Court has determined and
- 17 now instructs them that the letter agreement and
- 18 operating agreement are enforceable contracts
- 19 between the parties to this action.
- MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. We'll follow that.
- 21 THE COURT: So 401.13 -- and that's over
- 22 objection. I understand. Your objection is
- 23 preserved.
- 24 But it will read: The Court has determined and
- 25 now instructs you that the letter agreement -- that



- 1 the agreements between the parties are enforceable.
- 2 Simply say that. That the agreements between the
- 3 parties are enforceable.
- 4 There are a number of agreements -- it's not
- 5 just the letter agreement and the operating
- 6 agreement. There are deeds, there are bills of
- 7 sale, there are other things. And so I think it
- 8 would capture that all in the term agreements. That
- 9 that accurately states the Court's determination
- 10 with respect to the claims.
- 11 Yes.
- 12 MR. CHASE: They allege that there was a
- 13 different agreement, and they said in opening
- 14 statement that there was a different agreement.
- 15 They alleged in their -- so then the jury is then
- 16 confused as to what agreement.
- 17 The escrow agreement is enforceable? I'm
- 18 sorry, Judge.
- 19 THE COURT: No, don't be sorry.
- 20 MR. CHASE: I'm fine with -- because of --
- 21 because of what we're dealing with, what I have to
- 22 argue to them with regards to the breach of care,
- 23 the letter agreement and the operating agreement
- 24 sums it up.
- Those other agreements that are attached and



- 1 incorporated therein are parts of those agreements
- 2 attached as exhibits.
- 3 If the Court were to go with its initial
- 4 inclination using the terms the letter agreement and
- 5 the operating agreement are enforceable, I think
- 6 that that would -- I'm fine with that.
- 7 MR. BENITEZ: I think it's appropriate to just
- 8 do it generally, as the Court has suggested.
- 9 Isolating, specifically referred to two documents I
- 10 think will be unduly prejudicial.
- 11 THE COURT: I have concern about the confusion,
- 12 though, I think that's a fair argument. So letter
- 13 agreement and operating agreement.
- MR. BENITEZ: Thank you.
- MR. SHUKER: Real quick, your Honor, where
- 16 would you suggest that be placed in the order? I
- 17 mean, is that --
- 18 THE COURT: Wherever 401.13 falls in the
- 19 sequence.
- MR. SHUKER: Got you.
- 21 THE COURT: That's the normal spot for it.
- The other issue -- and I don't -- is damages
- 23 for each of you. And there needs to be some sort of
- 24 a damages instruction.
- Do you have proposed damages instructions?



1 I thought we did. MR. CHASE: 2 THE COURT: Something that defines the measure 3 of damages. For example, for a conversion claim? 4 On a measure of damages, Mr. Benitez, for your 5 claims is there an instruction here? 6 MR. BENITEZ: I thought we worked on one and I 7 thought it was here, but I'll wait until Mr. Jones 8 finds it. 9 THE COURT: Yeah, there's a damages instruction 10 here. 11 MR. CHASE: There it is. 12 THE COURT: I don't have issues with that. 13 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, 409-13. 14 THE COURT: Yes, exactly. 15 MR. BENITEZ: Yeah, I have no problem. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So let's make sure that 17 that's included in the appropriate place as well. 18 All right. I want to go through these other 19 instructions and make sure we're not missing 20 something. So there's an instruction for statutory 2.1 duty of loyalty limited scope. 22 Is that relevant any longer? 23 MR. CHASE: No, sir. 2.4 MR. BENITEZ: I didn't hear that, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Statutory duty of loyalty limited



1 scope. 2 MR. CHASE: No, sir. 3 MR. BENITEZ: No, I don't believe that's --4 THE COURT: Managing member right to rely on 5 attorneys. 6 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 7 MR. SHUKER: I believe that's -- your Honor. believe that's going to be included when we give the 8 9 statutory 608 language in there. 10 THE COURT: Agreed. 11 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Liability of members, 13 managing members and managers. Is that included in the statutory definition? 14 15 MR. SHUKER: I don't believe it is because I 16 think we're going to do 608.4225. And I believe that's a different section of 608. 17 18 THE COURT: I don't have a statutory reference 19 on that copy. 20 MR. SHUKER: That came from Mr. Shuker. 2.1 Give me one second. 22 That was Mr. Shuker who threw MR. BENITEZ: 23 That was 608.422. I believe, your Honor, that in. 2.4 it's entitled management of limited liability 25 company. Is that along the lines of the -

1 MR. SHUKER: It's -- I think it's 608.4228. MR. BENITEZ: 4228. Yeah, Mr. Jones -- your 2 3 Honor, 4228. 4 THE COURT: When was the LLC statute revised? 5 MR. BENITEZ: I believe, your Honor, from 6 memory, it's 2012. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. BENITEZ: I believe. I'm not for sure, 9 your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Well, here's the definition of 11 reckless. 12 MR. CHASE: Yes, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Recklessness. MR. CHASE: I like that and that's more 14 15 closely -- I think that's perfect. 16 Judge, can we use that definition? 17 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez. 18 MR. BENITEZ: No objection. That's part of the 19 statute. 20 THE COURT: Makes sense. 2.1 MR. BENITEZ: I can't object. 22 THE COURT: Right. So let's include that for reckless. 23 2.4 MR. CHASE: For the record, we're referring to? 25 THE COURT: 608.4228 sub 2.

- 1 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 2 Judge, I think that there are --
- 3 THE COURT: This opens a whole other can of
- 4 worms.
- 5 MR. CHASE: I understand sir, because of when
- 6 it was enacted.
- 7 THE COURT: Well, the one I'm looking at was
- 8 enacted prior to the cause of action here.
- 9 That's the beauty of working in the courthouse,
- 10 we pull a statute book and it's a 2010.
- 11 That's facetious, for the record. Sometimes
- 12 that doesn't come across well.
- MR. CHASE: We can pull up, actually, the --
- Judge, what I'm reading from is a 2007, 608.4228 and
- 15 2007.
- 16 THE COURT: That has to be modified. The last
- 17 modification was 2002.
- 18 MR. CHASE: So let's run with that. That was
- 19 in the original proposal.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Benitez.
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: Your Honor, what part of this
- 22 section do they want?
- 23 THE COURT: Which part do you want? Parts or
- 24 all, 608.4228?
- 25 MR. CHASE: I like all of it.



1 Do we have any allegations of THE COURT: 2 violations of criminal law? 3 MR. CHASE: It's part of the statute that he's suing under, says criminal law. 4 5 THE COURT: I know it does. There's no --6 nobody's alleging that --7 MR. CHASE: Okay. Then I'm fine with taking 8 that. 9 THE COURT: You're not taking any distributions 10 under subsection 3. 11 MR. CHASE: No, sir, we can take that out. 12 THE COURT: There's no allegations under sub 4. 13 MR. CHASE: Agreed. THE COURT: No allegations under sub 5. 14 15 This is not a proceeding by or in the right of 16 someone other than the limited liability company or 17 a member. 18 MR. CHASE: Take all those out and just leave 19 in --20 THE COURT: All that's left is improper 2.1 personal benefit. 22 MR. CHASE: I don't like that. 23 Why don't we just stick with the definition of 2.4 reckless on the side of that, supplant that for what 25 we had previously decided. And then lose 608.4228.

1 MR. BENITEZ: No objection to losing the 2 reckless. No objection. 3 THE COURT: And you don't care about the 4 statute either? 5 MR. BENITEZ: No. THE COURT: Okay. So that's out. 6 7 Limitation of liability of managers and managing members. That's the instruction that we 8 9 were just looking at. Agency of members and 10 managers or managing members, 608.421. MR. CHASE: We don't need that. That was 11 12 Donaghy. 13 THE COURT: Agreed. 14 MR. BENITEZ: I believe it was, your Honor. 15 MR. CHASE: That was Shuker and Donaghy. 16 THE COURT: Factors for determining liability 17 of a principal for agents, acts or omissions. 18 MR. CHASE: Same thing. 19 THE COURT: All right. Now I'm back to the 20 instructions starting with the substantive law. 2.1 So conversion is the first one. We're going to 22 incorporate that. 23 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 2.4 THE COURT: Next is breach of contract, escrow. 25 That's out.

1 Yes, sir. MR. CHASE: 2 By the Court's ruling. THE COURT: 3 Fraudulent inducement, escrow. That's out. Intentional misrep, negligent misrep are both 4 5 out. 6 Wrongful removal as a comanager, out. 7 Wrongful termination of Michael Myers, out. Wrongful removal, denial of access, out. 8 9 Next is violation of duty of care of a manager. 10 This is what we're going to read the entire statute 11 for. This appears to be a subpart of it. 12 Is there a reason to do both? 13 Mr. Benitez. Is this not the elements of the 14 MR. BENITEZ: 15 cause of action, or is it something else, Judge? 16 THE COURT: As it's listed, it looks like 17 elements. 18 MR. BENITEZ: Elements, okay. 19 MR. CHASE: I like reading the statute. 20 MR. BENITEZ: I think this will be appropriate. 2.1 The statute goes beyond that. And it's -- I think 22 you normally set out the elements of the cause of 23 action. 2.4 So in the --THE COURT: 25 MR. SHUKER: Your Honor, I have a suggestion.



- 1 THE COURT: Yes.
- 2 MR. SHUKER: We have already agreed to this
- 3 format in the jury instruction. What I would
- 4 suggest is putting that -- those elements there in
- 5 the 401.18 section.
- 6 THE COURT: Right.
- 7 MR. SHUKER: And then, after that would be our
- 8 excerpts from the statute 608.4225. So it would
- 9 have the instruction with the elements, as is
- 10 claimed, and then followed up with the statutory
- 11 instructions.
- 12 MR. CHASE: I would add to that the breach of
- 13 duty of care and then the breach of good faith, and
- 14 then throw in the statute.
- 15 THE COURT: What are your thoughts on that,
- 16 Mr. Benitez?
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: I think they're talking about
- 18 just placement within the jury instructions.
- 19 THE COURT: Well, they're agreeing to both.
- 20 MR. SHUKER: Correct.
- 21 THE COURT: And now we're just talking about
- 22 placement.
- MR. BENITEZ: I don't see any problem.
- 24 THE COURT: I think that makes sense. So you
- 25 can get your elements, as you've listed here, and



- 1 then we read the statute.
- 2 All right. Then we have affirmative defenses
- 3 to breach of contract, statute of frauds. That's a
- 4 nonissue. To conversion. Consent.
- 5 This is your affirmative defense. Consent.
- 6 MR. CHASE: That was -- I don't think that was
- 7 his affirmative defense, sir.
- 8 THE COURT: It was yours.
- 9 MR. CHASE: Yeah, but now it's no longer
- 10 relevant.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Contract dispute. That's
- 12 out.
- Ownership, that's out there. All those?
- 14 All right. Then we had the business judgment
- 15 rule. But, again, I think that's incorporated in
- 16 the statute, so I don't think it's an affirmative
- 17 defense.
- 18 Next is the affirmative defense on the
- 19 obligation of violation of good faith and fair
- 20 dealing express terms.
- 21 But this deals with the implied covenant of
- 22 good faith and fair dealing and contract -- inferred
- 23 contract.
- MR. CHASE: That is true.
- THE COURT: That's out.



- 1 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 2 MR. BENITEZ: And, Judge, I thought you were
- 3 going to get to -- you're going to get to the
- 4 elements of good faith and fair dealings as a
- 5 violation of the statute, right?
- THE COURT: We are. They've already agreed
- 7 both of those are coming in as you all typed them
- 8 out.
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: Oh, no. I thought he was just
- 10 talking about the duty of care with the respect to
- 11 the obligation of good faith and fair dealing.
- MR. SHUKER: He was talking both of them.
- MR. BENITEZ: Well, I didn't understand that.
- 14 THE COURT: Is this your proposed instruction?
- MR. BENITEZ: No, I don't believe so.
- 16 What we did is we decided to go ahead --
- 17 MR. CHASE: We took it out.
- 18 MR. BENITEZ: They've taken out what I was
- 19 going to suggest to the Court to take out, so I
- 20 thought we did that.
- 21 MR. SHUKER: You and I, right before trial at
- 22 the eve of trial, we did that.
- MR. BENITEZ: It's only three elements.
- MR. SHUKER: Correct, like I said, in --
- 25 THE COURT: You're off the record.



1 (Discussion held off the record.) 2 THE COURT: Okay. So we're good? 3 Yes, sir. MR. CHASE: 4 THE COURT: Hold -- I'm on to the affirmative 5 defense, mutual mistake of fact. MR. CHASE: It's out. 6 7 MR. BENITEZ: It's out. THE COURT: Unilateral mistake of fact doesn't 8 9 apply. 10 Undue influence does not apply. 11 Fraud does not apply. 12 And then we're back into the standards and the 13 set which you all have. Okay. 14 So I think we're good. 15 Now we're going to need to talk about the 16 verdict form. 17 Yes, sir. Should be easy. MR. CHASE: 18 THE COURT: So I'm starting on page 1 of 47 19 that I have here. 20 Everybody have that in front of them? 2.1 MR. BENITEZ: I do. 22 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Any objections to questions 2.4 one or two? 25 Mr. Benitez.



1 MR. BENITEZ: I do, to number two. I don't 2 think it's relevant anymore. 3 But with respect to one --THE COURT: I'm sorry. I apologize. One A or 4 5 one B is what I meant to say. It's one and one A for some reason, but --6 No. 7 MR. BENITEZ: No, I don't have any. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So one and one A is -- I 9 would start off -- all right, breach of contract, 10 claimed escrow, all those questions come out. 11 Myers' fraudulent inducement claim, escrow, 12 comes out. 13 Myers' intentional misrep comes out. 14 Myers' negligent misrep comes out. 15 Mvers' rescission. That's -- is this one of 16 those fact questions you were looking for the jury 17 to decide? 18 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. 19 What page are you on, Judge? 20 THE COURT: On page 11. 2.1 MR. CHASE: That letter was excluded. So that 22 should come out. 23 THE COURT: Okay. That comes out. 2.4 The claim for writ of replevin, All right. 25 which we would not say in front of the jury, but --

- 1 what there is relevant for the jury to decide.
- 2 MR. CHASE: That was -- I mean, that was
- 3 something Mr. Benitez wanted, all fact questions
- 4 decided by the jury. That's something the jury
- 5 can't decide. It's just too much.
- I don't think it needs to be there.
- 7 THE COURT: I don't think -- what is your
- 8 thought?
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: At this point, after everything
- 10 has occurred, it may be -- I don't know if this is
- 11 what the Court was going to allude to. It may be
- 12 appropriate to just handle that as part of the
- 13 nonjury trial, unless the Court would like these
- 14 answered by the jury.
- 15 THE COURT: The challenge is this: That the
- 16 nature of the evidence that has come in, I don't
- 17 think fairly allows the jury to determine what
- 18 memorabilia or what personal property was owned by
- 19 whom.
- 20 MR. CHASE: Agreed.
- 21 THE COURT: As a consequence of all this.
- 22 Because there are pieces under the agreement that
- 23 the Court's now determined is enforceable, that are
- 24 going to be -- that there will be an ownership
- 25 interest determined under it.



- 1 There are others that are not. And we haven't
- 2 drawn those lines for the jurors.
- 3 MR. BENITEZ: And in this question we don't
- 4 draw that line either.
- 5 MR. CHASE: I think --
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: We deal with them all as one
- 7 unit.
- 8 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't think.
- 9 MR. BENITEZ: So that's why I'm suggesting to
- 10 the Court that this be an issue that we try as part
- 11 of the nonjury trial.
- MR. CHASE: I think we kind of did that by
- implied consent throughout the trial. By just
- 14 saying that let's -- let's deal with replevin
- 15 nonjury.
- 16 THE COURT: That's fine.
- 17 So the claims for the replevin, we're just
- 18 going to take it off the verdict form.
- 19 I'm not saying that the Court doesn't need to
- 20 determine it, but we we're just agreeing that the
- 21 jury is not in a position to handle that.
- MR. CHASE: Understood, Judge.
- MR. BENITEZ: Before agreeing, we agree that
- 24 the Court is going to handle that in the nonjury
- 25 portion of the trial.



- 1 THE COURT: That's correct, that's what I'm
- 2 trying to say is, we're not disposing of the issue,
- 3 we're deferring it for the court to resolve.
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: Right.
- 5 THE COURT: Myers' conversion claim against
- 6 Highway 46 is gone.
- 7 Against Donald Hachenberger is gone. Against
- 8 Highway 46. And against Hachenberger, all gone.
- 9 All right.
- 10 Starting now on page 17.
- MR. SHUKER: Number 17.
- 12 THE COURT: Is this how we're going to handle
- 13 this?
- MR. CHASE: I hope not.
- That's the way we were going to handle it when
- 16 it was -- when it was 30 different individual
- 17 claims, 15, and the breach of duty here. And 15.
- 18 And the breach of good faith, we no longer have
- 19 that.
- THE COURT: Correct.
- 21 MR. CHASE: Now, essentially, we have six
- 22 claims.
- 23 Three, the same three. It's the same three
- 24 claims and how they play.
- 25 THE COURT: But are you wanting individual



- 1 verdict questions as to each of the three, or do we
- 2 want a single question, which is: Did he violate
- 3 the duty of good faith and fair dealing. Did he
- 4 violate the duty of care.
- 5 MR. CHASE: I don't like that at all.
- 6 Because -- I mean, it has to be -- he's left with
- 7 mismanagement, unnecessary and unauthorized expenses
- 8 and is the third that we didn't talk about.
- 9 THE COURT: So you want lots of opportunities
- 10 for them to potentially say --
- 11 MR. CHASE: No, sir. But I don't want them to
- 12 get back there and say that, well, when he removed
- 13 him as a manager, that was wrong.
- MR. SHUKER: And, your Honor, it's kind of --
- 15 because of the way that was pled under the violation
- of duty of care, he had all these separate things,
- 17 which each one of them is a separate violation. So
- 18 we had to handle it the way it was pled.
- What happens if he finds he violated the duty
- of care on A and C, but not B?
- 21 THE COURT: Then he's responsible for violating
- 22 his duty of care. A, B, or C.
- 23 MR. CHASE: I think that -- I just -- in just
- looking at it, we have eliminated 12 of those 15
- 25 things that were alleged to be violations. And so,



- 1 now we're left with three. So we don't mention what
- 2 the three are.
- 3 And then the jury, they get back there and they
- 4 start talking and they say, well, wait a minute, I
- 5 heard -- what about the fact that he hasn't paid
- 6 taxes in the last couple of years. Isn't that a
- 7 violation of his duty of care.
- 8 And if they look at it that he violated his
- 9 duty of care, yeah, he didn't pay his income taxes,
- 10 or he didn't file taxes. And so there has to be a
- 11 breakout.
- I would be okay to join them, to say that,
- 13 first, how about this: Do you find that Don
- 14 Hachenberger --
- 15 THE COURT: Okay. I don't -- hang on. I got
- in the middle of something maybe I didn't belong in
- 17 the middle of.
- 18 Have you all agreed to the forms of these
- 19 questions?
- MR. CHASE: Well, it's different now because
- 21 we're not dealing with so many.
- 22 THE COURT: Did you all agree to the forms of
- 23 these questions?
- MR. CHASE: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, we did.
- THE COURT: Mr. Benitez, do you agree?



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: And would you like these broken up
- 3 questions?
- 4 MR. BENITEZ: If he wants them broken up. I
- 5 don't think it's necessary. I agree with the Court,
- 6 normally it's not broken up. And the fact that we
- 7 have taken --
- 8 THE COURT: It's kind of like asking -- I'm
- 9 sorry to interrupt, but it's kind of like asking,
- 10 was the defendant negligent and was that negligence
- 11 a legal cause of loss or damage. That's the
- 12 question we would ask.
- 13 It's kind of like asking, was the defendant
- 14 negligent in failing to brake in time. Was the
- 15 defendant negligent in veering to avoid the
- 16 accident. Was the defendant negligent in this, was
- 17 the defendant negligent in that.
- And I'm not insensitive to where we are posture
- 19 wise, that the jury's heard a lot of evidence
- 20 related to claims that's not going forward. And,
- 21 candidly, I need to say something about that,
- 22 somehow that they may find that some of the evidence
- they've heard does not pertain to the claims they're
- 24 being asked to decide.
- But -- I just, but if you've all agreed to the



- 1 form of the question, I am totally fine.
- 2 MR. CHASE: No, sir, I think it should be:
- 3 One, did he breach his duty of care. Yes or no.
- If he did, what are his damages.
- I don't know what that is.
- And did he breach his duty of good faith. Yes
- 7 or no.
- 8 If he did, what are his damages.
- 9 THE COURT: What's your thought on that,
- 10 Mr. Benitez?
- MR. CHASE: And then argument, but we can't get
- 12 squirrely in argument. And we do need some sort of
- instruction from the Court that says, you heard a
- 14 lot of opening statements and evidence from the
- 15 witnesses pertaining to a variety of claims that are
- 16 no longer before you. Something of that nature.
- 17 So, listen --
- 18 THE COURT: Is there not a standard instruction
- 19 for that?
- I have to believe this issue comes up in trial
- 21 all the time where you start out with claims and
- 22 some of them just don't go forward.
- MR. BENITEZ: I think it's worked out with the
- 24 jury verdict form and the instruction. But I would
- 25 be cautious to put anything in front of the jury



- 1 like that, like Mr. Chase is suggesting.
- I think if he wants to have it piecemeal, I
- 3 mean, nobody -- if you look at it from the point of
- 4 view of the jury, they haven't had the benefit of us
- 5 arguing hours and hours about A, B, C, D. So they
- 6 don't have a clue as to A, B, C, D.
- 7 And. Like you pointed out, Judge, that's what
- 8 I was going to point out. In a case, you don't say
- 9 well, did he trip to the right and fell on his left
- 10 hand, and then went to the hospital at Florida
- 11 South.
- 12 THE COURT: But am I hearing you all are in
- 13 agreement at this point that Mr. Chase is offering a
- 14 single question, plus a second question for damages
- 15 if necessary.
- 16 You agree with that?
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: I don't have any problem with
- 18 that.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. So single question: Did --
- 20 MR. CHASE: Don Hachenberger breach his duty of
- 21 care.
- 22 THE COURT: Right. You have it here. The
- 23 basis in 17 C is the basic question.
- MR. SHUKER: 17 C, 17 D, and 17 E.
- MR. CHASE: So it would be three: Did he cause



- 1 damages to the Myers. And if so, how much.
- 2 THE COURT: Well, I think it's four questions
- 3 in total. Right? Did he breach the duty of care.
- 4 If so, what are the damages. Did he breach the duty
- 5 of good faith and fair dealing. If so, what are the
- 6 damages.
- 7 MR. CHASE: I had it as six questions.
- 8 So like E, causation, did he -- his breach of
- 9 duty of care cause him damages. Did he breach the
- 10 duty of care.
- 11 THE COURT: Right.
- MR. CHASE: Did that cause the Myers' damages.
- 13 If so, how much.
- MR. BENITEZ: Did he breach the duty of care.
- 15 And the next question -- that would be six in
- 16 total.
- 17 The next question would be damage as to that
- 18 one. And then the next one would be by filing the
- 19 duty of good faith and fair dealing. And if he did,
- 20 if so, what's the damages.
- 21 THE COURT: That's four questions. You just
- 22 said there were six.
- MR. BENITEZ: Well, no. You have the
- 24 plaintiffs -- you have the plaintiffs' two, plus my
- 25 four, the entire verdict form.



- 1 MR. CHASE: He's talking about the entire
- 2 verdict form.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay. I think there's got to be a
- 4 causation element. Doesn't have to be a separate
- 5 question, though.
- I think, if so, what were the damages caused by
- 7 the breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- 8 MR. BENITEZ: How did you put it in the -- you
- 9 mean --
- 10 MR. CHASE: I don't want to suggest that it was
- 11 caused.
- 12 THE COURT: No, it's an if-so. If the answer
- 13 to the first one is no, then they don't get to it.
- 14 MR. SHUKER: So 17 E would read: What were the
- 15 damages caused by Donald Hachenberger's --
- 16 THE COURT: What's the damage -- what were the
- damages attributable to, or what damages were caused
- 18 by, finding question 17-1. I think is how you have
- 19 it here.
- 20 MR. BENITEZ: I think the way --
- 21 MR. CHASE: It's right in there. The way it is
- 22 right now. How much were the damages Donald
- 23 Hachenberger caused to the Myers by his actions.
- 24 And question whatever --
- THE COURT: Right. That's all I'm suggesting.



- Highway 46 Holdings, LLC. vs. Michael and Jacqueline Myers 1 Right then we've already agreed to MR. CHASE: 2 it. 3 MR. BENITEZ: That's the second question. 4 THE COURT: Right. 5 So two questions each. Two on the conversion. Yes, sir. 6 MR. CHASE: 7 THE COURT: Two on the breach of duty and good 8 faith and fair dealing. 9 And two on the duty of care. 10 MR. CHASE: And we're going to get please an 11 instruction, right, about the same time, is the 12 instruction on the enforceability of the letter 13 agreement, operating agreement, instructing that
 - the -- I think, again, going back -- and that's the 14
 - perfect time for it, saying there was some things 15
 - 16 that the Court was to determine, some things for the
 - 17 jury to determine.
 - 18 They may have heard evidence as it relates to
 - 19 other issues. The only issues before the jury at
 - 20 this point and on the -- and I'd like the
 - 2.1 instructions to say -- I'm thinking about it.
 - 22 Mismanagement -- it's everything.
 - 23 Mismanagement is such a catchall. Why break it
 - apart. Why not just say that. 2.4
 - 25 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Are you speaking to me?



- 1 MR. CHASE: No, sir. I'm -- like Mr. Benitez,
- 2 my mouth is just saying things. It's -- as I'm
- 3 thinking. I think it's -- and I'm sorry, I
- 4 shouldn't say these things in jest.
- 5 What the -- I believe we have the instructions
- 6 set up such that if there were an instruction to the
- 7 jury, not to be -- or what I just said about the
- 8 Court having decided things and that they may have
- 9 heard evidence as it pertains to other things, they
- 10 should disregard that.
- 11 And then in closing argument, I think counsel
- 12 needs to be very careful not to argue that things
- 13 that have been now excluded would be breaches of
- 14 that duty, such as it would be inappropriate to
- 15 argue that Don Hachenberger failed to safeguard
- 16 personal property of the Myers, for instance. And
- it's because that's been excluded.
- 18 That's my concern. That's why something --
- 19 what the Judge is going to tell you what the issues
- 20 are. And so that's where my whole concern is coming
- 21 from.
- 22 And that's why the whole concern about the
- 23 verdict form to eliminate that concern about hearing
- 24 a closing argument, and you heard the testimony, you
- 25 saw the pictures of the screw holes through the --



- 1 through the picture frames.
- 2 And, you know, and then all of the sudden,
- 3 that's equated to a breach of his duty to protect
- 4 the stuff. And, hence, that's -- that claim is
- 5 gone.
- 6 THE COURT: But where do we ever advise him
- 7 that he has a duty of that?
- 8 MR. CHASE: That was an allegation. That's one
- 9 of the allegations.
- 10 THE COURT: I understand. But they don't know
- 11 that and you're not going to argue that.
- MR. CHASE: That's what I mean. It's just
- 13 like -- the argument needs to be restricted to
- 14 mismanagement, unnecessary and unauthorized
- 15 expenses -- and what is the third one. Changing
- 16 concept.
- 17 THE COURT: I think Mr. Benitez agreed with
- 18 that.
- 19 MR. BENITEZ: Judge --
- 20 THE COURT: Right?
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: I agree that those are the
- 22 issues.
- Judge, instead of doing any kind of an
- instruction from the bench, I would oppose all that
- 25 and I would object. I would go back.



- 1 And if they're that concerned about those
- 2 issues in particular, then I would go back and
- 3 suggest to the court and to Mr. Chase to go back and
- 4 make the verdict form just those same two questions,
- 5 for each of those items. Those three items or four
- 6 items.
- 7 And that way everybody can refer to the verdict
- 8 form and say these are the issues. And the jury
- 9 doesn't get confused and maintains a line on the
- 10 real issues. And that way nobody, in the middle of
- 11 arguments, sidesteps there and calls out for an
- 12 objection.
- 13 I think --
- 14 THE COURT: You want to argue this?
- 15 MR. CHASE: No, sir. I think we've moved on
- 16 past that. We've come to an agreement on the
- 17 verdict form and now we're discussing -- my
- 18 understanding was, we came to an agreement on that.
- 19 Now we're discussing an instruction to the jury to
- 20 clarify why they've heard a lot of evidence about
- 21 things that now they're not deciding.
- MR. BENITEZ: And I'm going to object to that,
- 23 Judge, and there's -- I am recommending to the
- 24 Court, based on Mr. Chase's position and request to
- 25 the Court, to just go back and make those verdict



- 1 forms specific to those allegations that remain in
- 2 the case.
- 3 That way, everybody's focused on those
- 4 allegations and so is the jury.
- 5 MR. CHASE: They would still need more than
- 6 that. I would still request that instruction. Even
- 7 if we broke out the verdict form. Because there was
- 8 allegations of conversion. There was an opening
- 9 statement of conversion and that's gone.
- 10 MR. BENITEZ: Well, that safeguard, Judge, if
- 11 we have specific verdict forms, we know when the
- 12 jury returns the verdict, they considered
- 13 mismanagement, they considered change of concept,
- 14 they considered unauthorized expenses. And that way
- 15 there's no equivocation of what the jury considered.
- MR. CHASE: Except that they --
- 17 MR. BENITEZ: But for the Court to sit there
- 18 and then dictate something that puts me -- depending
- 19 on how it flows -- and may put one party or the
- 20 other at a disadvantage, because it's almost like
- 21 something on the side.
- 22 So I think the most --
- 23 THE COURT: Well, the Court all the time though
- 24 informs the jury, is that they have directed a
- 25 verdict as to certain issues.



- 1 MR. BENITEZ: I understand, Judge.
- THE COURT: That's what's happened here.
- 3 How is this different than those circumstances.
- 4 How are you any more prejudiced in this case by the
- 5 Court informing the jury that it has directed a
- 6 verdict -- and that's not the words I would use,
- 7 but, the words are contained in this preliminary
- 8 issues instruction.
- 9 This is the place that the supreme court has
- 10 said this is the appropriate way to advise the jury
- 11 that certain issues are no longer for their
- 12 consideration.
- MR. BENITEZ: And at that point I would ask the
- 14 Court to read those four issues out and say those
- 15 are the remaining issues.
- Just put it in front of the jury so they know
- 17 exactly the issues. That way there's no deviation,
- 18 either by Mr. Chase or myself.
- 19 So if the Court is going to instruct them as to
- 20 something, at that location, I would say, okay, and
- 21 your remaining issues with respect to the duty of
- 22 care and the violation of -- the obligation of good
- 23 faith are mismanagement of the business.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not opposed to doing
- 25 that. We'll talk about it. But I'm not opposed to



- 1 it. 2 What I'm concerned about, though, isn't what we're giving to them. It's an indication that 3 that's all we're giving to them. 4 5 MR. BENITEZ: Right. THE COURT: That they may have heard evidence 6 on a number of issues. But these are the only 7 8 claims for your resolution today. 9 MR. BENITEZ: Right. As long as they're 10 specified --11 MR. CHASE: Because --12 MR. BENITEZ: -- either in the verdict form 13 or -- I think it's ideal in your instructions to 14 just tell them these are the issues that are going 15 to be argued at this point, basically. Not in those 16 words, but --17 I think they get that, too. MR. CHASE: 18 The problem that I have, Judge, is so juror 19 number 2 goes back into the room to deliberate and 20 says she's really, really upset with the fact that 2.1 Don Hachenberger still has Mr. Myers' picture of his
 - And so, to me, that's mismanagement.
 - 24 Madam Clerk is nodding her head she doesn't
 - 25 like it.

grandmother.



22

- 1 That has to be explained, that's not a decision
- 2 for them to make.
- 3 THE COURT: I don't think my clerk's expressing
- 4 any opinion about the merit.
- 5 MR. CHASE: I'm sorry, I didn't mean it.
- 6 THE COURT: I know, but I want to make it
- 7 clear.
- 8 MR. CHASE: I apologize.
- 9 THE COURT: My clerk's not expressing her
- 10 opinion with respect to the evidence or the thoughts
- 11 on that. That's not what's happening, sir.
- MR. CHASE: I got it. It's late in the day and
- 13 I'm arguing something.
- 14 That's what I see happening. And I can see
- 15 that very -- that is a -- anybody could see that
- 16 happening. Because now, that issue that they heard
- 17 evidence on, so now they just think, what, that just
- 18 goes away.
- And so the jurors sit back there and thinking I
- 20 need to fix that. How can I fix that. Well, here,
- 21 that's mismanagement. You took his grandmother's
- 22 picture. The jury has to know that that evidence
- 23 they heard is not something that they're to
- 24 consider.
- 25 THE COURT: But I can't identify each fact.



1 MR. CHASE: I agree with that. So what is it that you want me to 2 THE COURT: 3 tell them? 4 MR. CHASE: You say that there are claims that 5 the Court has decided that just exactly and, again, this isn't -- this comes up. I've been in other 6 trials where that's taking place and -- I'm sorry, I 7 can't remember off the top of my head the exact 8 9 instructions that were used. 10 But it's not unusual for the Court to say this 11 is no longer before you. This -- the Court has 12 decided or whatever the Court says. And I 13 apologize. I don't know off the top of my head. 14 THE COURT: No, I think if we had given them 15 the list of claims upfront, I could tell them these 16 claims are no longer before you for consideration. 17 These are the claims that are before you for consideration. 18 19 We haven't done that. 20 So I think what I am in favor of doing --2.1 because I do generally agree with the idea that the 22 jury shouldn't be allowed to take the universe of 23 evidence that they've now heard and apply it to the 2.4 claims that are before them. They should only be 25 applying the evidence that pertains to those claims.



- 1 How to draw those lines at this stage is
- 2 difficult. But I'm kind of back to where I started
- 3 with an earlier instruction that I proposed today.
- 4 Members of the jury, the Court's been listening
- 5 to and considering the evidence and testimony along
- 6 with you. There are certain claims in this case
- 7 that the Court is responsible for deciding.
- 8 You are responsible for deciding the following
- 9 claims:
- 10 MR. CHASE: Yes, agreed. Sir.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: And then -- that's fine, I
- 12 thought we had that discussion previously. Yeah.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BENITEZ: That's it.
- 15 THE COURT: So language needs to be included
- 16 ahead of the statement of issues.
- 17 MR. CHASE: Right at the same place where the
- 18 big contract enforceability would be. That's in
- 19 the --
- 20 THE COURT: Preliminary.
- 21 MR. BENITEZ: But, Judge, with that, you're
- 22 going to specify those three areas that remain,
- 23 correct? Or not?
- MR. CHASE: I'm fine with that.
- 25 THE COURT: You want me to?



1 I'm fine with it. Yes, sir. MR. CHASE: 2 THE COURT: Okay, then they need to be added --3 MR. CHASE: The conversion. 4 THE COURT: They need to be added then to where 5 they would be in the -- it'll be the preliminary statement of issues, which is the contract issue and 6 now this issue. And then the statement of issues, 7 which is 401.21, I think it was. 8 9 And when we talk about the claims, the claims 10 are, the claim for breech or for --11 MR. BENITEZ: Duty of care. 12 THE COURT: Breach of the duty of care, based 13 upon these three things. A claim for violation of 14 the duty of good faith and fair dealing, based on 15 these three things. 16 Okay? 17 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Agreed? 19 MR. BENITEZ: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: All right. I can't think of 2.1 another issue we haven't covered. 22 Is there anything? 23 MR. CHASE: No, sir. 2.4 MR. BENTTEZ: No. Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: You're going to get back and I



- 1 expect you're going to have disagreements. If
- 2 that's the case, as I said previously with the other
- 3 instruction, bring me something I can slip in, your
- 4 version of it.
- 5 MR. BENITEZ: Okay. And then, so I should --
- 6 THE COURT: I'm going to have you guys approach
- 7 me in a minute. I'll give you my cell phone number.
- 8 MR. CHASE: Certainly. I can say now, ours is
- 9 going to be the language from the statute,
- 10 exchanging commercial -- exchanging business for
- 11 commercial. That's our proposal.
- 12 And I think that he wrote one so you have that
- 13 before you now. You don't? No.
- 14 MR. BENITEZ: No.
- 15 THE COURT: No.
- 16 MR. CHASE: I understand.
- 17 THE COURT: Take it and give me your best
- 18 status.
- MR. BENITEZ: And so, can we have a little bit
- 20 of a deadline as to those things so we can exchange
- 21 them.
- THE COURT: Sure. I'll be up until 7 o'clock
- 23 tonight. Call me before then.
- MR. BENITEZ: So you're up about an hour before
- 25 I am.



- 1 THE COURT: No. I'm going to make the decision
- 2 in the morning. You're going to give me each of
- 3 your proposed versions. I'm going to look at them.
- 4 I'm going to decide which one more accurately states
- 5 the law. And that's what I'm going to insert.
- 6 MR. BENITEZ: And with respect to the typed
- 7 instructions --
- 8 MR. SHUKER: As soon as I get home, I'm going
- 9 to be on it. I got to eat, I got kids. I'll give
- 10 it to you in a reasonable time.
- 11 MR. BENITEZ: No. We need to check them.
- 12 Mr. Chase.
- 13 MR. SHUKER: It'll be in a reasonable time,
- 14 just like the last time I did it.
- 15 THE COURT: Mr. Jones, come here, you can take
- 16 this statement from me.
- 17 This is what I showed everybody earlier that we
- 18 read in the context of the excusal of
- 19 Mrs. Hachenberger. This is the first sentence.
- I will see you all back here at 9 o'clock
- 21 tomorrow morning.
- 22 MR. CHASE: Yes, sir.
- 23 THE COURT: Counsel, approach, and I'll get
- 24 that number to you.
- 25 (Discussion held off the record.)



```
1
                      Your Honor, Mr. Benitez, it's my
          MR. CHASE:
 2
     understanding we would ask the Court, Mr. Benitez
 3
     and I, in the event that we can agree on a one-time
 4
     visit to the Church Street and Bobby Lee Point
 5
     warehouses no later than Friday, that as long as we
     can agree on how to do it, the Court is okay with us
 6
 7
     doing that, but if we can't agree in writing through
     e-mail, then it doesn't happen.
 8
 9
          MR. BENITEZ: Yes, your Honor, that's fine.
10
          THE COURT:
                     Okay, the Court gives permission
11
     for that, assuming counsel can agree on the terms.
12
          MR. CHASE:
                      Thank you, sir.
13
          MR. BENITEZ:
                        Thank you, your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right, thank you, all, we're in
14
15
     reassess, we'll see you tomorrow morning.
16
                        Thank you for your time, your
          MR. BENITEZ:
17
     Honor.
18
          (TIME NOTED:
                        4:50 P.M.)
19
          (CONTINUED IN VOLUME IX.)
20
2.1
22
23
2.4
25
```



```
1
                   CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 2
 3
 4
    STATE OF FLORIDA
                           )
 5
    COUNTY OF ORANGE
 6
 7
          I, SHOSHANA KRAMER, Notary Public, State of
 8
    Florida, I was authorized to and did stenographically
 9
    report the foregoing proceedings; and that the
10
    transcript, pages 1485 through 1714, is a true and
11
    accurate record of my stenographic notes.
12
13
        I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, or
14
    employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
15
    nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16
    attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17
    financially interested in the action.
18
        Dated this 20TH day of SEPTEMBER, 2018.
19
20
2.1
                           Shoshana Kramer
22
                         SHOSHANA KRAMER, RPR, CRR
23
24
25
```



Index: \$1,849,546.80..608.4225 1536:2,10,17,18 1537:1,10,13,16,21 1538:4,10,12,24 1539:15,18,19,24 1541:15 1551:5,24, 25 1552:20 1553:2, 5,10,12,25 1554:9, 18,20,25 1555:2,3,8, 16 1557:20 1558:7, 23,25 1559:1,5,11,18 4 1560:3,4 1561:20 1563:10,11,21 1565:15.25 1566:2 1570:9 1572:9,10, 16,17 1580:15,19 1581:5,20,21,24 1583:5 1589:10,15, 21 1609:19 1613:1 1621:13 1622:12 1693:6,8 **46's** 1559:23 **47** 1689:18 **4:50** 1714:18 5 **5** 1503:7 1531:21 1607:1 1611:13 1626:21,23,25

1520:22 1525:9,18 1667:9 1669:1,9 1526:24 1528:4,18 1683:10 1529:11 1539:9 30 1693:16 1540:10 1541:2,5 1544:22 1553:24 **30th** 1530:17 1560:4 1565:12 **383** 1643:11 1577:3 1587:23 1593:24 1682:14,15 **3rd** 1529:1 1530:18 1544:25 1545:9 2009 1550:24 **4** 1669:1,3 1671:15 1552:17 1683:12 **201** 1647:17 400 1516:16 2010 1682:10 **401** 1619:22,23 **2011** 1517:10,21 1620:6 **401.1** 1617:25 1543:16 1545:7,9,15 1618:1.10.19.22 1551:5 1552:9.17 1619:18 1681:6 **401.13** 1675:11,14 1676:21 1678:18 1544:2 1545:3,16 **401.14** 1676:1 1546:23 1568:23 **401.18** 1664:19 1686:5 **401.2** 1619:19,25 **23** 1666:13 1620:5 1622:5 **234** 1584:12 **401.21** 1664:25 1668:18 1669:2 1665:8 1666:13 1671:23 1683:14 1711:8 **5/3** 1530:17 **401.23** 1665:6 1512:3,15 1525:18 **537** 1629:13 1526:23 1528:4,18 401.24 1621:25 **5th** 1544:3 **401.3** 1625:6 1488:9 **409-13** 1679:13 6 **26th** 1529:11 **409.2** 1619:22,25 **27** 1510:12,15 **601.1** 1672:15 1620:6 1511:11 1513:15 **4228** 1681:2,3 **601.2** 1672:18 1515:19 1525:9 1541:2,5 1553:24 **45** 1643:15 **601.4** 1672:21 1560:4 1593:24 **46** 1498:1,20,23 **608** 1632:23 1680:9, 1499:5 1505:21 17 1577:16 1579:23 1506:5,9,13,14,19,20 **608.24** 1643:15

\$

\$1,849,546.80 1529:15 1530:2

\$1.3 1535:1

\$17,761,689.70 1532:24

\$23,036,712.80 1532:23

\$25 1561:22

\$385,000 1528:19, 23

\$400,000 1535:2

\$5 1503:3,8

\$5,275,023.10 1532:20 1533:3 1534:15,22

\$5,777,642.90 1531:10 1532:15

\$735,000 1529:2

\$8,434,500 1525:16

0

05 1530:17,18 1539:20

07 1518:7 1530:19 1540:5 1579:23 1592:1

08 1533:4 1541:8

09 1545:13 1546:1,6, 11,18 1547:5 1552:9

1

1 1646:2 1648:4 1667:9 1668:15.16 1669:9 1689:18

10 1529:6

1092 1650:3

10:30 1550:1

11 1690:20

110 1563:14

11:30 1597:11

12 1546:18 1694:24

120 1563:14

12:30 1614:13

14 1663:5,9,15

14-foot 1663:5

15 1596:4,10 1693:17 1694:24

150 1516:16 1566:18

17 1693:10.11 1698:23,24 1700:14

17-1 1700:18

18 1615:16

19 1615:16

196 1632:5 1643:10

1999 1650:4

2

2 1614:14 1615:1 1640:15 1667:9 1668:25 1669:9 1670:5,10 1681:25 1707:19

2-103 1646:1

20 1545:3 1596:5,11 1607:1 1611:13 1647:19

2000 1517:9 1544:23

2002 1682:17

2004 1643:16

2005 1507:16,20,23 1508:15,24 1509:11 1510:6 1521:1 1535:3 1539:23,24

2006 1508:1,6 1510:9 1518:9 1520:24 1529:6

2007 1505:20 1508:8,9 1510:12,15 1511:11,15 1512:3, 15 1513:15 1515:15, 19 1517:7,12,17 1518:11 1519:4

2008 1541:11

2012 1541:17

2016 1543:17.24

205 1645:23

20th 1546:18

25 1562:4

26 1505:20 1511:15

265A 1485:18

27th 1515:15 1519:4 1587:23

2:15 1626:18

3

3 1626:19 1640:15,16

1507:2,17,19,23 1508:6,10,16,17,20 1509:11,21 1510:7, 17 1521:3 1522:20 1533:13,18,19,21 1534:4,16,23 1535:11,19,23

608.421 1684:10

608.422 1680:23

608.4225 1666:18

1667:11 1668:15

1672:3 1680:16

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1686:8	absence 1643:1	1651:18 1653:11	1654:17	1698:16 1703:21
608.4228 1681:1,25 1682:14,24 1683:25	1644:13,14,25 1645:2	accurately 1574:22 1677:9 1713:4	adjustment 1636:12,24 1637:8	1709:1,21 1714:3,6, 7,11
629 1584:12	absolute 1521:17,19	accustomed 1499:3	adjustments	agreed 1495:13
630 1629:13	1522:5,8,15,23 1523:1 1524:10	acknowledge	1636:16 1638:1,3	1510:25 1662:19 1680:10 1683:13
	1608:13	1489:7	adopt 1615:22	1684:13 1686:2
65 1608:16	absolutely 1497:3,	acres 1558:10,11	advantage 1644:15,	1688:6 1691:20
671 1647:15	21 1499:8 1502:1	1563:15	23 1645:3	1695:18 1696:25
671.201 1647:18	1503:13 1518:1	act 1629:8,19	adverse 1616:9	1701:1 1703:17 1710:10 1711:18
1659:23	1522:21,25 1523:16 1570:7 1588:4	1632:24 1640:14		agreeing 1686:19
671.230 1647:16	1647:1,24	1648:11,13 1653:21,	adversely 1601:20	1692:20,23
6800 1614:6	abstain 1644:22	22 1654:12 1655:2, 25	advice 1507:4	agreement 1485:25
			1570:18	1486:1,10 1501:15,
7	abstract 1644:9	acting 1655:3,12	advise 1703:6 1706:10	16,22,23 1511:6,11,
	abuse 1521:23	action 1500:5		15 1512:4,7,13
7 1517:11,12 1528:21	abused 1657:19	1518:20 1522:2 1607:2 1676:19	advising 1601:5	1518:21,22 1523:15 1534:6 1535:14,15
1535:3 1712:22	accept 1494:4	1682:8 1685:15,23	advocacy 1634:23	1537:12 1582:15,18,
7/10 1530:18	access 1685:8	actions 1534:17	affair 1540:10	20,21 1626:17
7/26/2007 1529:7	accessible 1514:17	1562:21 1607:10	affect 1601:8	1643:24 1644:3 1647:23 1653:6
700 1673:10		1700:23	1640:22	1656:16,19 1657:7
732 1650:3	accident 1696:16	activities 1508:19	affected 1601:10	1661:16 1662:3
768.13 1640:15	accompany 1651:24	acts 1562:12,15	affecting 1627:19	1673:18,23 1674:12
	accomplish 1604:10	1684:17	affects 1673:7	1676:9,17,18,25 1677:5,6,13,14,16,
768.72 1630:18 1632:16	account 1529:20	actual 1635:10		17,23 1678:4,5,13
1032.10	1537:19 1566:25	1637:18 1640:12	affirmative 1620:15 1623:10,18 1687:2,	1691:22 1698:13
8	1578:10 1634:10	ADA 1514:17	5,7,16,18 1689:4	1701:13 1704:16,18
	accountant	add 1515:9 1516:6	afford 1653:20	agreements
8 1534:25	1574:11,20	1530:24 1603:16		1523:20 1608:23 1643:13 1652:1,4
8,434,500 1528:17,	accountants	1649:7 1686:12	afforded 1654:11	1656:24 1660:25
22 1529:11	1574:19	added 1532:18	affords 1648:8	1676:13 1677:1,2,4,
	accounted 1535:16	1711:2,4	afternoon 1495:6	8,25 1678:1
9	1537:7,10 1538:1,4, 10,11,24	addition 1529:20	1560:20 1604:11 1605:12 1607:13	agrees 1603:15
		additional 1491:1		ahead 1488:5
9 1597:9 1604:12	accounting 1574:25	1531:9 1532:15,17	Agency 1684:9	1515:23 1521:16
1606:8 1626:10 1713:20	accounts 1531:5	1563:16 1573:2	agents 1684:17	1523:24 1524:7 1532:13 1534:14
90 1516:15 1608:1	1539:21	1586:5 1603:17 1616:13	agree 1508:11	1553:19 1576:12
	accurate 1507:18 1508:3,7 1510:10,17	address 1492:14	1514:1 1524:17	1581:2 1584:16
966 1648:4 1654:17	1512:11,16 1515:13,	1611:11,21 1626:24	1536:21 1552:19 1554:2 1555:4	1588:25 1604:18 1609:16 1627:2
9:30 1597:10	22,25 1516:18	addressed 1586:9	1584:24 1626:22	1645:25 1688:16
	1521:8 1527:4	1675:9	1631:18 1636:22	1710:16
A	1529:9,18 1537:18 1538:8,14 1543:22	addresses 1674:2	1637:13 1649:18,21 1657:21 1663:4	align 1637:2
ability 1564:2	1544:17 1552:6		1664:11 1669:10	allegation 1498:9
ability 1564:2 1565:6 1585:13	1559:25 1582:23	adhere 1509:5	1671:1 1692:23	1501:13 1504:14
1648:12 1655:8	1599:5 1648:15	adjusted 1636:13	1695:22,25 1696:5	1556:13,15,16
	1			1

Index: 608.4228..allegation



arbitrarily 1650:15 1616:2 1631:21 1709:13 assume 1492:5 1659:20 1666:13 1633:10,12 1667:24 1673:12 1674:7 apparently 1556:1 area 1498:21 **assuming** 1528:24 1703:8 1684:19 1689:12 1585:19 1543:20 1545:11.22 1714:11 1694:12 1695:3 allegations 1542:22 1546:19 1551:13 appeal 1608:15,20 atmosphere 1499:4 1701:14 1703:25 1623:21 1668:7 1578:6 1581:18 1704:2,3,25 1707:19 1683:1,12,14 1703:9 appearing 1598:2 1588:2,7 1589:9 **attached** 1677:25 1708:19 1710:2 1705:1,4,8 1602:4,7,20 1604:3 1591:7 1678:2 1711:25 1713:20 **allege** 1537:20 areas 1498:19 attend 1598:1,17,20, appears 1485:17,24 background 1548:7 1677:12 1486:7 1639:13 1576:15,17 1710:22 21 1602:5,19 1604:2 **bad** 1486:15 1645:4, 1685:11 **alleged** 1632:21 argue 1595:25 attendance 1516:13 6,11 1655:25 1649:9 1677:15 1620:22 1658:20,21 appellant 1608:15 **attention** 1587:20 1694:25 Bailey 1632:4 1662:16 1675:7,8 appellate 1635:20 1615:23 1664:13 1677:22 1702:12,15 **balance** 1559:24 alleging 1683:6 1703:11 1704:14 attitude 1535:6 **appellee** 1608:17 1611:18 **allowed** 1488:7 **argued** 1542:20 applicable 1675:17 **attorneys** 1619:12 1489:14,16 1523:10 **balances** 1574:24 1601:17 1631:24 1675:4 1680:5 1578:5 1590:14 **applies** 1624:15 1707:15 **band** 1515:10 1624:3 1709:22 1640:18 1668:6 attorneys' 1533:6 1543:20 1545:11,21, arguing 1547:22,23 1672:21 1555:13 1556:11,21, **allowing** 1489:21 22.25 1546:19 1662:13 1698:5 23 1605:6 1584:19 **apply** 1550:4 1620:8 1708:13 **bands** 1499:3 1648:23 1653:9 attributable **allude** 1691:11 **argument** 1493:13 bank 1539:21 1656:22 1689:9,10, 1700:17 **amended** 1488:9 11 1709:23 1542:20 1548:9 1566:25 authority 1486:7,8, 1607:3 1611:14 **America** 1648:4 **bar** 1499:4,5 applying 1709:25 10,15 1489:23 1646:19 1654:9 1502:9 1522:19 1516:14 1519:1,2, 1656:20,23,24 amount 1524:21 approach 1530:6 12,15 1520:9 1523:6 1535:15 1658:15 1659:3 1526:23 1532:21 1531:14 1534:7 1540:15,16 1544:6 1643:25 1656:17 1676:11 1678:12 1537:1 1552:5 1537:22 1541:22,23 1545:18,19,22,23,25 1697:11,12 1702:11, 1553:15 1665:5 **authorize** 1501:16. 1547:6 1555:20 1546:6,10,19 1549:7 24 1703:13 19 1580:10 1558:17 1564:5 **amounts** 1557:2 1551:12 1552:24 1567:8 1571:11 arguments 1604:7, 1556:5 1564:4,16 authorized 1579:23 analyze 1574:21 1573:3 1579:14 14 1612:2 1615:22, 1588:21 1593:5 1605:7 avoid 1626:10 1589:25 1590:2 23 1616:12,14 **barbecue** 1498:22 1594:23 1712:6 1675:3 1696:15 1619:13 1624:9 analyzed 1528:12 1713:23 1646:24 1658:12 **bargains** 1608:21 awarding 1557:2,7 analyzing 1657:18 1664:7 1674:18 appropriately **based** 1485:24 aware 1628:16 1675:4 1704:11 answers 1548:17,20 1495:1 1488:11 1516:5 arising 1650:16 1526:12 1529:10 anticipate 1490:16 approval 1512:22 В 1513:20 1491:8 1551:15 1606:15 1607:24 arm 1530:24 1609:6 1618:14 1662:2 approve 1581:9,12 **B-o-a-z-i-z** 1643:10 arrived 1525:21 1668:4 1674:20 anticipated 1604:19 1676:7 1704:24 **approved** 1513:7, **articles** 1651:25 back 1488:7 1495:2, 1674:21 19.22 1514:14 1711:12,14 7 1520:10 1527:14, 1657:6 anticipating 1515:1,7,12 1587:25 17 1543:10,13,14 **basic** 1566:10 articulate 1674:25 1611:22 1595:2 1597:9 1698:23 approximately 1604:12 1606:7 1503:8 1543:17 aspect 1540:18 **anymore** 1690:2 basically 1510:12 1607:5,12,20 1558:12 assembled 1497:16 1655:22 1663:20 apologize 1488:23 1611:10 1612:6 1707:15 1493:6 1546:13 **April** 1545:13 1614:13,22,25 asset 1570:21 1546:11 1551:23 1561:3 1616:3,9 1626:10 basis 1486:6 1509:19 assist 1605:7 1588:17 1636:7 1627:11 1630:20 **APSAC** 1556:3 1520:20 1521:6 1647:13 1665:15 1642:5 1647:12 assistant 1496:3 1579:1 1583:11 1690:4 1708:8

Index: allegations..basis



1610:25 1698:23 1594:1,6,13 1702:1 1703:17,19, 1662:16 1677:22 1592:17 1593:7 1594:11,21,24 1595:10,16,21,24 21 1704:22 1705:10, 1684:24 1686:12,13 bath 1515:11 1603:20 1703:24 1687:3 1690:9 1596:2,16,24 17 1706:1,13 1707:5,9,12 **bathroom** 1517:25 1597:2,14 1598:8,19 1693:17,18 1697:3,6 **benefit** 1604:25 1599:9,17 1600:2,7, 1587:19 1710:11,14,21 1698:20 1699:3,4,8, 1683:21 1698:4 1711:11,17,19,24 9,14 1700:7 1701:7 10,16,19 1601:21,24 **bathrooms** 1514:21 benefits 1562:8 1712:5,14,19,24 1703:3 1711:12 1602:11,15,22 1515:6,10,11,24 1603:5 1606:17 1713:6,11 1714:1,2, 1563:21 breached 1490:4 1516:2,6 1517:18,21 1608:11 1609:12 9,13,16 1518:2,15,23 benefitted 1562:23 1611:2,7,22 1612:20 breaches 1702:13 **bet** 1519:10 1576:18 1583:25 1613:15 1616:11,16 **Benitez** 1485:12,15, 1587:20,21,22 break 1544:1 1557:1 1618:14,18,25 **big** 1603:15 1630:21 22 1486:5,13,18 1597:11 1610:18,19 **battle** 1608:18 1619:16 1620:23 1642:22 1710:18 1487:6,8,15,17,19, 1701:23 21,24 1488:1,4,11,22 1621:8 1623:20 bears 1579:20 **Bill** 1527:1,13 1624:2,6 1625:9,12, 1489:5,19 1490:6,25 **breakout** 1695:11 17,22 1626:8 beauty 1682:9 **bills** 1677:6 1491:6,9,14,16,21 **breech** 1711:10 1627:23 1629:4 1492:1,4 1493:6 bit 1540:6 1564:23 began 1524:8 1533:3 1494:8,22 1499:13, 1631:12,13 1632:22 briefly 1560:17 1601:11 1610:7 1658:25 1633:2,4,12,21,25 22 1505:11 1507:7, 1619:21 1636:13 **bring** 1485:10 1634:3,7,12 1635:5 15 1508:12,14 **begin** 1540:4 1647:9 1712:19 1518:21 1547:18 1636:11 1637:13,24, 1512:25 1513:4,6 1604:15 1605:9 1556:13 1593:10 25 1638:5,9,12,18,23 1530:6 1531:14,17, **Black's** 1627:8,16 1618:3,10 1620:10 1597:9 1712:3 1641:4 1642:2,10,17 25 1532:5,8,11,12 1638:22,25 1639:20 1664:21 1646:3,5,7,10,14,25 1534:1,8,12 1538:5, 1644:7 **bringing** 1556:17 beginning 1485:8 18,19,22 1542:8,10 1647:2,25 1648:2 1594:7 1532:25 1539:9 blank 1638:13 1650:25 1651:16,20 1543:3,9 1547:21,24 1618:23 1664:6 **broke** 1592:1 1705:7 1548:3,11,13,22 1652:5,15,21,23 **blurb** 1628:23 1672:15 1549:6,9,13,18,24 1653:10,14,17 broken 1553:21 **Boaziz** 1643:10 1654:2,6,10,22 1550:19,20,21 **begins** 1620:2 1696:2,4,6 1655:5,14 1656:14 1554:7 1555:24 **Bobby** 1714:4 **behalf** 1533:19 brokers/owners 1657:13,17 1658:1, 1556:10,15,18,20 **bog** 1607:5 1535:11 1536:16 1541:1 5,8,21 1659:4,13,15, 1557:4,9,13,24 1537:15,20 1538:23 20,23 1660:2,13 1558:1,3,16,20,25 **book** 1682:10 **brought** 1485:13 1552:20 1661:17 1662:7,11 1559:3,6,9 1560:7, 1512:3 1527:22 bookkeeper 1526:5, 1663:8,12,19 **behavior** 1624:1 11,14,24 1561:2,3,6, 1547:12 1556:20 25 1664:14,16 1666:14, 8,15 1564:3,8,12,15, 1558:4 1578:2 **belief** 1644:13 15,22,24 1667:2,4, 20 1565:19 1567:2, 1584:14,25 1586:13, **books** 1527:24 23,24 1669:5,8,12, 14,18 1570:12 18 1602:23 1603:2 **believed** 1503:24 1559:24 1571:24 1572:24,25 15,18,21 1670:3,4,7, 1565:13 bootstrap 1633:6 Bubbalou's 1497:7 1573:7,10,18 8,12 1671:1,2,7,8,12, believes 1659:7 1575:3,4,20,21,24 15,25 1673:9,11 **building** 1512:21 **borrow** 1566:21 1670:19 1576:5,14,15,17,22 1674:14 1678:7,14 1568:24 1514:11 1515:1,2,6, 1577:1,5,8,11,14,19, 1679:4,6,13,15,24 **belong** 1695:16 7,12,15,16,20 1519:9 **bottom** 1629:14 1680:3,22 1681:2,5, 25 1578:5,10,14,17, 1525:6 1576:18 **belongs** 1646:13 8,17,18,21 1682:20, 20,24 1579:1,6,12, bought 1558:6 1583:25 1585:5 21 1684:1,5,14 17,22 1580:2,11,13, Ben 1625:8 1587:15 **bound** 1606:2 1685:13,14,18,20 15,19 1581:9,13,17, buildings 1553:3 **bench** 1499:18 1686:16,17,23 19 1582:6,11,17,23 **Brad** 1505:1,3 1500:15 1537:23 1688:2,9,13,15,18,23 1583:7,13,17,23 **built** 1515:19 1511:16 1512:4,6,9, 1538:21 1541:24 1689:7,21,25 1586:19,21,25 14 1531:1 1633:15, **bulk** 1509:18 1543:6 1547:8 1690:1,7,18 1691:3, 1587:4,9,11 16,17,20,21 1634:7 1555:21 1557:12 1521:22 9 1692:3,6,9,23 1588:17,19 1589:25 1558:18 1559:8 1693:4 1695:25 **brake** 1696:14 1590:5,10,14,25 bulldozers 1589:18 1564:6,25 1567:10, 1696:1.4 1697:10.23 1591:3,7,11,16,18,21 breach 1569:10 **burden** 1579:20 20 1571:12 1572:1 1698:17 1699:14,23 1592:7,12,18 1654:19 1656:5 1573:4,23 1590:3 1623:20 1625:2 1593:4,9,21,24 1700:8,20 1701:3

Index: bath..burden



APPEAL 1627:13 1629:25 1665:8 **business** 1488:7 1496:15 1500:21,24 1501:4 1503:24 1504:15,16,17,20,23 1505:8,18 1506:2,16 1520:10 1521:13,14 1523:5,18 1524:8 1525:3,7 1528:23 1533:16 1539:2,3,5, 6,11,12,15,17,24,25 1542:4,8,11 1544:13,15 1551:11, 20,25 1553:3 1554:3 1558:8 1559:11 1567:12,13 1569:14, 24 1570:23 1582:12 1585:3 1615:20 1623:2,3,4,14,22,24 1624:3,6,7,8,11,15 1645:19 1656:12 1657:11 1660:9,11, 17,21 1661:8 1670:2 1687:14 1706:23 1712:10 **buy** 1558:24 1563:9 buys 1553:7 \mathbf{C} **call** 1491:2 1551:21 1575:9 1586:19,21 1593:13 1594:12 1611:16,25 1626:23 1630:1 1647:3,9 1664:13 1712:23 **called** 1545:23 1574:10 1612:9

calls 1500:7 1704:11

candidly 1607:7 1696:21

candor 1609:6

capacity 1522:10 1588:2,6,21

capriciously

1648:13 1653:22 1654:13 1655:2

capture 1677:8

car 1563:23

care 1490:3 1496:5 1523:17,25 1524:7, 13,18 1569:4,10 1595:24 1599:4 1622:14,22 1630:6, 15,23 1631:5,6 1632:13 1638:16 1640:18,20 1641:15 1666:1,2 1667:16 1668:11 1672:7 1673:21 1675:16,22, 25 1676:4 1677:22 1684:3 1685:9 1686:13 1688:10 1694:4,16,20,22 1695:7,9 1697:3 1698:21 1699:3,9, 10,14 1701:9 1706:22 1711:11,12

careful 1567:18 1702:12

careless 1506:10 1639:1,4,20

Carlin 1485:9,10 1488:12 1490:8 1531:2 1607:17,18 1610:14 1612:6

Carlin's 1613:9

carries 1623:20

carry 1565:6 1644:2

case 1485:17 1486:5 1490:2 1491:5,14, 20,25 1492:21 1495:15 1522:2 1523:23 1533:20 1535:10 1547:13 1548:15 1549:17 1550:5 1574:3 1577:24 1578:3 1579:19 1581:24,25 1582:4 1583:15,21 1584:9,10,11,14 1586:16 1594:17 1596:16 1597:22 1599:7 1603:25 1604:4 1605:15 1606:16 1607:8

1617:15 1618:5

1619:5 1620:3

1621:1 1626:1,15

1628:21,23 1629:2,

12,15,23 1630:3,10, 13 1631:22 1632:6 1633:11 1635:18 1637:5 1639:11 1640:1 1641:6 1643:9,11 1644:4,19 1648:8 1649:12,20 1650:2,4,14 1651:2, 5 1654:17,18 1656:17 1659:22 1660:11 1662:23 1664:1,6 1672:10,16 1675:17.21 1698:8 1705:2 1706:4 1710:6 1712:2

case-by-case 1610:25

cases 1608:17 1640:2

cash 1535:2 1634:18

catchall 1701:23

categories 1533:9

category 1530:25

catering 1540:1,12

causation 1699:8 1700:4

caused 1623:6 1700:6,11,15,17,23

cautions 1550:3 1575:15

cautious 1591:11 1697:25

ceased 1551:4

cell 1627:1 1712:7

certified 1574:10,20

chairs 1606:6

challenge 1676:7 1691:15

chambers 1626:24

change 1497:13,23, 25 1498:18 1499:9 1523:24 1524:7 1525:5 1626:12 1659:21 1705:13

changed 1489:15 1498:1

changing 1496:16, 18 1497:19 1498:9 1524:11,23 1569:16 1570:8,16 1615:20 1623:4 1645:19 1703:15

chapter 1651:25 1657:6

characterize 1510:18

charge 1495:6 1510:2 1511:7 1512:7 1521:7 1535:23 1536:2 1537:10 1540:3 1576:24 1614:14,23 1615:6 1653:17 1664:3,8

charged 1576:20 1589:15,19 1616:25

charges 1533:15 1558:22 1559:23 1675:11

charging 1533:13 1534:23

Chase 1485:7,9 1486:21,24 1488:14, 18,21 1490:8,12,13, 14,18 1491:3,17,18 1492:8 1493:4 1495:13,19 1496:11 1499:22,23 1500:1, 14,18 1506:23,25 1507:10 1511:25 1537:25 1541:21 1542:1,5,14,16 1543:5 1547:6,10 1548:9,12 1550:13 1553:17 1554:4,12 1555:6 1556:24 1557:21,25 1558:13 1560:6 1563:2,4,7 1564:11,18,21,24 1565:1 1567:4,6,9, 12,15,21 1571:6,14, 20,22 1572:20,21 1573:6,12,21 1574:14,15 1575:1, 9,10 1584:2,16,18 1585:22 1588:13,22 1590:13 1591:17 1592:11,21 1594:2

1595:4,9,12,19,25 1596:4,10,21 1597:15 1598:4,23 1599:8,14 1600:9 1602:23 1603:8,13, 19 1607:17 1608:25 1609:20 1610:14 1611:6 1612:7,16, 21,23 1613:14,16,21, 25 1614:6,9,16 1615:2,8,14 1616:20,23 1618:12, 22 1619:17.23 1620:4,17,20 1621:11,16 1622:18, 20,24 1623:1,7,11,17 1624:12,16,22,25 1625:5,7,21,23 1626:2,7 1627:6,16 1628:9,21 1629:7 1630:19 1631:23 1632:3,8,11 1635:7, 25 1636:2,8,10,14, 22,25 1637:4,7,12 1638:8,24 1640:24 1641:8,13 1642:1,8, 20 1643:8 1644:7 1645:22 1646:16 1647:15,17,24 1648:21 1649:5,9, 13,17 1650:17,19,23 1651:11,14 1656:4,8 1658:12 1659:9,10 1660:1,20 1662:6,19 1663:5,11,14 1665:7,12,14 1666:1,19 1667:8, 20,22 1668:12,20,22 1669:11,14,17,20,23, 25 1670:6,11,14,16, 25 1671:4,6,13,17, 20,22,24 1672:14 1673:16,25 1674:4 1675:7 1676:20 1677:12,20 1679:1, 11,23 1680:2,6,11 1681:12,14,24 1682:1,5,13,18,25 1683:3,7,11,13,18,22 1684:11,15,18,23 1685:1,19 1686:12 1687:6,9,24 1688:1, 17 1689:3,6,17,22 1690:21 1691:2,20 1692:5,12,22 1693:14,21 1694:5,

Index: business..Chase



Index: Chase's..conference **combined** 1545:23 combining 1631:8 comply 1514:14 1633:5 comfortable 1602:12 1611:4 **compound** 1511:25 comma 1643:15,16 concept 1496:16,18 1498:5,10,11,12,18 **comment** 1489:6 1499:10 1513:14 1580:9 1643:4 1523:24 1524:7,11, comments 1515:9 22,23 1525:6 1558:5 1637:25 1569:16 1570:9 1615:20 1623:4 commercial 1644:16 1703:16 1645:10,16 1647:21 1705:13 1650:13 1651:10 concepts 1497:2,4 1660:4,6 1712:10,11 conceptual 1524:11 **committee** 1628:16, 20 1670:16,19 **concern** 1645:8 **common** 1635:3 1651:1 1678:11 1702:18,20,22,23 communicate 1585:13 1593:21 concerned 1542:17 1595:7 1618:8 communicating 1645:14 1704:1 1509:20 1594:3 1707:2 communication concluded 1500:15 1591:25 1593:15 1538:21 1543:6 compacting 1589:8 1557:12 1559:8 1564:25 1567:20 companies 1589:4 1572:1 1573:23 1592:17 1594:11 company 1501:11, 1603:20 17,20,25 1502:3,6,9,

25 1503:8,23 1504:3,6,12 1505:15 1527:24 1540:25 1554:24 1583:4.10 1667:16 1672:8 1680:25 1683:16

comparable 1649:25 1664:19

comparative 1621:21

compile 1526:8

complaint 1602:24

completed 1520:11 completely 1603:2

1668:15 **complex** 1563:12

compliance 1515:16

complicate 1661:11

conclusion 1492:21 1499:14 1500:2.4.8 1554:12 1604:5 1640:9

conclusively 1644:17

conduct 1534:16 1605:17 1630:14,17 1631:8 1632:12,15 1633:7,9,10 1635:11,14 1636:20 1637:11,18,22 1638:15 1639:6,16, 18 1640:3,19,25 1641:16,20 1645:8, 13 1655:1

conference 1495:6 1499:18 1500:15 1537:23 1538:21 1541:24 1543:6 1547:8 1555:21

11,23 1695:20,24 1697:2,11 1698:1, 13,20,25 1699:7,12 1700:1,10,21 1701:1,6,10 1702:1 1703:8,12 1704:3,15 1705:5,16 1706:18 1707:11,17 1708:5, 8,12 1709:1,4 1710:10,17,24 1711:1,3,23 1712:8, 16 1713:12,22

Chase's 1704:24

1714:1,12

check 1713:11

checkbook 1527:20

checks 1526:2 1527:20,24 1528:4 1574:24

chicken 1626:13

chief 1491:25 1547:13 1577:24 1578:3 1579:19 1581:25 1582:4 1583:15,21 1584:9, 14

choice 1605:23 1610:1,2 1664:10

choose 1605:24 1608:16,19 1610:3 1664:11

choosing 1663:23

Church 1613:4 1714:4

circles 1602:24

circumstance 1676:6

circumstances 1639:3,8,22,24 1644:21 1675:19

1706:3

citation 1632:10 1662:23

cited 1584:10,11 1620:6 1647:15 1651:21

cites 1632:16 1639:11 1644:19 **citing** 1584:15 1628:9 1632:4 1645:24 1647:14

civil 1628:16

claim 1485:18 1579:14 1582:8,10 1595:6 1613:12 1620:13 1621:7,9,20 1622:8,11,12 1623:9 1664:21,22,24 1665:1,4,9,23 1673:6 1679:3 1690:11,24 1693:5 1703:4 1711:10,13

claimant 1635:13 1637:20 1641:2

claimant's 1675:22

claimed 1686:10 1690:10

claims 1486:17 1487:4 1488:5.8 1490:21 1492:23 1493:10 1496:14 1556:14,17 1569:8 1583:12 1585:8 1595:5,12 1597:21 1598:9,25 1599:20 1601:18 1602:1,6,9 1617:12 1619:19 1620:3,10 1621:13 1622:4,5,21 1624:24 1625:3 1643:23 1665:20 1666:22 1672:22 1673:3 1677:10 1679:5 1692:17 1693:17,22, 24 1696:20,23 1697:15,21 1707:8 1709:4,15,16,17,24, 25 1710:6,9 1711:9

clarify 1659:16 1704:20

clear 1522:4,7 1538:17 1557:10,19, 23,24 1558:1 1559:2,4,16,17 1626:16 1640:2 1708:7

cleared 1589:6 clearer 1558:5

clearing 1558:21

clerk 1561:11 1707:24

clerk's 1708:3,9

client 1486:19 1488:24 1493:17 1560:12 1576:21,23, 25 1579:12.18 1584:25 1597:18 1600:17

client's 1492:13 1578:12,18

clients 1494:6,15,23 1609:14 1623:20,21 1646:18

close 1494:17,20 1545:5 1566:9 1567:13 1595:23 1597:11 1598:22

closed 1541:13.15. 16,17 1543:16 1545:6 1547:1 1549:8,16 1551:2 1566:6,24 1567:25 1568:13 1606:25

closely 1565:2 1637:2 1681:15

closes 1549:18

closing 1493:13 1542:3,8,12 1547:14 1567:4,5,6,12 1568:1 1595:3,14 1596:12 1598:24 1604:7,14 1612:2 1664:7 1673:10 1702:11,24

closings 1492:22 1493:3,22 1495:2,8

clue 1698:6

code 1515:1 1647:20

collected 1634:9

collection 1542:25

comanager 1507:22 1508:5 1685:6

comanagers 1508:2,10

ORANGE LEGAL

1557:12 1558:18 1559:8 1564:6,25 1567:10,20 1571:12 1572:1 1573:4,23 1590:3 1592:17 1593:7 1594:11,21, 24 1603:20 1614:15, 23 1615:7 1664:3

confidence 1670:20

confine 1656:16

confirm 1592:3.4

conformance 1515:20

confront 1663:2

confused 1677:16 1704:9

confusing 1666:9 1669:1 1671:14

confusion 1665:7 1678:11

conscious 1629:18 1630:15 1632:13 1636:20

consent 1687:4,5 1692:13

consequence

1676:10 1691:21

consequences 1627:19 1639:2,8,24

consideration

1486:22.24 1492:24 1494:3 1524:14 1706:12 1709:16,18

considerations

1493:18 1525:2

considered 1497:6. 7,8 1588:7 1705:12, 13,14,15

considers 1583:8

consist 1533:5

consistent 1486:11 1621:19 1626:6 1641:22 1644:3 1652:6,7 1655:12 1657:8

constantly 1487:11

constituted 1630:15 1632:13 1636:20

construction

1503:5 1514:7,11 1524:9 1525:15 1528:23 1529:7,20 1532:18 1534:24

consult 1497:19 1506:21 1554:17,23 1560:5 1570:2,15 1571:1

consultation 1624:8

consulted 1564:14

consulting 1507:3 1563:25

contact 1593:12

contained 1537:3 1706:7

contemplate 1506:14

contend 1621:15

content 1629:5

contested 1675:25

context 1500:8 1609:5.25 1620:5 1623:25 1633:9 1636:18 1639:12,13 1656:24 1713:18

continually 1538:7

continue 1598:1 1602:5,19 1604:2,23 1605:8,13,21 1608:19 1628:13,14

continued 1485:2 1505:7 1545:16 1714:19

continues 1597:1

continuous 1520:19 1591:18

continuously

1541:11 1544:4 1545:3,9

contract 1497:9 1524:10 1533:22 1534:9 1608:4

1616:6 1623:15

1648:7,8,24 1649:1, 23 1650:16 1652:2 1653:20 1654:10 1655:23,25 1660:24 1675:6,8 1684:24 1687:3,11,22,23

contract's 1673:17

1690:9 1710:18

1711:6

contracted 1540:25

contracting 1650:6

contracts 1523:11 1652:17 1655:19 1656:22 1674:13 1676:18

contractual

1648:14,15 1653:1 1655:20

contravene 1648:13 1653:23 1654:13

contribute 1503:18

contributing 1613:6

control 1504:16 1521:14,17,19 1522:5,8,15,23 1523:1 1524:11 1608:21 1609:4 1610:4 1616:7

conversation

1564:2 1565:6 1577:21 1581:4,11 1609:7 1611:3 1632:19 1659:1

conversations

1517:17,20 1577:19 1580:21,24 1581:23 1609:21,22 1631:1 1651:4

conversion 1489:10 1490:1 1620:12.21 1621:2 1622:8 1664:23 1679:3 1684:21 1687:4 1693:5 1701:5 1705:8,9 1711:3

converted 1620:11 1621:14

converting 1489:8

cooked 1540:16

copies 1527:21

copy 1617:11 1680:19

core 1657:15

corporate 1509:17

corporation

1533:14 correct 1486:3,4 1488:14 1492:16,17 1496:20,22 1499:7 1502:12,14,18 1503:9 1506:17 1507:17 1508:2,16, 20,22 1509:11,21,22, 23 1510:21,25 1511:12 1512:4,7, 10,14,18,19,22 1513:17,19 1514:8, 12,18,20,21 1515:7, 12,16,24 1516:3 1517:13 1518:3,15, 18 1519:3,12,18,21 1520:13,20 1521:1, 2,4,14,17 1522:6,16, 20,24,25 1523:6,12, 18,19,21,25 1524:3 1525:3,7,8,11,16,19 1526:1 1527:8,11, 15,16 1528:5,10,19 1529:11,13,22 1533:13,20,24 1534:3,19 1535:3,13 1536:12 1537:13,17 1539:3 1543:21 1544:21 1546:17,24 1550:25 1551:21,24

1553:16 1554:3,19 1555:9,17 1557:15, 16,17,18,20 1559:13, 19 1561:23,25 1562:1,5,6,9 1563:22 1569:1.10 1571:20 1587:13 1588:11 1589:22

1552:5,20,24

1596:22 1620:16 1636:7 1666:17 1669:10 1686:20

1688:24 1693:1,20 1710:23

corrected 1542:7

Index: confidence..court

cost 1524:23 1532:15 1535:7,10,11

costs 1528:23 1529:7 1531:9 1532:18 1533:7,14 1571:18

counsel 1499:17 1537:22 1571:11 1575:13 1597:25 1598:17 1600:6,12 1601:15 1602:4,19 1604:2 1607:23 1626:25 1702:11

counselor 1513:3 1548:10

1713:23 1714:11

count 1490:1 1608:7

counter 1641:17,18

counterclaims

1488:10 1586:6.13. 17 1596:23 1622:3 1666:8

counts 1485:16 1607:1,13,24 1611:12 1615:16 1643:22

county 1512:21 1513:8,12,17,21 1514:7,12,22,25 1515:2 1516:17,21 1587:25 1588:6,20

county's 1513:21

couple 1489:2 1574:3 1591:9 1610:23 1647:8 1695:6

court 1485:4,8,10, 14,15,21 1486:4,8, 14,20,23 1487:1,3,7, 16,18,20,22,25 1488:3,8,17,20 1489:2,12,15,17,18, 20 1490:5,7,9,15,23 1491:1,4,7,11,15,19, 22 1492:2,13,18,25 1493:5,8,13 1494:13,23 1495:14, 20,24 1499:15,17,20 1500:7,16 1505:12 1506:24 1507:8,12



1508:13 1511:21 1512:2 1513:2,5 1530:8 1531:16,20 1532:3,6,9 1534:10, 13 1537:22 1538:6, 20 1541:23 1542:2, 15 1543:4,7,10,11, 12,14 1547:7,23 1548:1,16 1549:1,7, 11,15,20 1550:1,10, 12,14,17 1553:18 1554:5,13 1555:7, 20,23 1556:9,12,16, 19,25 1557:6,11,23 1558:2,14,17,24 1559:1,4,7 1560:9, 13,15,24 1561:5,7, 10,13 1563:1,2,6 1564:5,13,22 1565:20 1567:5,8,17 1570:14 1571:7,23, 25 1572:2,6,13,20, 22,24 1573:1,3,9,11, 13,20,22,24 1574:14 1575:3,5,9,11,18,22 1576:1,11,14,16,21, 23,25 1577:4,7,10, 13,17,23 1578:3,7, 12,16,18,23,25 1579:6,13,18,24 1580:4,12,14,18,25 1581:11,15,18,23 1582:7,13,20 1583:3,11,14,19,24 1584:4,5,16,18,24 1585:21,23 1586:2, 8,11,23 1587:1,5,8 1588:14,24 1590:2, 8,15 1591:1,6,9,14, 20,24 1592:10,14,19, 22,24 1593:6,10,20, 23,25 1594:5,9,12, 16,19 1595:1,5,22 1596:7,13,22,25 1597:3,16,19,22,24 1598:6,16,18,19,24 1599:5,12,14,20,24 1600:3,4,11,14,17 1601:12,13,19,22 1602:1,11,13,17 1603:6,10,16,21,25 1604:13 1605:25 1606:2,11,18,23 1607:7,23 1608:14 1609:1,2,3,22,23 1610:15 1611:3,8,

10,19,24 1612:15,18, 22 1613:11,20,24 1614:3,5,7,10,13,17, 19,24 1615:5,10 1616:11,16,21,24 1617:2,7 1618:16, 19,24 1619:3,18,24 1620:5,18,21 1621:3,9,12,17,19 1622:19,21,25 1623:6,8,16,18 1624:5,7,14,20,23 1625:1,6,8,10,14,24 1626:3,9 1627:12 1628:6,10,14 1629:2,12,23 1630:2,3,4,5,8,22 1631:16,21,25 1632:6,9,18 1633:1, 3,6,16,23 1634:1,4, 11,13 1635:22 1636:1,7,9,15,23 1637:1,5,9,14 1638:3,6,10,14,20 1640:2,14 1641:3, 11,14 1642:3,9,15,18 1643:4,9 1645:21 1646:3,6,9,12,15,18 1647:1,8,12,18 1648:1,3,5,17 1649:4,6,16,18 1650:18,20,24 1651:7,12,19 1652:3,12,19,22 1653:7,12,16 1654:1,4,7,19,24 1655:4,12 1656:2,7, 9,20 1657:15,20 1658:4,6,19,22 1659:11,14,18,22,25 1660:6,15 1661:23 1662:9,12,20 1663:7,9,13,15,22 1664:3,17 1665:10, 13,17 1666:2,16,20, 25 1667:3,6,12,21,23 1668:4,10,11,19,21 1669:4,9,13,16,19, 22,24 1670:3,5,7,9, 13,15,23 1671:1,3,5, 7,11,19,21,23 1672:1,15,24 1673:10,12 1674:1, 10,20,21,25 1675:3,

9,18 1676:10,16,21,

24 1677:19 1678:3,

8,11,18,21 1679:2,9, 12,14,16,25 1680:4, 10,12,18 1681:4,7, 10,13,17,20,22,25 1682:3,7,16,20,23 1683:1,5,9,12,14,20 1684:3,6,13,16,19,24 1685:2,16,24 1686:1,6,15,19,21,24 1687:8,11,25 1688:6,14,19,25 1689:2,4,8,18,23 1690:4,8,20,23 1691:7,11,13,15,21 1692:8,10,16,19,24 1693:1,3,5,12,20,25 1694:9,21 1695:15, 22,25 1696:2,5,8 1697:9,13,18 1698:12,19,22 1699:2,11,21 1700:3,12,16,25 1701:4,7,16,25 1702:8 1703:6,10, 17,20 1704:3,14,24, 25 1705:17,23 1706:2,5,9,14,19,24 1707:6 1708:3,6,9, 25 1709:2,5,10,11, 12,14 1710:7,13,15, 20,25 1711:2,4,12, 18,20,25 1712:6,15, 17,22 1713:1,15,23 1714:2,6,10,14

Court's 1485:24 1486:1 1558:5 1605:4,13 1606:16 1607:25 1608:3,22 1609:17 1617:12,13 1628:12 1661:10 1669:9 1674:15 1677:9 1685:2 1691:23 1710:4

courthouse 1682:9

courtroom 1485:11 1493:13,15

courts 1635:20

covenant 1643:3 1650:5 1655:16 1656:5 1687:21

cover 1583:24

covered 1577:23

1580:5 1582:4 1583:14 1711:21

Cox 1650:2

CPA 1526:6,19 1527:1,12 1573:19, 20 1574:9,10

create 1521:21

created 1522:9

creating 1538:15,18 1554:18

creation 1555:5

credit 1530:16 1537:12

criminal 1624:1 1631:22 1633:7,9,10 1683:2,4

cross 1490:23 1507:13,14 1547:25 1560:18 1567:2 1583:20 1592:20,22

cross-claims 1622:3

CSX 1650:3

culpable 1629:15

cumulative 1584:8, 22.23 1588:22

curve 1661:12

cut 1589:6 1626:13

D

damage 1635:12,15 1637:19,22 1638:7 1641:2 1666:5 1696:11 1699:17 1700:16

damages 1490:2 1557:3,7 1615:24 1616:3 1630:8 1665:5 1678:22,24, 25 1679:3,4,9 1697:4,8 1698:14 1699:1,4,6,9,12,20 1700:6,15,17,22

danger 1639:8,24

dangerous 1564:9

date 1511:25 1512:5 1518:6 1519:24 1528:19 1532:24 1541:11 1543:24 1544:2 1565:10 1642:14

Index: Court's..debt

dates 1519:5 1546:15 1549:14

daughter 1527:7

daughter-in-law

Dave's 1497:12

Davidson 1540:9

day 1490:11 1495:9 1516:15 1535:20 1580:1 1604:16 1612:9,22 1613:13 1634:18 1668:2 1708:12

day-to-day 1508:19 1553:6 1574:19

DCA 1650:4

deadline 1712:20

deal 1603:15 1613:7 1652:16 1692:6,14

dealing 1600:23 1622:23 1632:25 1635:24 1642:12 1643:2,3,7 1644:2,6 1645:11,17,23 1647:22 1648:7,10, 19 1649:22 1650:6 1651:10 1652:8 1653:4 1655:15,17 1656:6,13 1657:9,12 1658:3,7,16 1660:5, 7,9,12,17 1662:11, 13,15 1666:4 1677:21 1687:20,22 1688:11 1694:3 1695:21 1699:5,19 1700:7 1701:8 1711:14

dealings 1642:19 1688:4

deals 1619:9 1687:21

debt 1582:22



dec 1607:2 1696:10,13,15,16,17 definitions 1635:17, describing 1658:11 direction 1522:1 19,21 1638:11 **December** 1518:9 defendant's description 1633:13 directly 1620:8 1642:4,6,16,18,22 1530:17 1544:5 1630:14 1632:12 1661:23 1664:23 1668:9 1644:5 1664:12.14 1545:16 1546:23 dis 1639:23 defendants 1622:9 design 1644:14 **defraud** 1644:14 1568:23 1666:7 1645:2 1645:3 disadvantage decide 1493:10,11 defending 1533:14 designated 1563:14 1705:20 1494:11 1497:13 degree 1553:20 1500:5 1524:24 defense 1491:19,22 1610:15,16,17 designed 1650:6 disagree 1608:12 1631:2 1664:22 1585:7 1687:5,7,17, 1610:24 1650:20 deliberate 1619:14 desperately 1639:3 1665:22,24 1672:17 18 1689:5 1657:13.16 1659:9 1707:19 1660:18 1674:15 1673:2 1674:10 destination 1498:20 defense's 1620:14 deliberating 1690:17 1691:1,5 determination disagreed 1510:21 1696:24 1713:4 defenses 1620:3,15 1607:16 1610:12 1674:17 1622:6 1623:10,19 disagreement decided 1497:15 deliberations 1677:9 1687:2 1510:23 1649:19 1518:17 1598:20 1604:15 1605:24 determine 1500:3 1601:19 1608:17 deferring 1693:3 disagreements deliberative 1521:23 1691:17 1619:10 1683:25 1608:8 1712:1 1605:10 **define** 1539:25 1692:20 1701:16,17 1688:16 1691:4 discharge 1651:24 1624:10 1625:15,16, 1702:8 1709:5,12 demand 1521:19 determined 22 1635:3 1638:17 1657:5 1597:24 1598:10,15, decides 1668:10 demonstrates 1648:18 1654:18,19 disclose 1565:14,18, 18 1599:14 1600:4 1640:12 **deciding** 1597:23 **defined** 1625:23 1602:18 1603:11,12, 23 1599:3 1672:16 denial 1622:9 1626:16 1628:22,25 25 1644:18 1674:11 disclosed 1634:25 1704:21 1710:7,8 1629:8,19 1630:2,4, 1623:16 1685:8 1675:19 1676:16,24 5,13 1632:11 1691:23,25 disconfirm 1592:4 **decision** 1488:23 **denied** 1490:5 1635:7,9 1640:2,25 1497:22 1499:6,9,16 1621:10 determining discovered 1516:19 1645:7,9 1500:21 1501:2,5,8, 1684:16 denies 1620:13 discovery 1549:8, 11 1506:15,16 defines 1640:14,16 **developed** 1491:17 1621:9,20 1623:9,21 12,16,22 1514:2 1516:2,5,8,9 1679:2 1524:5,15,18 denotes 1584:13 developing 1524:21 discretion 1584:5 defining 1635:5 1570:10,24 1598:21 1648:9,25 1649:2 1653:8 1654:24 deny 1616:19 deviation 1706:17 1601:1,2,3,8,9 1653:20,24 1654:11, 1656:20,23 1659:19 1620:17,21 1621:4,7 1602:10 1708:1 dicta 1649:11 15 1655:7,9,23,24 1713:1 **definite** 1519:19 **denying** 1623:25 1657:19 1659:17,18 **dictate** 1643:17 1662:1,2 decision-making definition 1521:9 Department 1588:9 1705:18 1500:19 1610:4 1536:19 1625:10 discuss 1575:13 **depending** 1639:22 Dictionary 1627:8, 1627:4,13,14,21 decisions 1501:16, 1705:18 17 1638:25 1639:21 discussed 1486:8 1630:9 1631:11,15 24 1503:22 1505:24 1644:8 1497:15 1509:15 1632:17 1635:1 depends 1495:14 1506:4,8,12,18 1514:1 1569:14 1637:2,17 1638:20 difference 1487:23 1596:11 1507:1 1509:3,7,20, 1624:19 1639:13 1642:11,13 1532:22 1557:6,8 25 1510:3,4,16 **deposit** 1633:19 1643:1 1644:10 discussing 1704:17, 1569:23,24 1570:3 difficult 1566:13,15 1645:4,5 1647:14,23 deposited 1539:22 1610:3 1710:2 1648:23 1649:10,12, 1634:9 discussion 1487:1 deeds 1677:6 18 1650:8,10,21 **direct** 1496:10 **deputy** 1550:8,16 1489:4 1517:8 1651:1,6,7 1653:8, 1548:1 1569:4 deemed 1672:4 1549:25 1576:13 1571:10 1575:16 13 1654:7,25 1656:2 1572:3 1577:24 1585:25 1606:9 1587:21 1611:9 defendant 1500:9 1659:15,24 1660:2 1659:4 1614:12 1689:1 1615:4 1620:10,13 1621:9, 1662:14,17 1680:14 1710:12 1713:25 directed 1542:2,21 14,20 1635:10 1681:10,16 1683:23 describe 1564:1 1576:4 1606:16 1637:17 1640:9,25 discussions 1497:8 definitional **describes** 1639:21 1615:15 1616:19 1641:1,17,18 1665:2 1517:12,24

Index: dec..discussions



1666:6 1675:21

1627:12

1620:18,25 1675:5

1705:24 1706:5

entities 1498:3.6 dismissal 1587:23 double-space **enacted** 1682:6,8 \mathbf{E} 1663:16 1554:11 disposing 1693:2 encompass 1560:8 double-spaced 1595:12 entitled 1554:2 **dispute** 1687:11 e-mail 1714:8 1663:10 1582:14,19,21,25 encompassed disregard 1547:20 1583:2 1601:17,22 **Earhart's** 1584:11 doubt 1640:11 1624:17 1627:18 1630:16 1658:22 1673:6 earlier 1511:24 1632:13 1636:21 doubts 1640:10 encompasses 1680:24 1569:14 1615:23 1639:7 1640:11,15, 1644:12 1645:1 **entity** 1498:13 dozens 1531:7 1624:19 1662:25 17 1641:15 1702:10 1509:17 1533:17 end 1493:21 1510:24 1710:3 1713:17 draft 1598:7 1544:9,11 1545:23 distinct 1673:3 1516:12 1539:9 1616:21 1621:18 early 1544:5 1551:8 1554:18 1597:6 1604:16 distinction 1634:6 1662:20,21 1545:16 1555:5 1649:7 1643:16 1653:18 distribution 1583:1 drastically 1595:10 1655:6 ease 1615:10 **entries** 1529:5 ended 1554:21 distributions draw 1692:4 1710:1 easy 1689:17 entry 1530:17 1583:3,4 1683:9 drawn 1692:2 **ending** 1626:11 eat 1713:9 enunciate 1643:20 district 1498:2,21 **enforce** 1533:21 **drill** 1561:17 **economic** 1671:9 environment 1541:16 1551:6 enforceability 1521:21 1553:5,25 1554:10, drop 1486:25 1487:5 **Edward** 1668:20 1675:5,7 1676:8 18,20,25 1555:2 1488:5 envisioned 1595:11 effective 1604:24 1560:4 1563:11 1701:12 1710:18 **dropped** 1489:15 1670:17 equated 1703:3 1568:13 1570:10 enforceable 1486:2 1571:17 1572:9,16 **dubious** 1562:22 **efficient** 1604:24 equipment 1553:3 1673:17,19,24 1581:20 1674:13 1676:13,18 due 1523:17,25 efficiently 1485:11 **equity** 1535:1 divorce 1566:11 1677:1,3,17 1678:5 1524:7,13,18 1562:15,19 effort 1521:22 1691:23 1566:19 1569:4 document 1590:1 equivocation eight-year-old **enforced** 1534:9 **duties** 1651:24 documented 1705:15 1549:17 1652:3 1653:5 1536:10 engaging 1631:7 escrow 1676:9 1657:5 1668:6 **element** 1700:4 documents 1522:5, **enhanced** 1562:19 1677:17 1684:24 **duty** 1486:11 1490:3 elements 1621:6 7,14,18,22 1553:23 1685:3 1690:10,11 1569:10 1622:13,22 **enjoyed** 1496:3 1685:14,17,18,22 1608:5 1676:9 1627:18 1630:23 essence 1655:14 1686:4,9,25 1688:4, 1678:9 **Enter** 1580:15 1631:5,6 1642:24 essentially 1595:5 dollars 1502:14,18 1643:14 1644:1,2 **entered** 1533:22 1604:21 1622:12 elicit 1575:23 1645:1,23 1648:11 1599:24 1643:13 1693:21 **Don** 1530:16 1649:22,24 1653:21 eliminate 1643:14 1695:13 1698:20 **entering** 1495:23 established 1554:20 1654:11 1656:25 1702:23 1550:15,16 1585:25 1702:15 1707:21 1623:19 1657:22,25 1658:1 1586:1 eliminated 1694:24 **Donaghy** 1522:3,15 1659:5,8 1666:1,2,3 estimates 1585:4 1684:12,15 entertained 1640:9 1667:7,15,18 Eller 1629:13 1672:7,9,16 1673:21 estimation 1610:21 **Donald** 1486:6 Entertainment **embodied** 1676:4 1675:16,21 1679:21, 1576:19 1577:20 evaluate 1605:5.9 1498:1,20 1541:16 25 1685:9 1686:13 **emergency** 1640:19 1580:21 1585:9 1606:20 1609:2 1551:6 1553:5,25 1688:10 1693:17 1587:12,22 1589:2 1554:9,18,20,25 emphasizes 1676:4 1694:3,4,16,19,22 eve 1563:13 1688:22 1591:8 1593:12,22 1555:2,8 1560:4 1695:7,9 1697:3,6 1622:13,16,18,19,21 employees 1511:16 1563:11 1570:9 event 1498:24 1698:20 1699:3,4,9, 1623:8 1634:12 1571:17 1572:9,16 1540:1,2,10,12,14,24 employment 10,14,19 1700:7 1664:22 1665:23 1580:20 1581:5,20, 1541:5 1639:12 1701:7,9 1702:14 1522:11 1528:11 1693:7 1700:15,22 22 1676:3 1714:3 1532:19 1539:10,16 1703:3,7 1706:21 1554:21 door 1576:20 1711:11,12,14 **entire** 1549:2 events 1540:20.22 1583:19 1585:14,18 1685:10 1699:25 1541:9 1544:13 **employs** 1553:7 1589:2 1633:14 1700:1 1551:11 1555:8

Index: dismissal..events



eventually 1514:6 excluded 1584:9 expenditures extremely 1592:15 1653:4 1655:15,17 1502:23 1503:20 1656:5,13 1657:9,12 1582:25 1690:21 1702:13,17 1658:2,7,16 1660:4, ever's 1614:2 excluding 1672:5 **expense** 1502:2,5 F 7,9,12,17 1662:11, 1503:12,15 1531:5 everybody's 1644:7 exclusive 1643:25 13,14 1666:4 1533:5,10,11,16 **faced** 1674:5 1705:3 1678:12 1687:19,22 **excusal** 1713:18 1534:24,25 1688:4,11 1694:3 facetious 1682:11 everyday 1635:3 1699:5,19 1700:7 excuse 1499:13 **expenses** 1496:17 facie 1621:5 1701:8 1711:14 **eviction** 1568:11 1511:19 1517:9 1501:14 1503:23 1504:5,8,12 1544:23 1546:3 facilities 1503:15 **fairly** 1652:16 **evidence** 1491:23 1551:4 1576:22 1529:15,22,25 1516:25 1543:23 1691:17 1492:23 1493:1,10, 1578:24 1622:10 1530:1,2,20,25 1551:16 1558:7 11,20,22 1494:17,20 **faith** 1486:15 1627:15 1658:5 1533:10,12 1534:23 1495:4,15 1574:2,4 **facility** 1514:18 1536:16 1548:6 1622:22 1632:24 1578:16,25 1584:7, excused 1598:2 1541:15 1552:8 1552:12 1569:20 1642:11,19 1643:2, 1602:2,7,20 1611:17 8,13 1586:5,14 1568:12 1578:22 1570:20,22 1579:11, 3,7,15 1644:1,5,8,16, 1590:8 1597:20 1646:16 25 1580:8 1585:18 25 1645:7,12,23 fact 1508:9 1516:5 1600:22 1604:5 excusing 1604:3 1590:18 1615:21 1647:19 1648:10,11, 1524:24 1529:21 1605:3,5,9 1606:25 1623:5 1694:7 19,23 1649:22 1548:4 1568:9 1607:9,14 1608:14 exercise 1652:5 1703:15 1705:14 1650:5,8,10 1651:8 1592:3 1610:3,11,17 1616:13 1618:5 1653:4,24 1654:14 1652:7,17 1653:4, 1620:24 1640:9 expensive 1519:17 1619:5 1621:1,5 1655:6 1657:7 18,19,21 1654:4,8,12 1645:7,9,13,15 1566:13,15 1625:4 1632:2 1662:4 1655:1,3,4,8,10,12, 1647:20 1649:19 1636:20 1640:8 experienced 15,16,25 1656:3,5, **exercised** 1511:10, 1650:12 1651:9 1660:22 1665:1,3 1505:8,17 11,21,22,23 1657:9, 14 1523:23 1652:6 1652:10,13 1656:11 1676:12 1691:16 10 1658:2,7,11,16, 1657:10,14,16,19 1696:19,22 1697:14 exercising 1655:7 expert 1672:19 18,24 1659:6,8,12,19 1658:9,10,18,23,24 1701:18 1702:9 **experts** 1517:15 1660:15 1662:8,10, **exhibit** 1530:10 1660:15 1661:25 1704:20 1707:6 13,14,17 1666:4 1672:18 1531:18,19 1560:25 1668:5 1674:24 1708:10,17,22 1673:22 1686:13 1561:5,7 1578:10 1689:5.8 1690:16 1709:23,25 1710:5 explain 1490:21 1687:19,22 1688:4, 1579:2,3 1589:23 1691:3 1695:5 1553:1 1564:10 11 1693:18 1694:3 evidenced 1584:7 1696:6 1707:20 **exhibits** 1678:2 1697:6 1699:5,19 explained 1512:6 1708:25 **ex-parte** 1606:19 1700:7 1701:8 1708:1 exist 1504:3 **Factors** 1684:16 1706:23 1711:14 exact 1518:6 1519:5 1572:10,17 **explains** 1661:14 1529:17 1552:3 facts 1584:14 **fall** 1610:19 1675:12 **exiting** 1490:13 1709:8 explanation 1600:21 1610:18 1550:9 1575:17 **falls** 1678:18 1631:17 1634:25 1637:3 examination 1606:10 **falsity** 1640:12 1496:10 1507:13,14 **exposed** 1630:17 factual 1608:9 **expand** 1518:23 1547:25 1548:2 1632:15 1637:10 Famous 1497:12 **fail** 1490:2 1500:11 1560:18 1563:3 **expect** 1607:14 **express** 1623:15 fault 1588:18 1583:20 1585:16 1626:18 1712:1 **failed** 1490:1 1687:20 1587:10 1702:15 favor 1599:25 expectation expressing 1494:9 examinations 1608:17 1709:20 1607:23 1653:23 **failing** 1696:14 1708:3,9 1567:3 1654:14 1660:16 fear 1557:25 **failure** 1627:17 1661:12 extensively 1577:23 exceeds 1570:13 February 1529:6 1578:1 1580:5 fair 1535:8 1542:15 expectations 1541:8,11 1544:25 excerpts 1686:8 1585:8,20 1622:23 extent 1562:7,17 1648:14 1650:7,15 1545:9 1642:11,19 1643:2, excess 1563:13 1580:3 1592:5 1655:11,13 1656:1, 3,7 1644:2,5 feedback 1538:16 1617:20 12,15 1657:11 **exchange** 1712:20 1645:11,17,23 1660:8,20 1661:3,5, feel 1536:20 1565:5 extra 1497:10 1647:22 1648:10,19 exchanging 1712:10 15 1662:5 1602:12 1666:22 1649:22 1650:5 extreme 1629:24 **excited** 1614:7 expected 1610:9 1651:10 1652:7 fees 1503:11 1533:6 1660:23

Index: eventually..fees



1555:13 1556:11,21, **fired** 1519:3 formally 1634:22 function 1522:9 1619:9 1625:14 23 1572:7,14 1626:25 1631:15 firing 1561:19 format 1617:14 **functions** 1551:14 1633:18 1648:24 fell 1698:9 1620:8 1686:3 Firsthand 1577:10 fund 1566:21 1568:4 1680:8,21 1712:7,17 felt 1565:9 formation 1572:8, 1713:2,9 fit 1633:13 1636:18 funds 1562:7 15 **Fifteen** 1550:7 1652:10 1579:15,22 **giving** 1488:25 **formed** 1507:23 1531:17 1573:25 fix 1516:25 1708:20 Fifteen-minute future 1490:11 1539:19,21 1582:2 1599:10 1550:10 1617:12 1707:3,4 **fleshed** 1619:20 forms 1695:18,22 \mathbf{G} **fight** 1608:19 flexibility 1604:21 1705:1,11 **glasses** 1571:16 **fighting** 1603:13 **Glenda** 1530:16 flip 1629:12 1651:3,5 formulating 1517:6 gain 1502:22 figure 1494:1 1532:23 1566:10 Florida 1540:25 **forward** 1518:18 **gained** 1566:18 1614:16 1674:9 1525:18 1528:17,18, 1541:1 1643:16 1598:3 1602:8 21,22 1529:16 game 1493:23 1604:4 1607:15 good 1485:4 1495:24 1650:4 1698:10 1532:20 1534:22 1542:15 1585:8,20 1696:20 1697:22 1496:2,12,13 1576:10 **flow** 1667:10 1674:6 1506:16 1548:9,12 1675:12 **found** 1638:19 1560:21,22 1562:5, **figures** 1525:16,21 **garage** 1499:4,5 1647:15 1658:4,6 1526:3,7,11,20 18 1571:14,21 flows 1705:19 1516:14 1519:1,2, 1555:12 foundation 1553:17 1602:25 1610:5,7 12,15 1520:9 1544:6 focus 1491:11 1622:22 1629:3 1554:4,6 1555:6 1545:18,19,22,23,25 **file** 1534:7 1695:10 1557:21 1632:24 1640:24 focused 1495:17 1546:6,10,19 1642:11,18,19 filed 1552:11 1596:14 1705:3 1552:24 1588:21 fourth 1596:20 1643:2,3,7,14 **filing** 1572:7,14 **focusing** 1491:12 Gassey 1496:20,24 frames 1703:1 1644:1,5,8,16,25 1699:18 1571:15 1497:14.17 1498:13 1645:7,12,23 framework 1498:3 1524:22 1570:9 1647:19 1648:9,11, final 1520:17 1605:6 fold 1571:9 19,23 1649:22 1616:25 1619:13 fraud 1620:7 1676:7 gathered 1528:12 folks 1550:1 1575:12 1650:5,8,10,21 1689:11 **financial** 1554:10 1585:5 1596:1 gave 1525:14 1651:8 1652:17 1572:6.13 1605:21 frauds 1687:3 1526:20 1536:15 1653:4,17,19,21 1545:20 1546:15 1654:4,8,12 1655:1, fraudulent 1685:3 **find** 1591:12 1626:2, **follow** 1550:8 1591:7 1664:5 3,4,8,10,12,15,16 7 1638:18 1695:13 1572:20,22 1574:14 1690:11 1656:3,5,11,21,23 1696:22 1575:16 1605:13 gears 1617:22 freedom 1644:20 1657:8,10 1658:2,7, 1606:9 1622:4 **finder** 1610:3 general 1504:19,21, 11,16,18,24 1659:6, 1676:20 Friday 1495:8 24 1505:3,13,15,17 8,12,19 1660:15 **finding** 1700:18 1544:6 1545:17 font 1663:15 1513:14,18 1542:24 1661:8 1662:8,9,12, 1559:12 1612:5,17 finds 1679:8 1694:19 1622:3 1643:14 14,17 1664:12 food 1540:2 1544:20 1714:5 1666:20 1666:4 1673:22 fine 1488:25 1543:4 1553:8 **frivolous** 1503:20 1686:13 1687:19,22 1559:2,3 1598:5,12 generally 1513:13 **footnote** 1643:12 1688:4,11 1689:2,14 1600:8,9 1614:24 **front** 1528:25 1678:8 1709:21 1693:18 1694:3 1623:2 1638:9 **forcing** 1663:23 1535:17 1537:5 **generate** 1544:14 1697:6 1699:5,19 1663:7 1668:10 1581:13 1619:10 forgot 1542:19 1700:7 1701:7 1627:25 1630:11 1677:20 1678:6 gentlemen 1496:2 1706:22 1711:14 1683:7 1692:16 1689:20 1690:25 form 1499:13 1500:16 1697:25 1706:16 1697:1 1710:11,24 1537:16 1565:19 gradations 1589:8 give 1486:6 1490:19 1711:1 1714:9 1606:20 1619:25 frustrate 1655:25 1494:2,25 1521:16 **grading** 1589:7 1620:1 1689:16 finish 1511:20,21 1662:4 1526:7 1536:5 1692:18 1697:1,24 grandchildren 1546:4 1619:11 1539:7 1546:16 frustrated 1659:1 1699:25 1700:2 1527:11 1552:15 1565:10 **finished** 1586:14 1702:23 1704:4.8.17 fuel 1589:18 1595:7 1600:24 grandmother 1589:8 1705:7 1707:12 1610:8,9 1612:2,18 1707:22 **full** 1495:9 **finishes** 1580:17

Index: fell..grandmother



1614:4 1617:9

Index: grandmother's..Honor **Holdings** 1505:21 1506:6,10,14,19,20 1507:2 1533:18,20, 21 1534:4 1535:19, 23 1536:2,3,10 1553:2,10,13,25 1555:3,16 1559:19 1563:21 1566:1 **helpful** 1617:3 1572:10,17 1589:16 1621:13 1622:13 helps 1618:7 holes 1702:25 hierarchy 1574:25 home 1713:8 **high** 1635:12 honest 1644:13,22 1652:13,20 1657:22, 25 1658:1 **honesty** 1644:19

1645:1,7,9,12,13,15 1647:20 1650:12 1651:9 1652:10 1656:11 1657:10,14, 16 1658:18,23,24 1660:15 1661:18

Honor 1485:12 1486:21 1487:6 1488:4,7 1489:5 1490:25 1491:6 1493:6 1494:8 1499:22 1508:12 1512:25 1530:7 1532:5,11 1543:9 1547:6 1549:19 1550:20 1554:7 1558:3,16 1560:7, 12,14,17,23 1561:3 1571:24 1572:23,25 1575:4,21 1586:7 1587:7 1590:25 1592:18 1594:14,18 1603:19 1606:22 1611:23 1620:23 1621:8 1625:13 1626:8 1629:6 1651:16 1653:14 1663:11 1664:16 1666:15,24 1667:2 1669:8,12,15,18,21 1670:8,12 1671:2,16 1678:15 1679:24 1680:7,23 1681:3,5, 9 1682:21 1684:14 1685:25 1690:18 1694:14 1696:1

1711:17,24 1714:1,

grandmother's 1708:21

grassy 1589:8

grateful 1604:20

great 1608:23 1614:22 1632:18 1642:15

greater 1625:3 1664:25 1665:3

gross 1583:8,18 1625:10,16,25 1626:3 1627:4,14,22 1628:2,7,9,17,21,25 1629:7,17,18,23 1630:4,5,9,13,20 1632:11 1635:1 1636:2 1638:1,15,19 1639:15

grossly 1499:10 1500:5 1523:5,9 1569:5,25 1570:10, 24 1623:25 1631:7 1639:16,18

guess 1496:19 1617:7

guessing 1492:5 1505:5

guy 1509:16

guys 1518:17 1712:6

H

H-o-u-r-i 1643:10

Hachenberger

1486:7 1490:16 1492:19 1493:12 1495:20 1496:7,12 1507:1 1530:16 1550:22 1559:10 1560:21 1565:2 1572:2 1576:19 1577:20 1580:21 1581:4 1584:1 1585:9 1586:5 1587:12,22 1589:3 1590:21 1591:8,25 1593:12.22 1597:25 1598:11 1600:5,12 1601:15,19 1602:3, 18 1604:1 1611:17

1622:13,17,18,19,21 1623:8 1634:12 1641:19 1643:25 1646:16 1665:21,23, 24 1674:9 1693:7,8 1695:14 1698:20 1700:23 1702:15 1707:21 1713:19

Hachenberger's 1502:11 1575:24 1585:16 1599:24 1664:22 1700:15

half 1492:3,5 1495:9 1510:12 1597:8,9

hamburgers 1540:17

hand 1530:4 1561:10 1571:10 1626:8 1628:22,24 1629:8,17,19 1664:10 1698:10

handle 1653:12 1691:12 1692:21,24 1693:12,15 1694:18

handling 1598:9

hands 1617:11

handwriting 1548:24

hang 1650:18 1695:15

happen 1516:11 1551:17 1568:10 1588:11 1620:22 1660:23 1714:8

happened 1489:18 1517:2 1519:11 1577:5 1600:21 1634:17 1706:2

happening 1612:1 1708:11,14,16

happy 1606:19 1607:5 1608:9 1610:24 1626:24

hard 1571:15 1579:7

Harley 1540:9

harm 1623:6 1639:9 1641:21

hate 1629:11 hats 1634:23

He'll 1628:14

head 1555:18 1623:12 1626:13,20 1707:24 1709:8,13

headed 1495:11 1555:23

heading 1529:23

health 1566:16,17 1640:18,20,22 1641:15

hear 1492:13,25 1493:13 1534:11 1548:20 1561:1 1607:22 1608:9 1609:24 1632:1 1658:11 1679:24

heard 1493:11 1542:9 1558:14 1579:4 1581:3 1584:23 1585:1 1587:12 1605:2,3,5 1611:13 1614:20 1616:12 1618:4 1619:5 1627:24 1650:17 1661:14 1695:5 1696:19,23 1697:13 1701:18 1702:9,24 1704:20 1707:6 1708:16,23 1709:23

hearing 1492:23 1493:9 1495:3 1499:19 1537:24 1541:25 1547:9 1555:22 1558:19 1564:7 1567:11 1571:13 1573:5 1590:4 1593:8 1594:25 1646:24 1668:13 1698:12 1702:23

heck 1548:13

heedless 1639:1.3

held 1489:4 1499:18 1537:23 1541:6,24 1547:8 1549:25 1555:21 1558:18 1563:23 1564:6

1567:10 1571:12 1573:4 1576:13 1590:3 1593:7 1594:24 1611:9 1614:12 1689:1 1713:25

helped 1589:12

1637:19

higher 1487:18 1629:24,25 1630:22

highly 1675:1

Highway 1505:21 1506:5,9,13,14,19,20 1507:2,17,19,23 1508:6,10,16,17,20 1509:11,21 1510:7, 17 1521:3 1522:20 1533:13,18,19,21 1534:4,16,23 1535:11,19,23 1536:2,10,17,18 1537:1,10,13,16,21 1538:4,12,24 1539:15,18,19,24 1551:24,25 1552:20 1553:2,10,12,25 1554:9 1555:3,16 1557:20 1558:7,23, 25 1559:1,5,11,18,23 1560:3 1561:20 1563:21 1565:15,25 1566:2 1572:10,17 1581:5 1583:5 1589:10,15,21 1609:19 1621:13 1622:12 1693:6,8

hire 1504:17,19 1518:5

hired 1517:22,24 1518:3 1522:10

hold 1596:14 1649:15 1676:9 1689:4

holder 1644:21 **holding** 1530:4

9.13.17 implementation **incurred** 1501:14 informs 1705:24 17 1659:17 1661:21, 1653:5 1529:25 1556:23 24 1662:20,21 **hope** 1496:2 1643:20 **inherent** 1649:22 1559:23 1570:20,22 1663:3,24 1664:11, 1693:14 **implied** 1643:3 1659:8 1585:19 19 1667:19 1672:19. 1649:22 1650:5 hoped 1522:9 initial 1489:12 24 1673:1,16 1655:16 1656:4,5 **incurring** 1496:16 1651:17,19 1678:3 1674:1,7,8 1675:11, 1687:21 1692:13 1503:22 1504:8,11 hopeful 1626:19 15,18,24 1676:1,3,5 1569:19 1615:21 **initially** 1489:13 **implies** 1598:12 1678:24 1679:5,9,20 **hospital** 1698:10 1623:5 1507:23 1519:20,24 1656:9 1684:8 1686:3,9 1545:12 1595:11 hotdogs 1540:16 independent 1550:5 1688:14 1697:13,18, **imply** 1601:7,10 1605:17 24 1701:11,12 hour 1490:20.24 injunctions 1492:3,6 1595:4,7,8 1564:17,19 1702:6 1703:24 **important** 1488:23 indicating 1648:5 1704:19 1705:6 1597:8,10 1613:23 1649:14 impossible 1511:8 injury 1629:10,21 1706:8 1710:3 1712:24 1635:12,15 1637:19, 1712:3 **improper** 1683:20 indication 1552:12 22 1638:7 1640:22 Houri 1643:9 1661:20 1707:3 instructions 1597:7 1641:21 1666:5 inaccurate 1535:25 hours 1698:5 1603:23 1604:6 indifference 1560:1 input 1509:4 1605:4,14 1616:22 1629:16 1630:16 housekeeping 1579:25 inappropriate 1617:10,14,16,17,23 1632:14 1636:21 1606:25 1702:14 1618:3,7,10,17 1639:7,23 **inquire** 1561:12 How's 1670:15 1626:11,14 1628:5 **inattentive** 1639:1,5 indifferent 1639:2 **inquiries** 1576:17 1629:5 1634:22 human 1629:16 **inaudible** 1602:25 1635:4 1643:5 **individual** 1498:2.5 **inquiry** 1576:15 hundred 1530:12,13 1662:24 1663:1,25 **incident** 1675:20 1543:1 1693:16,25 1644:22 1634:16 1664:4,9 1665:11,20 individual's insensitive 1696:18 inclination 1678:4 1672:1,13 1673:10 hundreds 1531:7 1644:15 1675:10,13 1678:25 insert 1618:8 include 1528:22 1679:19 1684:20 individually 1663:17 1713:5 1551:12 1642:13 I 1686:11,18 1701:21 1559:12 1668:1,4 1672:3,25 inspected 1559:12 1702:5 1707:13 1681:22 inducement 1676:8 idea 1539:7 1709:21 1709:9 1713:7 install 1520:2 1685:3 1690:11 **included** 1528:18 ideal 1707:13 instructive 1675:15 1533:7,9 1537:1 installed 1519:21,24 infer 1574:5 1633:23 1555:12 1642:12 1520:4.6 identification **instructs** 1675:19 1634:13,15 1673:15 1679:17 1676:17,25 1530:10 1531:18 **instance** 1521:10 inference 1599:4 1680:8,14 1710:15 1589:24 1565:11 1631:16 intangible 1644:8 1634:5,20 **includes** 1534:16 1702:16 identify 1576:3 **intend** 1495:16 inferences 1489:10 1613:24 1708:25 **including** 1497:16 **instruct** 1495:1 1575:22 1576:3 1634:24 1516:20 1533:10 1604:13 1656:25 identifying 1613:25 1596:7 1607:11 **inferred** 1687:22 1562:9 1667:18 1706:19 1614:20 if-so 1700:12 1668:3 **influence** 1689:10 instructed 1573:24 **intended** 1607:8 **ignore** 1650:15 income 1552:12 1605:21 1639:10 **informal** 1615:11 1553:24 1555:9 illegal 1523:14 instructing 1675:4 **intensive** 1610:12, information 1565:25 1695:9 1701:13 **imagine** 1491:13 17 1527:6,15 1528:7 inconsistent 1601:2 1659:6 1554:3,10 1565:23 instruction 1500:2 intent 1621:7 1583:9 1607:21 incorporate 1542:7 1599:10 1636:16 immediately 1615:22 1662:8 1603:22 1617:20,25 1528:10,16 **informed** 1509:6 **intention** 1644:20, 1684:22 1620:2,12 1621:24, 1513:9 1555:5 22 **immense** 1639:7 25 1622:1,2 1583:10 incorporated 1624:12,14,24 intentional 1627:17 **impact** 1572:6,13 1678:1 1687:15 informing 1557:9 1625:18 1628:7,16, 1628:1 1631:8 impair 1562:12,15 incur 1504:5 1706:5 17 1641:23 1643:7, 1635:6,7,9,23 12 1651:8 1658:11, 1535:10 1636:3 1637:16

Index: hope..intentional



1641:23 1685:4 1690:13 intentionally 1516:10 1585:4 1588:5 1635:14 1637:21 **interest** 1493:25 1501:11 1502:13,17 1504:12 1537:17 1561:23 1648:11 1649:7 1691:25 Intermodal 1650:3 interpretation 1608:4,13,22 interprets 1650:1 interrupt 1696:9 intimate 1509:10,14 introduced 1584:8. 13 introduction 1618:1 1619:15 introductory 1667:13 inventory 1613:7 investigated 1640:7 invite 1565:3 involved 1507:3 1509:7,10 1528:2 1539:4 1540:18 1568:12 1605:18 1675:20 involvement 1506:20 1509:12 1534:18 involving 1639:8,24 irrelevant 1613:18 1668:14.16 1671:4

1672:5

Isolating 1678:9

issue 1486:11 1500:7
1518:3 1535:16
1538:18 1542:3
1585:14 1588:20
1592:5 1597:3
1598:25 1606:18
1608:23 1609:25

1610:1,11 1612:5 1626:24 1628:20 1632:20 1638:15 1649:10 1658:25 1663:1 1664:21 1665:22,24 1667:6, 17 1668:1 1672:10 1673:23,25 1674:3 1675:9 1676:1 1678:22 1692:10 1693:2 1697:20 1708:16 1711:6,7,21

issued 1542:2 issues 1493:25 1543:3 1548:8 1583:25 1593:1 1594:1 1605:18 1608:2,20,22 1611:11 1621:21 1622:4 1626:20 1627:2 1646:17 1664:18,20 1669:7 1672:17 1679:12 1701:19 1702:19 1703:22 1704:2,8,10 1705:25 1706:8,11, 14,15,17,21 1707:7, 14 1710:16 1711:6,7

1566:17 1609:2 items 1509:2,15,18 1530:24 1531:8 1568:12 1610:23 1613:24 1614:1,6 1704:5,6

item 1532:14

IX 1714:19

J

Jack 1524:22 Jack's 1496:20,24 1497:14,17 1498:13 1524:22 1570:9 jackets 1615:12 Jackie 1522:4 1523:11 1524:14 1534:19 1535:12

1552:5 1553:23

1554:23 1555:4

1558:8 1560:5

1593:9,11,18 1594:3

1610:16 1622:10

Jacqueline 1622:10

January 1517:9,12,
17,20 1518:11
1547:4 1550:24
1552:9,17

jest 1702:4
job 1509:18 1520:11
join 1695:12

Jones 1615:14
1616:23,24 1626:7,
12 1645:5 1679:7
1681:2 1713:15

Judge 1487:21
1488:22 1489:6

1492:4,5 1506:23 1507:11 1531:14,19 1534:1,8 1541:22 1542:17 1548:14,22 1549:14 1563:7 1570:13 1571:6 1576:8 1578:1 1580:19 1581:17 1582:24 1583:23 1584:2 1588:17 1591:3 1592:13 1593:4 1596:18 1598:8 1599:9 1601:4 1602:23 1605:21 1609:12 1611:2,6 1613:15 1616:11 1618:12,14, 23 1623:14 1624:2 1627:6,10 1628:3,11 1630:19 1635:5,16 1636:5 1638:12 1640:1 1641:5 1642:8,10 1645:25 1647:2 1649:14 1654:23 1656:14 1660:3,14 1661:18 1663:19 1665:15 1671:6,10 1673:16 1674:11 1677:18 1681:12.16 1682:2. 14 1685:15 1688:2 1690:19 1692:22 1698:7 1702:19

Judge's 1674:7 **judgment** 1599:24 1623:13,14,22,24 1624:4,6,8,11,15 1687:14

judgments 1606:15 judicial 1496:3

July 1525:18 1526:23 1528:4,18 1529:1,11 1535:3 1541:16 1543:16 1544:22 1545:7,9,15 1548:24 1551:4 1552:9,17

June 1505:20 1510:12,15 1511:11, 15 1512:3,15 1513:15 1515:15,19 1519:4 1525:9 1530:18 1539:9 1541:2,5 1544:22 1553:24 1560:4 1577:2,16 1579:23 1587:23 1593:24

juror 1660:4 1707:18

jurors 1494:2 1550:8 1575:16 1606:9 1672:16 1692:2 1708:19

jury 1487:12 1488:13 1489:21 1492:20,22 1493:2, 8,9,16,24 1494:10 1495:1,5,22,23 1499:19 1500:2,4 1509:9 1525:14 1534:18 1536:15 1537:2,24 1538:25 1539:7 1541:13,25 1546:15 1547:9,20 1549:10 1550:9,14, 15,16 1553:1 1555:12,22 1556:21 1557:2,9 1558:19 1564:1,7 1567:11 1571:8,13 1572:3 1573:5,24 1575:17 1581:14 1585:23 1586:1,4 1590:4,8, 11 1591:1 1592:25 1593:8 1594:25

1596:8 1597:19 1602:3 1603:21 1604:19 1605:15,16 1606:2,10 1607:9,15 1611:25 1615:12,18 1616:2,8 1617:9,14, 16,17,20 1618:4,17 1619:4 1624:10 1625:14,18,24 1628:4,15 1629:5 1634:22 1638:18 1657:17,24 1658:10, 17 1660:22 1661:1, 13,14,24,25 1664:8 1666:23 1667:1 1674:10,11,18,25 1676:1,11 1677:15 1686:3,18 1690:16, 25 1691:1,4,14,17 1692:21 1695:3 1697:24,25 1698:4 1701:17,19 1702:7 1704:8,19 1705:4, 12,15,24 1706:5,10, 16 1708:22 1709:22 1710:4

jury's 1492:24 1585:25 1696:19

Juxtapose 1640:1 juxtaposed 1639:18

K

Kansas 1639:11 **keeping** 1570:21

Kevin 1522:15

key 1642:4

kids 1713:9

kind 1488:23 1492:6 1540:14,20 1547:3 1561:24 1574:12,24, 25 1593:17 1596:16 1598:12 1607:1 1631:18 1639:15 1641:24 1654:16,17 1668:1 1692:12 1694:14 1696:8,9,13

knew 1514:6,9 1518:2 1520:12,13

1703:23 1710:2

1521:5 1631:20



1703:19,23 1704:23

1705:10 1706:1

1707:18 1710:21

1640:20 1641:1,17, 1674:19 1684:7,16 loaned 1503:6,7 M 18,19 1562:7 lawyers' 1574:2 **liberal** 1582:2 knowing 1631:9,19 **loaning** 1562:21 licensed 1574:11 lay 1554:6 1622:5 **Madam** 1543:12 knowledge 1503:21 loans 1535:16 1621:17 1707:24 layered 1644:1 licensing 1540:7 1561:22 1569:3 1504:10 1526:12 **Madden** 1584:12 1537:3 1554:1 lie 1659:8,11 **lead** 1510:16 **location** 1706:20 1577:10 1578:13,19, 1639:19 made 1486:17 **life** 1627:19 1629:16 21 1579:2 1580:7 long 1490:15,18 1501:2,5 1503:22 **leading** 1505:11 1630:16 1632:14 1635:11,13 1637:18, 1491:7 1505:3 1505:24 1506:5,9, 1565:19 1636:21 1638:4 21 1644:20 1520:1 1530:24 13,18 1507:2 1639:9.25 1640:22 **leave** 1599:3 1606:5 1531:2 1565:9 1509:3,4 1510:4 1610:2 1646:25 Limitation 1684:7 1573:6 1595:3 1515:7,9,11 1516:1, L 1664:10 1683:18 1628:23 1629:1 9 1519:2 1522:4,7 limited 1491:13,14, 1642:22 1707:9 1524:5 1528:22 **leaving** 1539:16 labor 1531:1 1533:8 16,23 1554:24 1714:5 1529:1 1533:15 1564:10 1631:6 ledger 1527:21 lack 1490:1 1551:19,22,24 longer 1488:19 1667:16 1672:7 1552:15 1552:2,5,8,16,23 1490:16 1494:1,21 1679:21,25 1680:24 ladies 1496:2 1553:15 1558:22 Lee 1714:4 1683:16 1597:7 1598:25 1500:16 1566:3 1569:23 1599:21 1601:6,14 **limits** 1648:12 **left** 1487:11 1490:21 laid 1622:7 1601:9 1602:7 1603:12 1618:9 1519:3,6 1543:2 1609:13 1616:1,15 lines 1516:24 1517:5 1679:22 1687:9 Lakeland 1541:1 1672:12 1683:20 1637:1 1667:25 1693:18 1697:16 1609:17 1648:6 1694:6 1695:1 land 1515:20 1673:25 1674:19 1680:25 1692:2 1706:11 1709:11,16 1698:9 1676:7 1710:1 **language** 1603:17 looked 1513:11 **legal** 1500:8 1503:11 mail 1489:14 1624:17.18 1641:25 1516:20 1526:9 **liquor** 1540:18 1554:12 1599:20 1651:21 1680:9 1629:4 1641:9 **maintain** 1562:8 1611:14 1646:19,23 **list** 1530:24 1531:2 1710:15 1712:9 1568:14 1570:23 lose 1568:12 1683:25 1696:11 1709:15 lapel 1487:10 maintained 1562:5. legislature 1635:10. **listed** 1516:15 **losing** 1684:1 late 1708:12 18 19 1639:14 1649:13 1685:16 1686:25 loss 1666:5 1696:11 maintaining **latitude** 1521:23 legitimate 1533:16 listen 1499:12 lost 1535:4 1570:21 1534:6 1548:17,21 1565:2 law 1500:2,4 1504:9 1608:11 1614:7 **lot** 1508:21 1521:22 maintains 1704:9 1506:6 1514:15 lend 1501:19 1562:4 1697:17 1537:9 1557:15 1523:2,13 1604:7,13 major 1506:18 length 1591:10 1584:21 1600:7 1605:4 1617:11 listening 1597:20 1696:19 1697:14 **majority** 1510:11 1619:6,9,12 1626:1, lets 1516:21 1672:25 1710:4 1704:20 15 1627:8,16 make 1488:24 litigation 1532:25 **letter** 1485:25 1629:16 1630:20 lots 1503:4 1533:11 1494:7,18,20 1495:8 1533:2,3,12 1556:14 1486:10 1501:15,22 1631:1,9,16,19,20 1535:20 1563:12 1496:23 1497:13 1566:14 1511:6,11,14 1632:21 1633:1,4,8 1694:9 1499:6 1501:16 1636:4 1638:25 1512:3,13 1518:21 LLC 1505:21,22 1514:2 1516:5,8,22 louder 1488:1 1523:15 1535:14 1639:20 1644:8,24 1506:6,10,14,19,21 1519:8 1522:3 1537:11 1582:18,20 1650:1 1664:9 1507:3 1553:2,9 **Louis** 1632:5 1524:15 1531:8 1676:2 1683:2,4 1673:18 1674:12 1559:14,19,20 1532:9 1542:20 lower 1516:10,12 1676:17,25 1677:5, 1684:20 1713:5 1563:21 1566:1,2 1546:17 1548:25 23 1678:4,12 1572:10.17 1648:4 lowering 1585:4 lawsuit 1534:3,4,7 1549:9 1550:2 1690:21 1701:12 1657:5 1681:4 1555:16,25 1556:3, 1557:7,8 1558:5 loyalty 1642:24 letters 1615:16 1559:1,4,17 1568:19 22 1557:5 LLCS 1624:15 1667:7,15,18 1668:8 1574:21 1599:2 1672:9 1679:21,25 **Lexis** 1628:4 1647:5 lawsuits 1555:12,16, loan 1529:19 1601:4,6,8,11 24 1556:7 **lump** 1639:15 liability 1554:24 1535:19 1537:12,16 1604:23 1610:3 1562:12,22 1570:22, 1667:16 1672:7 lawyers 1619:21 1611:1 1617:4 **lunch** 1612:3 24 1649:6 1680:12,24 1683:16 1636:15 1643:4

Index: knowing..make



1647:9 1666:11.14 Mary 1526:25 1670:18,19 1671:17, 17 1518:14,22,24 mislead 1516:17 1527:6,9 1673:8 1674:24 18 1672:6 1680:4 1519:3,4 1520:19 misleading 1493:24 1679:16,19 1704:4, 1683:17 1522:4,9 1523:11 matched 1527:24 25 1708:2,6 1713:1 1524:14 1528:9,11 mismanaged members 1554:3,11 **matches** 1650:9 1532:18 1534:19 1504:15 makes 1600:19 1573:24 1586:4 1535:12 1539:10,15 1609:5 1681:20 materials 1527:22 1597:19 1602:3 mismanagement 1540:23 1552:4,7 1686:24 1608:6 1603:21 1618:3 1542:21,22,25 1553:23 1554:21,23 1619:4 1652:14 1547:12 1556:13,17 making 1499:16 1555:4 1557:19 matter 1548:4 1657:5 1670:16,17, 1579:15 1582:11.13. 1501:10,23 1506:15 1558:8 1560:5 1549:21 1556:12 24 1680:12,13 15 1585:8 1615:19 1509:20,25 1510:2, 1561:16 1576:6 1557:6 1620:24 1684:8,9,10 1710:4 1645:19 1694:7 16 1524:18 1536:20 1577:21 1579:23,24 1676:2 1701:22,23 1703:14 1570:2 1584:21 memorabilia 1580:22.23 1586:21 matters 1577:11 1705:13 1706:23 1610:15 1612:25 1601:2 1647:2 1568:8 1612:24 1707:23 1708:21 1674:17 **maximum** 1492:7 1613:1,2 1691:18 1613:3,5,6 mismanaging malice 1640:13 memorized 1647:6 Mike's 1496:22 meal 1540:25 1496:15 1569:14 1644:13 1645:2 memory 1619:3 million 1503:3,8 1623:2,3 **meaning** 1644:9 malpractice 1681:6 1530:11,13 1531:22 1662:8,9,12 misrep 1685:4 1640:14 1534:25 1535:1 mentality 1600:20 1690:13,14 means 1599:16 1561:22 1562:4 man 1640:4,6 1628:18 1630:7 **mention** 1695:1 misrepresentation millions 1502:14,18 1637:17 1641:16 manage 1504:17,19 1620:7 1625:19 **mentioned** 1613:17 1647:20 1650:11 millwork 1519:14, 1505:8 missed 1514:25 1651:9 1652:10,12 17 1520:8 menu 1544:20 management 1673:14 1653:18,19,21 1523:18 1531:1 merit 1708:4 mind 1488:25 1654:5,12 1656:11 missing 1679:19 1542:10 1547:17 1489:2 1522:18 1657:10 1660:15,25 merits 1670:20 1680:24 1541:4 1605:8,10 misstatement meant 1498:15 1632:23 1633:12 1674:24 message 1494:2 **manager** 1485:19 1532:22 1660:25 1635:5 1644:16 1504:21,24 1505:4, 1690:5 **Michael** 1564:2 **mistake** 1548:25 1646:5 1647:2 9,14 1507:16,19 1565:7 1594:3 1549:1 1689:5,8 measure 1679:2.4 mindset 1609:15 1554:8.9 1651:23 1620:11 1621:14 mistaken 1648:5 1657:4 1667:14 measured 1640:3 1622:9 1685:7 mine 1490:19 1670:17,18 1671:17 misunderstandings 1526:21 1579:9 mechanism 1597:4 microphone 1672:6 1685:9 1608:8 1597:10 1604:10 1487:7,10,13 1694:13 misunderstood 1491:15 1563:6 media 1550:6 minor 1636:16,23 managers 1504:17, 1576:16 1586:24 1612:16 1637:8 1638:1,3 1605:19 19 1505:15,17 Microphones mixing 1627:24 1670:16,23 1680:13 medical 1640:14,19 minus 1532:24 1586:23 1684:7,10 modification **minute** 1597:4 meet 1584:13 1655:1 middle 1597:11 1682:17 managing 1505:21, 1624:21 1634:23 1604:15 1659:3 **meeting** 1511:16 25 1506:5,9,13,19 1646:17 1695:4 modified 1660:8 1695:16,17 1704:10 1512:1 1527:22 1507:2 1569:24 1712:7 1682:16 1551:11 1591:8 1651:23 1657:4 midmorning minutes 1489:1,3 moment 1506:23 1667:15 1670:17,18, 1550:2 **meetings** 1508:25 1550:7 1575:14 1663:2 19 1671:17 1672:6 1509:6,15 1520:19 1580:16 1596:5,11 midst 1566:11 1680:4,13 1684:8,10 **Monday** 1612:19 1544:13 1576:18 1647:8 1585:9 1591:18 mike 1485:19,23 **manifest** 1627:18 money 1501:19,24 misadvise 1588:5 1486:23 1491:9 member 1485:20 1502:9,10,21 marked 1530:9 1498:12 1507:22 misconduct 1631:9 1505:21,25 1506:5, 1503:5,18 1524:21 1590:18 1508:1,5,9,15,17,25 1635:9,23 1636:3 9,13,19 1507:2 1525:23 1526:23 1509:20 1510:15,21, married 1527:9 1637:16 1641:24 1559:15,21 1651:24, 1535:18,20 1536:1, 24 1514:1.2 1515:2 25 1657:4 1667:15 9,17,25 1537:1,6,9, 1516:16 1517:8,13,

Index: makes..money



15,20 1538:1,2,3,9, **multiple** 1493:18 1501:4 1538:9 12,23 1551:19,22,24 1552:2,5,7 1553:15 1672:22 1556:6 1562:22 mutual 1689:5 1566:21,25 1567:15, 16,22 1568:4,14,16, Myer 1527:1,13 25 1570:22,24 Myers 1485:19 1582:14,16,22,25 1489:23 1491:9 1621:14 1633:14,18 1497:1,4,6,11 1634:9,11,17 1649:6 1499:21 1504:24 moneys 1525:25 1507:22 1508:1,5,9, 1539:22 1556:1 15,17 1509:20 1576:7 1581:19 1510:15,21,24 1582:19 1589:15 1515:2 1517:9,17 1518:14 1519:3,4 Monroe 1543:21 1520:20 1522:4 1550:23 1551:16 1523:11 1524:14 1552:8,16,23 1528:9 1533:21 1553:15 1534:5,19 1535:12, **Monroe's** 1499:1 13 1539:10,16 1544:10 1547:3 1540:23 1552:4,5,7 1553:23 1554:17,23 month 1539:7 1555:4 1557:19 morning 1485:4 1558:8 1560:5 1495:5,7,24 1496:2, 1561:16 1562:3,9, 4,7,12,13 1511:24 11,14 1563:25 1560:20,21,22 1564:2,12,14 1565:7 1569:9,10 1577:21 1604:12 1606:7 1609:9,14 1612:5, 1579:23,24 1580:22, 17.19 1626:11 23 1585:20 1586:17, 21 1587:2,5 1593:9, 1662:22 1664:8 1713:2,21 1714:15 11 1594:3,4 1608:11 1610:16 1612:25 mortgage 1535:1 1613:3,5,6 1614:5 1620:11 1621:14 mother 1527:10 1622:10 1664:23 motion 1616:19 1666:6 1685:7 1621:4 1655:22 1699:1 1700:23 1702:16 motions 1615:15 1668:24 Myers' 1485:23 1496:23 1528:11 mouth 1536:21 1532:18 1554:21 1661:22 1702:2 1561:19 1576:6 move 1513:5 1661:3,15 1665:20, 1518:17 1538:20 22 1690:11,13,14,15 1548:14 1549:4,23 1693:5 1699:12 1604:6 1620:25 1707:21 1635:16 moved 1487:13 N 1613:3 1620:18 1704:15 names 1497:1,4,11

1498:2,5 1621:12

1641:19

narrow 1597:1 1612:10 narrowing 1495:12 **narrowly** 1491:12 1495:17 natural 1675:12 nature 1540:7 1551:13 1553:9 1610:7 1643:17 1691:16 1697:16 necessarily 1542:11 1631:10 **needed** 1488:15 **negative** 1613:17 negligence 1583:9, 18 1620:5 1625:11, 15,16,18 1626:1,4 1627:5,14,22 1628:2,7,17,22,25 1629:7,15,17,18,23 1630:4,5,9,13,20 1632:11 1635:1 1636:2 1638:1,15,19 1639:5,15 1696:10 negligent 1499:10 1500:6,9 1523:5,9 1569:5,25 1570:10, 24 1620:6 1624:1 1625:19 1631:7 1639:16,18 1685:4 1690:14 1696:10,14, 15,16,17 **neutral** 1494:1 1600:1 1601:7

Nick 1485:9 1488:12 1531:2 1607:17,18 1610:14 1613:9 Nicks 1505:1,3 1511:16 1512:4,6,9, 14 1531:1 1633:15, 17,20,21 1634:7 **night** 1516:15 **nights** 1544:7 nobody's 1683:6 **nodding** 1707:24 nonissue 1687:4

Index: moneys..occurred nonjury 1488:16 1691:13 1692:11,15, 24 nonresponsive 1534:8,12 1588:13 noon 1597:12 1603:22 **normal** 1678:21 **note** 1675:14.23 notebooks 1606:5 **NOTED** 1714:18 notes 1591:4 1629:12 notice 1487:22 1492:9 1612:11 **noticed** 1487:8

November 1508:8 number 1488:8 1514:20 1528:25 1529:3,4 1531:21 1532:3 1535:4 1558:10 1593:12 1627:1 1649:8 1663:24 1675:13 1677:4 1690:1 1693:11 1707:7,19 1712:7 1713:24

notwithstanding

1643:24 1659:3

numbers 1516:11, 17,18 1525:14 1526:8,14 1527:3,23 1528:3 1529:9,10,17 1530:3 1535:21 1537:4 1538:10 1552:3 1553:20

numbered 1665:13

1618:6,7 1663:4 **numerous** 1672:22

1585:4 1617:20

oath 1496:8 1587:6

0

object 1499:13,14, 24,25 1513:23 1534:8 1547:19

1564:3 1565:19 1567:2 1648:21 1668:13 1674:15 1681:21 1703:25 1704:22

objection 1500:12, 17 1505:11 1507:7 1511:25 1541:21 1542:6 1553:17 1554:4,12 1555:6 1557:21 1558:13 1560:6 1570:12 1571:22,23 1573:8, 11,12,13 1583:25 1588:13,22 1590:13 1598:4 1609:20 1618:23,24 1619:2 1625:6,7,8,9 1627:21 1637:8,12 1638:8 1642:1,2 1651:11 1671:25 1673:9,11 1676:22 1681:18 1684:1,2 1704:12

objections 1493:4,5 1514:4 1584:21 1618:11 1671:10 1689:23

obligation 1554:8 1565:14,16 1605:22 1643:6 1652:7,13,20 1657:8 1687:19 1688:11 1706:22

obligations 1486:12 1643:18 1644:2 1653:5

obliterating 1658:15

observance 1645:10,16 1647:21 1650:12 1651:9 1656:12 1657:11 1660:16

obtain 1555:9

occasion 1505:14 1589:12

occupancy 1516:10,

occurred 1517:5 1691:10

moving 1604:22

1607:15

occurrence 1653:1 1518:21 1529:15,19 1657:7 parallels 1651:2 **patience** 1604:21 1530:2,15,20,25 occurring 1536:5 organize 1572:7,14 parenthesis patient 1592:15,16 1533:7,10 1534:24 1643:15.16 **October** 1508:8 1535:15 1539:11,20, organizing 1554:24 **pattern** 1622:4 22 1542:12 1544:4 parenthetical offer 1522:3 1576:4 **original** 1563:15 pause 1591:22 1548:6 1553:16 1619:8 1602:15,24 1682:19 1582:15,18,21 **offering** 1698:13 pay 1503:18 1535:1 parking 1563:15,17, 1652:1 1653:6 originally 1625:17 1556:6 1567:22 offers 1609:13 18,19 1656:18 1657:7 1647:15 1568:10,13,16 1659:12 1673:18,23 1582:16 1695:9 office 1616:21 part 1497:8,16,21 **outlined** 1552:13 1674:12 1676:18 1646:11 1509:14,22,23,25 **payable** 1530:16 1677:5,23 1678:5,13 overflow 1563:17. 1517:14 1527:21 omission 1629:8,19 1701:13 18,19 1535:2 1556:1 paying 1494:6,24 **omissions** 1534:17 1574:16,17,24 1566:25 1567:16 operation 1529:22 overriding 1655:10 1684:17 1578:3 1584:3 1539:4 1541:16 payment 1503:11,14 1585:7 1602:8,14 **Overruled** 1499:15 1549:15 1551:20 omit 1669:3 1528:22 1529:1 1613:22 1648:9 1505:12 1507:8 1553:7 1654:17 1657:14 payroll 1530:22 one's 1594:21 1534:13 1553:18 operations 1503:10 1658:24 1659:17,18 1629:2 1645:11 1554:13 1560:9 **pen** 1646:4,10,12 1529:24 1539:12 1660:18 1667:18,21 1565:20 1570:14 **one-time** 1714:3 1551:4 1553:9 1671:8 1681:18 1588:24 **pending** 1609:13 1561:16 1577:2 1682:21,23 1683:3 1615:18 ongoing 1566:14 owe 1667:15 1672:7 1691:12 1692:10 operator 1589:6 penny 1561:21 open 1498:14 1517:1 **owed** 1643:18 participant 1670:18 1525:10 1541:10 **opinion** 1629:14 pens 1646:11,15 1543:21,23 1545:2,9 owned 1553:10 1708:4,10 participate 1493:2 1557:17 1559:12,14, 1546:9,19,22 **people** 1512:17 1598:1 1602:6.19 **opinions** 1570:6 18,20 1691:18 1516:16 1526:5 1551:21 1596:16 1604:2 1571:4 1598:6 1605:8 1531:3 1544:19 owners 1562:8 participation 1553:7 1563:13 opponent's 1584:14 opened 1541:7,14 1606:14 **ownership** 1562:23 1577:15 1589:10 1543:20 1544:3,4,5, opportunities 1687:13 1691:24 1605:18 **parties** 1586:12 24 1545:11,12 1694:9 1610:2 1643:14 1546:1,6,10 1547:1, owns 1553:2 percent 1608:1,16 opportunity 1646:25 1655:13 4 1548:7 1549:8,16 **perfect** 1611:24 1581:25 1582:3 1656:1,15 1662:5 1583:19 P 1612:20 1681:15 1672:22 1676:19 **opening** 1544:7 **oppose** 1703:24 1701:15 1677:1,3 1550:23 1551:15 **P.M.** 1714:18 **opposed** 1495:8 **perform** 1486:11 **parties'** 1650:7 1560:7 1601:18 1552:23 1604:16 1534:5 1627:18 pagination 1618:9 1676:12 1677:13 1648:16 1706:24,25 parts 1546:20,22 1697:14 1705:8 performed 1523:2 paid 1502:2,5 1678:1 1682:23 options 1501:2,4 1530:25 1533:17 opens 1549:18 **period** 1510:20 party 1540:2 1648:8, 1536:12 1568:7 oral 1607:3 1676:8 1682:3 1518:15 1521:4 14 1649:2 1653:20, 1695:5 1530:21 1566:2 order 1544:20 21,22,24 1654:11,12, **operate** 1535:14 1593:23 1624:6 paper 1526:10 14 1655:11 1673:5,7 1562:5 1563:5,8 1539:6 1541:18 1527:5 1528:25 1604:7,22 1678:16 1705:19 1545:17 1547:3 permission 1714:10 1529:4,8,12,23 1659:5,6 party's 1648:10,12, orders 1606:3 permit 1576:18 1530:4 1535:16 25 1649:2 operated 1498:3 1583:25 1640:8 1537:5 ordinance 1515:1 1539:2 1544:6 partying 1499:4 **permits** 1512:20 paperwork 1535:3 ordinarily 1625:15 1545:15 1553:4 1514:11 1515:7 **password** 1647:5 1572:10,17 paragraph 1485:18 ordinary 1500:8,21 1540:6 1488:9 1512:14 past 1621:3 1704:16 operating 1486:1 1630:23 person 1500:11,24 1602:12.16 1640:17 1501:15,23 1508:15 path 1528:14,15 organization 1503:25 1506:2 1667:13 1672:6 1509:7,16 1511:6 1581:9 1652:1

Index: occurrence..person



APPEAL			Ind	ex: personalproducts
1521:7,21 1629:9,20	1498:15 1504:21	1610:17 1621:13	prejudice 1600:17	prima 1621:5
1632:14 1637:10	1513:20 1617:8	1632:19 1642:15	prejudiced 1494:15	principal 1561:25
1659:7	1622:6 1626:19	1649:21 1663:6,9,15	1706:4	1684:17
personal 1487:22	1630:25 1631:3	1666:10 1691:9		
1489:9,10,22	1635:8 1642:16	1698:3,8,13 1701:20	prejudicial 1556:24	prior 1493:22
1502:13,17,20	1675:20 1679:17	1706:13 1707:15	1675:1 1678:10	1520:12 1524:8
1525:23 1526:12	1706:9 1709:7	1714:4	preliminary	1532:25 1539:15
1578:12,18,20	1710:17	pointed 1532:14	1673:13 1706:7	1541:2 1554:17,23
1579:2 1580:7	placement 1686:18,	1698:7	1710:20 1711:5	1577:2 1587:22
1589:17 1644:15	22	nointing 1521-21	1400.7	1641:22 1682:8
1683:21 1691:18	places 1605.19	pointing 1531:21	premises 1488:7	prioritize 1568:3
1702:16	places 1605:18	portion 1543:15	prepare 1522:15	private 1498:24
personally 1502:22	plaintiff 1584:13	1603:18 1624:24	1540:25 1606:15	1544:13 1551:11
1558:24 1559:5	1618:11 1620:10	1627:13 1635:22	1617:13	1344.13 1331.11
	1665:5	1661:19 1666:21	prepared 1590:22	pro 1554:22
personnel 1568:18	plaintiffs 1666:7	1672:19 1692:25	1604:6,8 1616:21	probability 1635:12
1569:1	1699:24	portions 1667:3	1617:5 1662:24	1637:19
persons 1630:17		1672:2		
-	plaintiffs' 1491:14	• • • • • • • • •	preparing 1526:22	problem 1532:6
perspective 1611:20	1530:10 1531:18	position 1485:23	prepping 1558:22	1538:7,15 1546:14
pertain 1696:23	1589:23 1620:25	1524:15 1525:9		1548:22 1573:18
-	1622:8 1664:20 1665:1 1699:24	1609:3,4,14 1668:9 1692:21 1704:24	present 1489:21	1598:8 1599:9
pertaining 1697:15	1003:1 1099:24	1092:21 1/04:24	1495:16 1519:20	1600:3 1601:5
pertains 1702:9	plan 1585:5	positions 1659:2	1541:11 1545:17 1600:12 1619:12	1627:23 1641:6 1656:14 1659:15
1709:25	plans 1513:11,16,19,	Positively 1501:12	1000.12 1019.12	1661:17 1679:15
pertinent 1489:25	23 1514:6 1515:2,	1504:13 1505:19	presentation	1686:23 1698:17
1593:14	10,12,13,16,21,24		1496:22 1586:14	1707:18
	1516:9 1518:18	possibility 1645:14	1604:23	
perused 1513:13,15,	1519:9 1520:15	possibly 1518:9	presentations	problems 1668:3
24	1587:15,25 1588:3,7	1601:1	1604:24 1605:6	procedurally
petty 1634:17		4 1550 5 1561 16		1574:6
-	play 1693:24	post 1550:5 1561:16	presented 1556:11	
phone 1627:1	playing 1493:19	1605:19	1648:22 1660:22	proceed 1496:9 1543:8 1604:17
1712:7	pleadings 1621:1,10	posture 1696:18	1661:2	1615:6
phonetic 1584:11	1622:11 1623:3	potential 1572:7,14	preserved 1676:23	1013:0
	1022:11 1025:5	potential 15/2:/,14	nuosidont 1500.17	proceeding 1646:22
phrase 1624:3	pled 1631:23	potentially 1487:3	president 1508:17 1509:16	1683:15
phrased 1500:12	1694:15,18	1494:6,24 1694:10	1309:10	proceedings
pick 1663:20	plenty 1626:1	pounds 1566:18	press 1579:8	1674:22
picture 1703:1	pocketed 1633:24	powers 1521:24	pressed 1579:7	process 1497:22
1707:21 1708:22	pocketing 1633:14	practice 1521:13,16	presuming 1605:15	1500:20 1513:20
pictures 1702:25		1	pretty 1514:5	1517:3 1528:1
-	podium 1561:9	precise 1499:20	1629:3 1630:21	1573:25 1604:22
piece 1528:24	point 1486:2	precisely 1609:11		1605:7,10 1607:6
1529:3 1530:4	1495:12 1509:8		previous 1616:14	1610:4,22
1538:9 1620:14	1517:22 1519:9	preclude 1594:10	previously 1489:7	processes 1517:4,6
1637:23	1520:11 1527:10	preemptive	1496:20 1497:6,7	1667:25
piecemeal 1698:2	1531:19 1532:1	1675:11,23	1552:10 1587:5	produced 1527:23
pieces 1526:10	1540:8 1566:11	preference 1607:7	1616:12,18 1623:19	-
1691:22	1591:15 1592:6	1662:25	1672:23 1674:2	producing 1626:13
	1598:2 1602:8		1683:25 1710:12	products 1552:1
place 1487:2	1604:4,13 1605:3	preferred 1617:13	1712:2	_
	1607:25 1608:4,24			



professionals **protect** 1650:6 1644:21 1661:21 1694:1 1695:19,23 **reading** 1584:10 1506:21 1507:3,5 1703:3 1697:25 1700:8 1696:3 1699:2,7,21 1602:12 1629:22 1570:2,15 1571:1 1705:19 1706:16 1701:5 1704:4 1630:10 1638:24 protestations 1662:6 1666:17 professionals' 1644:18 puts 1599:7 1705:18 quick 1591:4 1593:5 1668:5,14 1682:14 1570:5 1627:7 1678:15 **provide** 1554:10 **putting** 1658:10 1685:19 **profit** 1525:3 1540:3 1686:4 **quiet** 1651:15 **provided** 1536:11 reads 1619:4 1552:1 1566:3 1553:22 1643:6 quietly 1671:19 1641:14 1675:18,23 **prohibits** 1501:23 1647:20 Q ready 1488:19 **quote** 1498:13 1496:6 1606:8 **project** 1511:24 **provider** 1640:18,20 1529:8 1539:12 **QMG** 1497:16 1615:6 1512:23 1521:17,20 1641:15 1643:9.13 1650:2.4 1516:20 1517:20,22, 1528:10 1577:16 1653:17,18 providing 1540:2 real 1591:4,11 24 1518:3,5 1555:25 1613:6 **quoted** 1537:4 1593:5 1678:15 provision 1632:23 **quality** 1644:9 1704:10 **projects** 1512:21 1635:20 1641:4 1655:19 question 1486:9 **promote** 1648:10,25 1669:5 **quoting** 1641:5 realistically 1612:1 1487:4 1498:8 1649:3 reality 1516:20 provisions 1533:22 1499:12 1511:25 R promotes 1649:2 1513:2 1515:8 **realized** 1646:12 **prudent** 1506:2 1522:14 1523:7 **proof** 1579:20 1629:9,20 1640:4,6 rearrange 1516:2 1528:20 1531:25 R-e-c-k-i-n-g 1625:2 1627:14 **public** 1574:10,20 1533:25 1534:2,20 1639:1 1665:8 reask 1536:22 1535:4,22 1536:13, publication 1640:5, radio 1556:4.5 **proper** 1574:6 reason 1500:1 23 1537:25 1538:3, 1584:6 1632:17 1517:14 1564:15 7,8,14 1539:13,14 raise 1588:20 published 1640:6 1646:18 1651:16 1543:10,12 1553:21 properly 1676:3 raised 1591:24 1685:12 1690:6 1554:22 1558:2 publishing 1640:7, 1620:15 property 1489:9,11, 1565:2 1571:14,21 reasonable 1500:10, 22 1535:2 1553:2 1572:3 1573:2,15,16 range 1505:6 24 1503:24 1524:24, 1557:14 1558:4,6, pull 1511:5 1682:10, 1574:7 1580:6,11,25 25 1645:10,16 Re/max 1540:24 21,22 1559:10,16,22 13 1581:7,16 1582:7 1647:21 1648:13 1562:4,8,17 1563:9, 1588:14,18 1590:24 reach 1626:17 1650:7,12,15 **pulled** 1511:9 10,16 1576:19 1591:24 1596:6 1651:10 1653:23 1632:6 1659:24 reached 1517:14 1579:11 1585:14 1597:5 1632:3 1654:13 1655:10,13 1604:5 1589:2,5,11 1590:19 **punitive** 1630:8 1634:19 1650:24 1656:1.12.15 1613:1 1620:11 1657:21 1660:3 reaching 1619:7 1657:11 1660:8,16, purchased 1589:3 1621:14 1627:19 1692:3 1694:2 21 1661:7 1675:16, reacting 1583:17 1637:4,6,10 1691:18 **purpose** 1578:9 1696:12 1697:1 22,25 1676:4 1596:17 1702:16 1599:21 1651:8 1698:14,19,23 1713:10,13 1699:15,17 1700:5, read 1532:1,3 proposal 1494:5 **purposes** 1640:17 reasons 1562:3 18,24 1701:3 1543:10,12,14 1648:2 1651:17,19 1566:10 1661:13 pursuant 1512:7 1572:11 1597:7,10 1682:19 1712:11 questioning 1659:4 1602:14,15,17 reassess 1714:15 pursue 1485:19 **propose** 1653:13,15 questions 1492:12 1603:17,23 1627:10 1511:24 1656:7 1659:16 **rebut** 1492:10,12 1499:21 1538:17 1645:24 1651:8 1667:12 1576:5 1562:25 1571:8 pursued 1635:14 1652:11 1653:25 1574:1,4,6,7 1575:6 1637:21 1657:1,3 1660:13 **proposed** 1597:17 rebuttal 1491:5,23 1578:11 1579:8 1661:18 1666:18,23 1627:16 1654:25 1492:2,10,11,14 pursuing 1653:3 1582:1 1583:20 1667:1 1671:19 1663:25 1674:1,8 1495:15,16,17 **put** 1491:20,25 1585:12 1590:6 1672:3 1676:24 1678:25 1688:14 1575:20 1576:4 1591:10 1592:25 1516:22 1527:3 1685:10 1687:1 1710:3 1713:3 1577:17 1578:7,9,25 1606:4 1608:3 1532:23 1536:21 1700:14 1706:14 1579:3,10,11,21 proposing 1602:14 1614:8 1617:3 1555:8 1559:23 1713:18 1580:4 1581:7 1631:25 1689:23 1593:18 1594:7 proposition 1644:4 1582:3 1583:15,22 reader 1639:19 1690:10,16 1691:3 1631:14 1641:19

Index: professionals..rebuttal

1584:5,12,19



				acm.recamineeperin
1586:16 1587:10	record's 1603:14	1589:17	remedy 1615:25	representing
1591:15 1592:11	recorded 1527:4	relate 1560:24	remember 1525:24,	1628:6
1594:9,12,17		1561:5		magnest 1526.22
1596:12,13,22,25	1530:3	1301:3	25 1529:4,16,17	request 1536:22
II 1540 10	records 1565:14	related 1492:23	1539:7 1540:9	1564:4 1565:22
recall 1540:19		1536:25 1538:24	1555:13 1709:8	1606:14 1704:24
1541:7,8 1555:18	redirect 1563:1,3	1581:8 1632:23	removal 1488:6	1705:6
1566:7,22 1569:6	1564:22	1696:20	1685:6,8	requested 1543:14
1572:19 1587:18	reentering 1490:14		1005.0,0	1565:17
receipt 1607:9	recitering 1490.14	relates 1573:16	remove 1519:14	1303.17
1007.9	refer 1704:7	1617:11 1675:15	removed 1519:3	require 1522:10
receive 1604:14	f	1701:18		1617:9 1631:11
	reference 1531:11		1694:12	
received 1507:4	1550:24 1632:9	relationship	removing 1485:19	required 1488:15
1581:19 1582:9	1643:11 1680:18	1643:18		1528:13 1646:20,23
1618:4 1619:5	referenced 1511:9	relative 1593:25	rendered 1605:25	rescission 1607:1
receiving 1485:6		1608:20	1640:21	1611:14 1690:15
	referred 1498:11		rendering 1640:18	
recently 1590:22	1590:10 1678:9	relayed 1534:17	Tenucing 1040.18	research 1550:5
rocoss 1550.2.2.10	nofonning 1521 10	1537:2	renew 1615:15	1605:17 1647:10
recess 1550:2,3,10,	referring 1531:12	wolooge 1400 9	mom4 1502 14 1565 1	MOGOGRAPO 15(1.11
11 1575:12 1604:11	1559:11 1587:20	release 1490:8	rent 1503:14 1567:1,	resecure 1561:11
1615:3 1647:11	1633:8 1652:2	1495:5 1605:1	23 1568:7	resolution 1707:8
recitation 1666:10	1681:24	1606:13 1674:8	reopen 1545:5	
	refers 1638:15	released 1493:14	_	resolve 1608:1
recited 1627:25	1655:18 1656:18	1605:25 1614:16	reopened 1543:16	1613:11 1627:1
recking 1638:24,25			1544:8,10 1545:16	1693:3
	refraining 1631:6	relevance 1556:9	1546:23 1547:2	resolved 1608:10
reckless 1624:1	refresh 1619:3	1558:13,15 1590:13	1551:3,7 1568:21,23	16501764 1000.10
1627:18 1629:16	1019.3	relevancy 1547:22	repayment 1561:23	respect 1485:16,25
1630:14 1631:7	refused 1565:23	1558:20	1582:22	1489:8,20 1490:23
1632:12 1635:6	150420	1556.20	1382:22	1510:7 1519:1
1638:13,16,17,18,21,	refusing 1584:20	relevant 1488:19	repayments	1526:23 1536:11
24 1639:6,16,18	regard 1488:15	1547:17,21 1549:20	1561:24,25	1548:5 1556:11,21
1640:2,3,4,11,15,17,	1501:24 1503:22	1556:19,25 1582:5		1560:3 1576:6
24 1641:15,16	1505:25 1506:13,18	1590:15,17 1591:14	repeat 1548:18	1577:14 1578:11
1681:11,23 1683:24	1545:14 1546:12	1662:14 1668:16,17,	1665:19	1580:15,19,21
1684:2	1570:8 1571:2	18,21,22,25 1669:1,	repeatedly 1498:14	1582:6 1583:8
	1674:8	2,6,10,13,16,19,22	1564:13	1586:17 1587:19
recklessness 1626:4	1074.8	1670:2,4,11,14,15	1504.15	1588:6 1589:23
1636:3 1681:13	register 1520:16	1671:9,13,15,21,24	repeating 1532:7	1591:12 1592:25
recollection	1552:15	1672:2,4 1679:22		1593:14 1597:18
1525:22,23		1687:10 1690:2	rephrase 1500:13	1607:10,13,24
1323.22,23	regular 1509:6,19	1691:1	1544:23 1558:2	1608:5 1625:18
recommending	1521:6	1091.1	replevin 1488:16	1638:1 1642:10
1704:23	regulated 1574:11	relief 1668:24	1607:21,24 1608:7	1648:3 1652:17
. 1 . 1 . 1 . 2 . 2 . 2			1609:18 1610:11	1658:16 1668:8
reconsider 1489:20	Regulation 1588:9	relieved 1605:23	1613:12 1690:24	
record 1485:5	regulations 1514:22	rely 1507:4 1570:5,	1692:14,17	1677:10 1688:10
1489:4 1549:25		18 1571:4 1616:14		1690:3 1706:21
1576:13 1584:3	rehash 1585:10	1670:22 1680:4	reported 1553:12	1708:10 1713:6
1609:7 1611:4,8,9,	reimbursed		reporter 1543:10,	respectfully
10 1614:11,12	1537:16 1589:20	remain 1485:17	12,14 1621:17	1536:22 1599:1
1643:5 1647:12	1557.10 1589.20	1493:2,12 1602:2,9	12,14 1021.17	1616:10 1633:17
1674:14 1681:24	reimbursement	1646:20 1705:1	represent 1532:22	1634:14 1655:16
1682:11 1688:25	1569:3	1710:22	vonvocentation	
1689:1 1713:25		remaining 1609:18	representation	respond 1572:3
1009.1 1/13.23	reimbursements	1615:17 1706:15,21	1599:6	1579:8,9 1588:14
		1013.17 1700.13,21		

Index: recall..respond



responding 1586:12	revenue 1544:14	ruling 1485:16,25	screens 1659:21	1546:1,6,18
response 1561:1	reverse 1563:5,8	1486:1 1542:19 1607:25 1616:9,18	screw 1702:25	sequence 1678:19
1586:6	reversed 1584:19	1624:4 1685:2	searching 1647:4	served 1628:15
responsibilities 1505:25	1665:21	run 1505:15 1525:2,	season 1539:8	service 1605:16
responsibility	review 1588:8,12	3 1562:18 1606:17 1626:12 1682:18	seated 1496:1	1606:1,2 services 1540:2
1598:9	reviewing 1485:15 1674:15	running 1523:5	1550:18 1586:3 1606:12 1615:5,9	1640:19,21
responsible 1597:22 1694:21 1710:7,8	revised 1631:2 1681:4	1539:2 1617:17,18 1663:1	second-guess	set 1495:18 1539:21 1540:15,16 1550:12
responsive 1512:25	rhetorical 1659:14		section 1485:22	1597:6 1616:21,25
rest 1490:10 1545:2	rid 1528:8 1666:7	S	1515:10 1640:15	1617:10 1618:2,19 1663:4 1664:4,9
1575:10 1594:15 1654:8	rights 1511:10	S-h-o-v-a 1629:13	1642:6 1643:15 1645:21,23 1646:1	1665:10,19 1673:13
restate 1498:7	1630:17 1632:14	safeguard 1702:15	1650:11 1657:3 1672:3 1680:17	1685:22 1689:13 1702:6
1502:16 1515:8,18 1523:7 1533:25	1637:10 1652:5,6 1653:6 1657:8	1705:10	1682:22 1686:5	sets 1641:25 1662:24
1523:7 1533:25	rise 1615:4	safety 1630:16 1632:14 1639:9,25	secure 1568:16	1666:11
restaurant 1497:18	role 1493:19	1675:22	security 1568:18	setting 1540:1 1648:15 1655:20
1505:18 1540:7 1544:16 1553:16	rolling 1589:7	salaried 1485:23	seek 1644:14 1645:3	settlement 1494:6
rested 1586:15	1618:7	salary 1561:20	select 1662:21	1609:8,21,22
restricted 1674:19	Roman 1663:5,9,12,	sale 1568:11 1677:7	selection 1604:19	1610:1,5,6,8 1651:4
1703:13	room 1512:17	sales 1553:11	self-interest 1649:1,	shared 1535:11
restroom 1516:23	1646:17 1659:7	saloon 1543:20 1544:8 1546:25	3	sheet 1527:5 1548:5 1556:10 1559:24
restrooms 1516:24	1707:19	1547:3 1550:22	sell 1651:14	1580:8 1581:8
result 1520:17	Rose 1584:12	1551:12,15 1552:8, 16,23	selling 1551:25	sheets 1535:21
1629:10,21 1635:13 1637:20 1640:22	roughly 1561:22 1563:14	sandwich 1498:22	sells 1553:8 Seminole 1512:21	shifted 1659:2
1641:21	Route 1498:1,20,23	1595:14	1513:8,17 1587:25	shifting 1617:22
resulted 1572:8,15	1499:5 1541:15,20 1551:5 1553:5,25	sat 1526:8 1590:22	1588:20	Shocker 1644:11
resulting 1635:15 1637:22 1638:6	1554:9,18,20,25	satisfy 1635:2	send 1611:24	shorter 1595:10
results 1553:11	1555:2,8 1560:4 1563:11 1570:9	Saturday 1544:7 1545:17	sense 1493:24 1494:7,18,21 1495:9	shortly 1603:22
resume 1496:6	1572:9,16 1580:15,	Saturdays 1589:14	1535:12 1609:5	Shorty's 1497:6
1550:19 1575:14	19 1581:20,21,24	schedule 1495:3	1611:1 1666:11,14 1673:8 1681:20	should've 1555:5 1640:20 1641:1
1607:9	routinely 1675:24	1604:18 1606:18	1686:24	shoulder 1567:19
retained 1504:24 retire 1619:13	royalties 1556:6 rule 1542:24	1607:3,12	sentence 1648:6 1713:19	Shova 1629:13
return 1495:22	1623:13,14,22	school 1630:20	separate 1498:19	show 1509:2 1530:9
1550:14 1553:12,14	1624:5,11,15 1663:17 1687:15	scope 1492:14 1542:5,6 1547:10,	1658:2,7 1673:5	1590:1 1641:15
1585:23 1593:13	ruled 1489:7	15,16,23 1548:1 1608:6 1679:21	1694:16,17 1700:4	showed 1512:4 1713:17
returns 1552:11,14, 19,22 1553:22	1608:14	1680:1	separately 1673:6	shows 1563:23
1705:12	rules 1574:2,4 1617:8 1619:6,12	Scott 1527:1,7,9	September 1543:17, 24 1544:2,3 1545:3	1640:11

Index: responding..shows



Shuker 1492:16,17, 18 1494:4 1542:1,2, 9 1560:16,17,19,23 1561:12,14 1562:25 1571:17,21 1572:22, 23 1575:2 1586:7,10 1592:23 1594:18 1598:5,22 1599:2,7 1600:8 1606:13,22, 24 1611:12 1614:18, 22 1617:1,6 1618:21 1622:16 1646:1 1664:2 1672:23 1678:15,20 1680:7, 15,20,22 1681:1 1684:15 1685:25 1686:2,7,20 1688:12,21,24 1693:11 1694:14 1698:24 1700:14 1713:8,13 Shuker's 1569:4 **shut** 1512:23 sic 1530:18 1556:3 1643:16 side 1487:13 1490:22 1564:4,16 1593:5 1651:3 1668:1 1683:24 1705:21 sidesteps 1704:11 sign 1523:11 **signed** 1524:12 significant 1524:20 1572:19 1610:24 significantly 1509:10 similarly 1650:1 **simple** 1623:16

1623:21 1677:2 **single** 1503:17 1694:2 1698:14,19 sir 1485:7,14,21 1488:14 1490:12,18 1491:3 1493:4

1495:13,19 1496:21

1497:24 1499:8

simply 1492:20

1601:13 1610:20

1617:14.16 1618:3

1501:3,6,18,21 1502:4,7,24 1503:19 1504:4 1506:3,7,11 1507:10 1512:8 1514:9,13,16,19,23 1516:4,7 1518:16,19 1519:13,16,22,25 1520:3,5,7,14,25 1521:15,18 1522:17 1524:1,19 1525:17, 20 1526:2,18,21 1527:18 1529:13 1530:14 1535:9 1537:4,14 1541:12 1543:11,25 1545:1,4 1546:7 1550:13 1555:1,10 1558:9 1561:21 1562:10,13, 16 1563:20 1564:21 1565:8,24 1566:4,12 1567:4,9,24 1568:2, 5,15,17,20 1569:11, 12,17,18,21,22 1570:1,11,17,25 1571:3,5 1572:5,21 1573:21 1574:9 1575:1,2,7,10,19 1576:1 1577:17 1581:3 1582:20 1583:19 1585:22 1587:4,6,14,24 1588:14,16,23 1589:1 1592:21,23 1593:2 1608:25 1612:7,8 1615:8,9 1616:10,20 1619:16, 17 1620:4,17 1621:11,16 1623:1, 2,7,11,17 1624:25 1625:5 1631:23 1632:8 1636:1,6 1641:13 1643:8 1645:22 1647:17,24 1649:17 1650:19,23 1656:8 1659:10 1666:19 1667:8,20, 22 1669:11,14,17,20 1670:1,11 1671:4, 20,22 1672:14 1674:4 1676:20 1679:23 1680:2,6,11 1682:1,5 1683:11 1684:23 1685:1

1687:7 1688:1

1689:3,17,22

1694:11 1695:24

sits 1557:14 **skip** 1667:11 **slight** 1630:6 **slip** 1712:3 small 1608:7 smokehouse 1568:23 **So.3d.** 1632:5 **social** 1550:6 **sold** 1651:15 1643:25 solve 1591:4 son 1527:9

1697:2 1701:6 1702:1 1704:15 1708:11 1710:10 1711:1,19,23 1713:22 1714:12 **sit** 1494:7 1544:19 1609:2 1674:23 1705:17 1708:19 site 1515:15 1519:23 1585:5 1610:13 **sitting** 1537:7 1627:6 1628:20 1634:21 1642:23 **slapped** 1574:13 **slipping** 1567:19 1498:22,25 1525:10 1541:8,10 1543:19 1544:3,24 1545:8 **So.2d** 1584:12 1629:13 1648:4 1650:3 1654:17 **so.3d** 1643:10 1605:19 1671:9 society 1574:12 **sole** 1507:16,19 **sort** 1678:23 1697:12 sorts 1509:7 1533:8 sound 1487:15.19

1678.9 1538:2 1526:23 1528:18 1529:10,15 1531:9 1532:24 1533:3 1534:15 1535:18,20 1536:9,17,25

1537:6,9,15,20

1576:7 1578:21

1538:1,3,9,23

Index: Shuker..started 1584:22 1613:12 **spirit** 1644:17 source 1526:4 spoken 1591:10 1527:15.17 **spot** 1678:21 **sources** 1528:6 spring 1518:7 **South** 1698:11 1539:19,23 1545:13 1546:10,18 1548:23 spaces 1544:12 1565:12 1592:1 1563:15 squirrely 1697:12 **speak** 1488:1 St 1632:5 1493:17 1605:14,22 speaking 1487:11 staff 1530:22 1649:21,25 1701:25 1589:12 **special** 1615:23 stage 1588:21 1674:5 1710:1 **specific** 1549:14 1552:15 1565:10 **stand** 1488:13 1584:25 1613:24 1490:17 1491:10 1614:1 1667:24 1495:21 1496:7 1668:7 1705:1,11 1516:23 1586:22 1587:2 1593:18 specifically 1492:12 1594:8 1662:1 1556:7 1576:3 1607:20 1652:2 stand-alone 1544:8, 1655:15,18 1656:18 10 1551:8,16 **standard** 1617:25 specifications 1618:17,18,22 1513:16 1619:1,15 1620:12 1621:20,23,25 specifics 1609:23 1622:1 1624:14 1676:15 1625:25 1628:15 speculation 1557:21 1629:25 1630:21 1633:8 1641:25 speculative 1616:5 1654:20,25 1656:13 1662:16 1664:19 Speedway 1648:3 1665:14 1672:13,25 spend 1501:24 1675:10,25 1697:18 1502:9 1503:2 1504:2 1536:1 standards 1618:2 1645:10,16 1647:21 1650:13 1651:10 **spending** 1502:13, 1657:12 1660:4,6,9, 17,21 1579:15 11,17,21 1661:8 1666:12,20 1689:12 **spends** 1538:12 spent 1524:20 **stands** 1496:14 1525:13,15,23,25

star 1613:10

start 1496:18

1514:10 1528:15

1603:9 1612:19

1617:17 1655:6

1664:7 1690:9

1695:4 1697:21

started 1496:25



sounds 1529:9,18

1597:13

1514:7 1519:6 1680:9,14,18 1665:8,16 sums 1661:9 1629:15 1634:22 1528:9,14 1533:2 1686:10 1677:24 1639:17 1642:23 subpart 1685:11 1534:3,4 1539:20,22 1645:18 1650:11 stay 1494:14 1545:2 **Sundays** 1589:14 1566:20 1568:24 subsection 1646:2 1653:2 1660:10 1568:18 1609:19 1657:20 1710:2 1647:19 1669:6 1661:7 1686:17,21 **Super** 1648:3 step 1575:7,19 1671:23 1683:10 1688:10,12 1695:4 starting 1517:7 **supplant** 1683:24 1592:12 1593:2 1700:1 1617:7 1618:16 subsections 1672:4, **support** 1665:1 1630:25 1631:3 **stepping** 1592:14 talks 1569:13 1632:19 1684:20 1626:3,4 1638:5,6 supports 1644:4 stick 1495:3 1683:23 subsequent 1561:19 1689:18 1693:10 1665:4 1674:21 tat 1578:8 **sticking** 1613:21 state 1516:18 **supposed** 1657:18 subsidiary 1553:6, tax 1552:10,14,19,22 1572:8,15 **stop** 1522:1 1649:17 13 1555:3 1583:6 **supreme** 1628:9 1553:12,14,22 stated 1498:14 stops 1628:25 1629:2,12,23 1630:3 substantial 1648:9, taxes 1695:6,9,10 1516:11 1545:6 1706:9 25 1653:20 1654:11 **storage** 1503:14 1552:10 1562:3 team 1497:16,19 survive 1643:22 substantive 1684:20 1500:20 1516:19 story 1614:10 statement 1509:24 1528:12 1531:1 **sustain** 1500:12 1510:17 1512:12 **subtitles** 1617:21 **Street** 1613:4 1714:4 1670:21,22 1573:13 1535:8,25 1601:18 sudden 1703:2 stress 1566:19 1664:18 1677:14 technical 1644:9 sustained 1500:17 1705:9 1710:16 **sue** 1526:25 1527:7,9 1512:2 1554:5 strike 1600:4 technicalities 1711:6,7 1713:16 1608:21 1669:9 1656:4 1555:7 1644:24 1670:5 statements 1536:20 sued 1533:17,19,21 sworn 1587:6 television 1556:5 1676:12 1697:14 **striking** 1667:17 **sufficient** 1576:1,2 telling 1493:8 states 1677:9 1713:4 T strong 1588:8 1640:8 1497:10 1511:18,23 **status** 1575:13 1599:10 1614:19 suggest 1600:24 struggling 1536:19 table 1629:4 1712:18 1619:11 1652:9 1620:9 1631:3 1557:1 1653:3 1678:16 1686:4 tag 1560:23 **statute** 1486:12,16 stuck 1489:9 1688:19 1700:10 1624:17,18 1626:6 **Tender** 1507:11 takes 1521:7 **stuff** 1497:10 1549:7 1704:3 1630:9,11,18,25 1593:17 1613:3,5 term 1584:12 **taking** 1487:1 1631:5 1632:4,9 suggested 1609:17 1703:4 1651:14 1653:19 1488:12 1491:9 1635:24 1636:18 1678:8 1654:10,18 1656:21 1639:14 1641:8,14 1496:5 1510:16 stupid 1516:22 suggesting 1493:8 1677:8 1607:14 1633:14 1643:16 1650:21 1514:24 1610:20 style 1617:15 1644:23 1683:7,9 1651:23 1652:11,15 terminate 1522:11 1634:21 1692:9 1653:2,3,9 1655:18 1709:7 **sub-a** 1667:7 1698:1 1700:25 terminated 1528:9, 1656:18 1657:1 talk 1490:10 1509:2 11 1532:19 1539:10 subject 1574:1 1658:6,8 1659:20, suggestion 1656:7 1515:5 1550:4 1540:23 1562:3,11, 1584:6 1608:6 22,23 1660:14 1685:25 1587:15 1591:22 14 1667:14 1666:10,17,21,25 1593:16 1597:3 suggestions 1509:4 1667:21 1668:5 terminating submissions 1585:5 1623:23 1624:21 1514:3 1598:6 1681:4,19 1682:10 1485:23 1634:24 1638:4 submit 1519:9 1683:3 1684:4 suing 1683:4 1651:13 1689:15 termination 1488:6 1574:22 1576:6 1685:10,19,21 1694:8 1706:25 1561:16 1685:7 1606:17 1632:16 suit 1669:7 1686:8,14 1687:1,3, 1711:9 16 1688:5 1712:9 terms 1486:10 **suited** 1645:18 submittal 1589:20 talked 1518:14 1534:5 1535:14 **statutes** 1667:14 summarize 1585:12 **submitted** 1512:21, 1534:25 1542:18 1544:18 1582:14 1668:14 1622:11 24 1513:12,16 1607:11 1670:21 1611:12,14 1612:18 1514:7 1515:2,24 statutory 1642:22 1623:15 1626:5,16 **summary** 1591:9 **talking** 1534:10

Index: starting..terms

1631:4,10,14 1635:4

1648:7,24 1653:8,19

1636:17 1638:11



1643:2,22 1644:10

1651:1 1679:20,25

1645:21 1650:10

1516:10 1548:5

1587:16 1600:22

1618:15,21 1625:13

1619:19 1622:25

1624:23

1540:20 1556:1

1564:10 1609:20

1678:4 1687:20

terrible 1498:8

testified 1502:15,19

1526:11 1529:13,14

1503:6 1525:22

1538:11 1569:2

1577:20 1579:19

1583:18 1584:1

1589:3 1590:19,21

1591:20,25 1634:7

testify 1488:15,19

1526:22 1577:14

1612:23 1614:1,20,

testifying 1580:23

testimony 1485:6

1492:13 1495:12

1496:6 1508:4

1509:9 1529:5

1539:1 1542:9

1548:18 1557:10 1566:20,22 1568:7

1578:2 1579:10

1586:6 1587:12

1592:2 1597:21

1633:15,16,20

1702:24 1710:5

text 1617:17,18

That'll 1488:3

thing 1503:17

1510:9 1541:7

1544:14 1549:2

1562:2 1572:19

1582:24 1603:3

things 1491:24

1504:2 1509:2

1502:14,18 1503:2

1513:10,25 1514:23

1523:1 1533:9,11

1535:21 1539:22

1684:18

1608:5,9 1613:9,13

1634:4,5 1661:3,15

1580:3,20 1581:3

1536:11,15 1538:25

1575:23,25 1576:4,6

1584:5,20 1585:1,10

1579:24 1580:4

1583:7 1594:2

21

1714:11

1516:15

Index: t	erribleunderestimate			
592:13 trip 1520:10,12				
1592:14	1698:9			
1512:1 1527:2 2:4 1601:14 3:1 1612:10,25 3:5 1660:7	true 1494:5 1498:19 1508:23 1511:13 1524:12 1525:12 1526:13 1535:9 1538:25 1562:20			
orrow 1495:7, 604:12 1606:7 7:13 1626:10 7:2 1664:8 3:21 1714:15	1581:6 1590:25 1658:13,14 1687:24 truth 1598:23 1599:15,18 1640:10,			
1607:21 1613:2,9	12			
ht 1712:23	truthful 1658:9			
9:8,13	turn 1491:15 1494:19 1522:13 1651:5			
s 1575:22	tweak 1641:11			
6:3	tweaking 1641:6,9			
1526:14 2:17 1665:5 9:3,16	type 1544:14 1663:4 typed 1688:7 1713:6			
ed 1528:3	types 1513:9			
ly 1552:25 6:22 1697:1	Typically 1574:18			
h 1598:23	U			
1509:1 1520:11 1:6	U.S. 1489:14			
ks 1641:24	UCC 1645:5,7,22 1646:1 1652:25			
ors 1589:18	1653:1 1655:19			
e 1645:11,17	ultimately 1497:23			
er 1540:16	1499:6 1505:13			
saction 1645:8,	un 1519:14			
s 1496:3	unambiguous 1616:6			
1589:7	unauthorized			
1487:9 1488:13 0:8 1534:18 0:17 1598:1 2:6,20 1604:3	1501:14 1502:6 1569:19 1579:15 1615:21 1623:5 1694:7 1703:14 1705:14			
6:14 1688:21,22	unconscientious			

1540:7 1541:3 1542:22 1543:1,2 1548:14 1551:13 1553:8 1567:16,22 1568:3,6,19 1569:5, 13 1574:19 1579:19, 21 1584:2,25 1602:16 1604:8 1613:8,17 1615:17 1616:4,7 1634:16 1644:12 1645:1 1647:4 1674:9,10 1677:7 1694:16.25 1701:15,16 1702:2, 4,8,9,12 1704:21 1711:13,15 1712:20

thinking 1494:9,10 1556:22 1612:12 1701:21 1702:3 1708:19

third-party 1622:3

thought 1494:25 1517:3,4,6 1521:5 1526:19 1528:13 1545:19 1546:5 1548:23 1557:25 1582:17 1590:5,14 1612:12 1628:5 1631:12,13 1642:20 1660:24 1665:15 1679:1,6,7 1688:2,9, 20 1691:8 1697:9 1710:12

thoughtful 1496:4

thoughts 1596:8 1636:9 1637:23 1641:3 1661:23,24 1686:15 1708:10

thousand 1530:12, 13 1563:13

threshold 1622:6

threw 1680:22

throw 1661:5 1686:14

thrown 1542:23

throws 1657:17 1661:12

Thursday 1544:6 1545:17

tie 1655:5,21

time 1486:2 1493:1 1498:15 1499:25 1501:1 1505:7 1506:22 1509:8 1510:20,21 1511:5, 15 1512:18 1515:17 1518:15 1521:4,11 1530:21 1534:11 1538:2 1539:14 1540:23 1545:3 1548:13,17,20 1549:3,23 1551:16 1563:18 1566:2,5, 11,24 1567:25 1571:15 1572:12

1573:25 1577:2 1578:15,22 1579:4,5 1585:2,7,19 1591:19 1593:23 1596:4,5, 10,11 1604:9,20,25 1605:1,12 1606:1,

13,18,21 1607:19 1611:20 1612:3,21 1614:4 1615:11 1616:13 1620:24 1628:12 1631:20

1640:21 1641:20 1654:2 1675:1,20 1696:14 1697:21

1701:11,15 1705:23 1713:10,13,14 1714:16,18

timeframe 1519:7

timeline 1597:13

times 1505:20 1506:20 1509:1 1538:9 1563:12 1593:12 1663:5,9, 12,13,14

Timing 1597:4

tit 1578:8

title 1617:16

titles 1617:19.23

today 1485:6 1495:9 1504:3 1536:12,16 1537:2,8 1592:15 1607:18 1612:9 1707:8 1710:3

today's 1543:24 1544:2

toe 1

toes

told 1552 1603 1613

tomo 101 1607 1627 1713

ton 1

tonig

top 1 1709

topics 1576

total 1532 1699

totale

totall 1636

tough

town 1521

track

tracto

trade

traile

trans 13

treats

trees

trial 1500 1580 1602 1606 1691:13 1692:11,13, 25 1697:20

trials 1709:7

trier 1600:21

unconscientious 1644:23

unconscionable 1644:15 1645:3

underestimate 1588:2



underlined 1668:24	unusual 1709:10	violated 1622:13,22	warehouses 1714:5	1535:18 1536:21
understand	upfront 1709:15	1665:25 1666:3	wasting 1548:13	1623:24 1635:23
1494:16 1498:16	_	1694:19 1695:8		1654:20 1655:2
1528:20 1536:23	uphill 1608:18	violating 1631:20	watchdog 1556:4	1661:20,22 1706:6,7
1548:16 1565:16	upset 1707:20	1694:21	websites 1605:20	1707:16
1595:15 1596:18	_			work 1497:17
1608:12 1609:10	utilities 1530:23	violation 1504:9	wedding 1498:25	1576:20 1585:18
1615:25 1616:1	1531:4 1533:8	1506:6 1514:25	1499:1 1551:12	1589:4,10,13
1625:25 1634:15	utilized 1551:13	1630:23 1631:9,16,	weddings 1544:13	1607:18 1628:12
1655:22 1661:2	1631:4 1636:17	19 1633:1,8 1636:3		1632:20
1676:14,16,22	1031.4 1030.17	1643:6 1668:8	week 1509:1,15	
1682:5 1688:13		1685:9 1687:19	1546:22 1607:5	worked 1559:22
1703:10 1706:1	\mathbf{V}	1688:5 1694:15,17	weekends 1589:14	1679:6 1697:23
1712:16		1695:7 1706:22		working 1557:20
	vacant 1557:14	1711:13	weekly 1520:20	1577:15 1682:9
understanding	• 4 4605 45	violations 1632:21,	weeks 1525:11	
1486:16 1488:11	variety 1697:15	22 1673:21,22	1612:14	world 1611:24
1498:4 1565:21	veering 1696:15	1683:2 1694:25		worms 1682:4
1610:5 1615:17			weight 1625:3	
1630:19 1704:18	venture 1521:14	vision 1528:9	1664:25 1665:3	worth 1549:21,22
1714:2	1524:8,21 1626:15	visit 1519:23 1714:4	west 1557:15 1558:6	1603:13 1627:9
understands 1487:1	venue 1545:6		1559:11 1628:4	would've 1504:8
1581:14		vividly 1525:24	1339.11 1026.4	1512:23 1545:25
	venues 1551:5,20	VOLUME 1485:2	wholeheartedly	1546:1 1552:13
understated	1552:16	1714:19	1648:21	1640:6 1652:15
1516:17	verb 1557:22		wholly 1553:10	
Understood	verdict 1542:3,21	***		writ 1690:24
1692:22	1599:15 1605:25	W	wholly-owned	write 1527:20
undo 1519:11	1615:15 1616:19		1553:6,13 1555:3	1571:9 1653:13
	1619:7 1620:19,25	wait 1679:7 1695:4	1583:5	**************************************
Undue 1689:10	1665:2,4 1689:16	waiting 1517:1	willful 1639:4	writes 1526:2
unduly 1678:10	1692:18 1694:1		. 1. 1670.1	writing 1714:7
,	1697:24 1699:25	walk 1567:18 1614:3	winding 1670:1	written 1526:10
unhappy 1610:6,7	1700:2 1702:23	1646:3	winning 1651:12	1527:25 1528:4
Unilateral 1689:8	1704:4,7,17,25	walks 1610:6		1622:2
	1705:7,11,12,25		wiped 1647:5	1022.2
unit 1692:7	1706:6 1707:12	wanted 1498:12	wise 1696:19	wrong 1552:25
universe 1709:22		1510:5,6,13,25	141 1 15041	1666:17 1694:13
	verdicts 1606:16	1516:16 1524:2	withdraw 1534:1	wrongful 1488:5,6
unlawful 1501:7	verify 1527:23	1578:1 1591:21	withdrawal	1685:6,7,8
unnecessary		1624:18 1626:9	1488:12	
1496:17 1501:14	version 1712:4	1662:7 1668:1	withdrawing	wrongfully 1485:22
1502:3 1503:18	versions 1713:3	1691:3	withdrawing 1488:10	wrongfulness
1569:19 1615:21		wanting 1600:18	1488:10	1637:18
1623:5 1694:7	versus 1643:10	1630:15 1638:16	withdrawn 1676:2	
1703:14	1650:3	1651:13 1693:25	witnesses 1401.2.4.9	wrote 1548:23
	viable 1487:4	wanton 1632:12	witnesses 1491:2,4,8 1579:7 1672:18	1591:23 1712:12
unquestionably		1639:4	1697:15	
1662:1	vibrate 1494:19			Y
unreasonable	view 1698:4	wantonness	wondering 1598:13	
1520:18	viewed 1579:3	1635:11	word 1598:14	y' 1636:15
unwoloted 1520 12		warehouse 1533:10	1628:1	
unrelated 1538:12	VII 1485:2	1567:1,23 1568:8		year 1517:7 1539:8
1630:5	violate 1694:2,4	123,22 1200.0	words 1486:14	Year's 1563:13
	101410 1074.2,4		1518:24 1526:21	1001 5 1303.13
	I	1		1

Index: underlined..Year's



Highway 46 Holdings, LLC. vs. Michael and Jacqueline Myers APPEAL

years 1503:12 1505:5 1524:8 1541:17,18,19 1543:17,19 1552:11 1566:18 1628:19 1695:6 yesterday 1486:9 1502:15,19 1525:14, 22 1526:3,12 1529:13,14 1536:15 1537:2 1542:3,20 1578:15 1579:4,5 1609:8 1613:17 York 1644:19

Index: years..York

